

# The CAROLINA FREE PRESS

May/June, 1998      Volume IV Issue 3

Electronic Version

=====

## MILITIA PERSPECTIVES

Executive Order Emasculates Congress

## TOWARD A TOTALITARIAN STATE

UN Police: Coming Soon To A City Near You

## CHEMICAL & BIOLOGICAL PREPAREDNESS

Notes On Sheltering In Place

## MORE ON MICHAEL NEW

An American In Need

## SOULFOOD

Tonic For Inquiring Minds

## OUR FINANCIAL FUTURE

Oceans of Red Ink

## THE POISONS' IN THE DOSE

The Sky Is Falling

## BARNYARD FABLES #28

The Negotiator

## UNDERSTANDING THE FIRST AMENDMENT

Separation of Church and State

## BOOK REVIEW

No Time For Slaves

## IN THE WIRE

Shirley Ann Update

Left Wing Paranoia

## READERS RESPOND

## ANNOUNCEMENTS

-----

"The most dangerous man, to any government, is the man who is able to think things out for himself, without regard to the prevailing superstitions and taboos. Almost inevitably he comes to the conclusion that the government he lives under is dishonest, insane, and intolerable, and so, if he is

romantic, he tries to change it. And even if he is not romantic personally he is apt to spread discontent among those who are." H.L. Mencken

-----  
-

#### MILITIA PERSPECTIVES

##### Executive Order Emasculates Congress

In November, 1997 Bill Clinton commissioned the BATF to study the "sporting" suitability of certain classes of imported firearms. It would take an astonishing amount of political naivete to think the outcome wasn't predetermined. So it came as no surprise on April 4th, at a Rose Garden meeting with "fellow travelers" when he announced that the temporary ban placed in November, 1997 for 59 models of imported semi-automatic firearm was to be made permanent.

Against the litmus of constitutional powers the President was once again tested and found wanting. Undeterred by Executive constraints, Comrade Bill was clearly tickled pink with the announcement which was, of course, not inconsistent with his political alliances and the setting chosen for the meeting.

Citing crime rates dropping to a 25 year low, Clinton vowed to "stop the terrible scourge of gun violence" and that we "as a nation, must also remember where to draw the line." For our part we have difficulty visualizing how a 25 year low in crime rate equates to a "scourge", but we do know the line should be drawn a lot closer to "shall not be infringed".

We don't yet have a list of the 59 banned firearms. However, the AK-47, the Uzi and presumably all their foreign cousins capable of accepting "large capacity military magazines" are included in the ban. The reason? It's because they fail the "test" of 1968 gun control legislation that restricts importation of weapons suitable only for hunting, target practice or other "sporting" purposes.

The ban affects an estimated 1,600,000 arms for which permits were in process and which would have been imported during the next year or two. The number is large enough that even the NRA got the point this time and observed that the ban on imports "demonstrates once again that no matter what they say, the goal of Bill Clinton and his minions in Congress is a complete and total gun ban."

We might speculate that the order is not particularly significant in terms of the type or even the number of firearms affected. We postulate that: 1) the intended peasants of the New World Order for the most part remain physically and mentally disarmed; 2) the armed but largely complacent citizenry remain so; 3) those with a

will to resist if the need arises have, for the most part, already prepared to do so; and, 4) other options, including a political resolution, albeit somewhat limited, still remain. Therefore, we surmise that the assault is nothing less than the continuing and overt emasculation of Congress.

Those familiar with PL 87-297, The Arms Control and Disarmament Act; PL 101-216, The Arms Control and Disarmament Amendments Act of 1980, and their companion summary, State Department Publication 7277, understand our national "commitment" to cede our sovereignty and military capability to the United Nations. So the continuing unconstitutional assault is simply another predicable step toward the intended goal of total and complete disarmament.

If the destination is preordained, the path already mapped, then any means to get there is a fiction. Any road, and any "excuse" will do. The only challenge is to make it palatable to the uninitiated.

We presume for a moment most congresspersons would at least publicly disavow the stated legislative goals outlined above. That said, this latest order may not be directed so much toward the availability of arms, or even a direct assault on the jewel of the constitution, the Second Amendment, but an assault on congressional authority. It's a test of the complacency and ignorance of those "representatives" sworn to uphold the contract with the people.

A few in Congress have attempted to challenge the President's order by introducing or supporting legislation designed to circumvent its implementation. They have a 30 day window to do so. None, to our knowledge have the moxie to directly challenge this or other unconstitutional Executive Orders. Putting form over function is political posturing pure and simple. It signifies tacit consent to the power of the police state which remains unchallenged while they simply attempt to mitigate its affects for political benefit. Obviously, incurring the wrath of the politically active citizenry, is less feared than the threat of losing their piece of the War Powers pie.

Cynical, you say? Maybe. But if Congress fails to act out of apathy or ignorance, the continued success of the Executive in undermining and superseding the authority of the complacent Legislative signifies that for all practical purposes the Legislative has capitulated its' authority. We might logically expect the Executive will continue to escalate the stakes and shortly demonstrate the effective obsolescence of a Congress which remains helpless to contest the clear abrogation of constitutional principle.

If indeed Congress has capitulated, whether or not it is acknowledged is almost superfluous. Like the recent Executive Order authorizing the American Heritage Rivers Initiative Advisory Committee which circumvents congressional authority, the gun ban order effectively proclaims to Congress that the NWO is here and in control ... do something if you can. They can't. They won't. To admit culpability would be political suicide; to win would be to give up their slice of the War Powers pie.

Our perspective? We observe that the only thing that distinguishes free men from others is that right to bear arms. The Executive does not have the authority to determine what arms are suitable for the militia, let alone restrict them. In strictly limiting the powers of the federal government by the Constitution, our forefathers acknowledged that government could never pass enough laws to protect everyone from the lunatics in society. In affirming the natural right to keep and bear arms, our first Congress also recognized that the people reserved to themselves the immutable right and authority to protect themselves from the lunatics in government.

We might confidently conclude that our leadership is dedicated to the incremental erosion of the Bill of Rights under the premise that citizens have no rights while under War Powers jurisdiction. And, knowing something of history, we can see some obvious parallels in current events. Certainly, when we do so we find little ambiguity in the ambitions of the one-world do-gooders who wish to force their vision of world peace and control on the rest of us at the point of a gun.

So what is it? You choose: stupidity, complacency or complicity? Does it matter? It would be our wish for Congress to assert its legitimate authority and fulfill its' rightful delegated responsibility under the constitution. It is our fear that each power they surrender brings it closer to ceding total legislative capitulation and slides America a little closer to future terror.

-----  
"... in our age, collectivism, the rule of the second-hander and second-rater, the ancient monster, has broken loose and is running amuck. It has brought men to a level of intellectual indecency never equaled on earth. It has reached a scale of horror without precedent. It has poisoned every mind. It has swallowed most of Europe. It is engulfing our country." Ayn Rand, The Fountainhead  
-----

TOWARD A TOTALITARIAN STATE  
Coming Soon To A City Near You

Courtesy of the Second Amendment Foundation we learn of a United Nations press release, SC/6397, issued July 14, 1997 by the UN Security Council which encourages all member states to make available to the United Nations at short notice a core of "appropriately trained civilian police". Further, they recommend "joint training between civilian and military components to improve coordination and security for personnel in the field", i.e., paramilitary forces. The resolution clearly anticipates some future need for a permanent militarized police force in each nation, including America.

What pressing need you might ask prompts the need for the militarization and mobilization of a combined police and military force to maintain internal security forces in a country such as America. Could the UN anticipate their own globalist sponsored "peace-keeping operations" might prompt the need? Of course.

One does not need to examine the record closely to see just how less than welcome UN soldiers have been received in Somalia, Katanga, Bosnia and a host of other nations less than enthusiastic with kowtowing to global socialist government. Peace from a gun barrel is not peace by any standard.

From the press release we read:

"The Security Council considers that in operations mandated by the Security Council or the General Assembly the civilian police perform indispensable functions in monitoring and training national police forces and can play a major role, through assistance to local police forces, in restoring civil order, supporting the rule of law, and fostering civil reconciliation. It sees an increasingly important role for civilian police, among others, in contributing to the building of confidence and security between parties and among local populations, in order to prevent conflict, to contain or to build peace in the aftermath of conflict."

Once again, we find this anticipation of having to manage conflict to gain peace. Just what is it we might ask that continually prompts the UN to anticipate our needs for safety and security. Is it perhaps they foresee the implications of PL87-297 and other confiscatory legislation? Heady questions indeed. Before we pull the covers over our collective head or overtax our mental capacities we might give thought to the implications of SC/6397.

The One-World Army will be under the control of the UN. All states, including ours, will be subservient to the rascals from every third world and communist country in the world. As we move ever closer to embracing totalitarian government, as cities and states fall over themselves to join the New World Order, one of

the many obvious signs may be armed and uniformed National [UN] Police on every street corner. No doubt, many American citizens will be somewhat less than enthusiastic to say the least to learn about the loss of our national sovereignty not to mention their new status as New World Order serfs.

The UN press release anticipates a "growing demand for civilian police in peace-keeping operations". How quaint, just like communist European countries. What do you call a nation when the police and military are merged and armed guards who answer to a foreign power patrol every street?

The appearance of "national police" in government urban training exercises are sufficient evidence of federal intent to comply with the UN agenda. We speculate it won't be too long before we are entertained with our own national or regional UN peace-keeping operation.

Coming soon to a city near you.

But how, you might ask, among the black clad, blue helmeted "national police" on our street corners might we tell the "good" American storm troopers from the "bad" foreign storm troopers. Why, the latter will be carrying the fully automatic versions of the firearms forbidden under the Presidents new gun ban.

Can you do anything about it? Sure. You can speak out loudly ...and they'll soon come to harass or arrest you. Or you can do nothing... and if you are a gun owner, Christian, or constitutionalist they'll come for you later.

-----  
The hushed sound of creeping tyranny, the soft pull of the "velvet glove on the iron fist," must sound remarkably like the whisper of a pen on paper.  
-----

#### CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL PREPAREDNESS

##### Notes On Sheltering In Place

Following the "Gulf War" came persistent speculations about the potential for political terrorism from certain mid-eastern countries and warnings about the increased potential for chemical and biological warfare. However, the use of chemical and biological agents has been anything but uncommon in history.

The proliferation of many new and more effective chemical and biological warfare agents, along with more effective means of delivery, have brought these agents into the modern tactical theater. While relatively cheap and easy to make, the handling and storage difficulties associated with their use dictates that

these weapons of war are limited only the most irresponsible and well-funded organizations in modern society, i.e., governments.

And it has been governments, especially in the last several decades, that have had little reticence about using these agents against military as well as civilian populations. We cite as examples World War I and the first large scale use of chemical agents (most notably mustard gas), the use of defoliants in Vietnam and various agents against the Mujadeen by the Soviets in Afghanistan and again by Iraq against the Kurds.

As informed individuals we can dismiss out of hand the absurd allegations of self-serving socialist bureaucrats who assert potential militia involvement with these inhumane weapons of war.

These same bureaucrats however, have over the years thoroughly alienated a number of foreign organizations and governments some of which, given the opportunity, may not hesitate to use such weapons to terrorize our civilian population for political and/or religious ends.

For our purposes we will simply assume there is valid cause for concern for the possible release of chemical or biological agents.

Since we have no control over the vector, it doesn't much matter whether the release is attributable to an industrial accident (Ex. Bophal, India) or an attack by some state-sponsored (the vast majority of it is) terrorist organization.

First let's deal with reality. There is no civil defense mechanism in place which can provide any real measure of public defense against such agents. Metropolitan areas are particularly vulnerable due to population density and a variety of concentration factors.

The U.S. government has not only failed to provide an effective civil defense system but, in anticipating the potential, has elected instead only to fund a "cleanup" organization (FEMA) to manage the aftermath. It has worked diligently to make certain the public remains both uninformed and, through the wholesale destruction of protective equipment, under-equipped to deal with potential threats.

Where does that leave us? Practically speaking, on our own. For one thing, it is extremely difficult to effectively prepare and cope with chemical or biological attack. There is no practical way to detect the use of biological agents. They are invisible to the unaided eye. Similarly, the detection of chemical agents is also generally impractical. Potential government sponsored early warning (i.e. civil defense) systems are unreliable and could be a means for manipulation for opportunistic political purposes. Further, dispersal of these agents can be implemented through a

wide variety of vectors, including but not limited to water systems, direct [aerial] spraying, vehicles, artillery, missiles, or even bombs. The variations are many; detection and defense is extremely difficult.

In order to implement effective response we need to know how to tell whether we may be under attack or the target of a release of a toxic material. Some possibilities include one or more of the following:

- Radio/TV reports
- Industry sponsored public warning systems
- Shortwave radio reports
- Loudspeakers on roving official vehicles
- Direct observations which might include but not limited to:
  - Actual observations of aerial spraying
  - Local release of unidentified weapons
  - Distress in birds, pets and/or small animals
  - Absence of bird sounds if in the country
  - Unusual visible haze, dust or mist in conjunction with above including foliage discoloration, etc.
  - Distressed behavior of more than one individual
  - Unusual public response: ambulance, law enforcement vehicle activity

Nerve agents may be indicated by faint, sweetish or fruity odor, shrinking pupils, blurring or dimming of eyesight, running nose, salivation, tightness in the chest and breathing difficulty. Other personal affects from debilitating agents might include disorientation, dizziness, blurred vision and weakness. Individuals may be affected in different ways and to varying degrees. Some biologicals, such as blood agents, are so toxic that any exposure is usually fatal.

It is beyond the scope of this review to examine the various biologic and chemical agents used in industry and modern warfare except to note the wide variety severely complicates protective measures. Further, agents can be directed at vegetation (crops), animals as well as people. Biologic agents may include fungi, bacteria or viruses including those that cause typhoid, anthrax, plague, cholera, and many other diseases. Chemical agents include the common "tear" gases as well as the nerve and blister agents, blood agents and various "incapacitating" agents such as vomiting and hallucinogenic chemicals. Some of these agents may remain viable in the environment for weeks or months after an attack, for others their effectiveness may degrade over weeks or even hours. Most are attenuated by natural forces including rainfall, sunlight, heat and dissipation by wind. The problem is that, as civilians, we may never know what hit us.



To be sure, there are many potential natural causes which can result in the same symptoms as chemical or biologic exposures. In the absence of "official" public warnings or determinations, all observations and effects must be weighed in context of the perceived threat and local conditions. Precipitous actions may not be warranted in the absence of definitive evidence of actual or potential attack. In other words, be cautious. Fear is also an effective weapon for public manipulation; knowledge, preparation, and careful consideration of events is the antidote. Proper response to potential emergencies demands calm, rational response commensurate with the perceived threat.

Assuming a terrorist or military attack is authentic, some factors to consider in evaluating the potential effects include atmospheric conditions, time of day, location and weather. It is likely that weather and location will be major determinates along with the strategic or tactical value of the intended target. For example, the release of chemical and biological agents are most feasible during stable atmospheric conditions, with low or absent wind. Air stability is often at its best during early morning hours just before dawn or after sunset. Similarly, the absence of pending rainfall, relatively flat terrain and minimal vegetation help to maximize effective dispersion of the agent(s).

Chemical and biological are generally designed to settle to the earth, follow topography and disperse over hours to days depending on rainfall, wind patterns, temperature and other factors. Deterioration of agents can be accelerated by rainfall, sunlight, wind or even through natural breakdown. Just the opposite of what may be required for protection from radiation. Therefore, it may make more sense to seek shelter from chemical or biologic agents by going up, not down.

Individual preparation may be sufficient for light, short term exposures, as evidenced by the effectiveness of various municipal hazmat teams and the wide dissemination of military NBC equipment. But terrorist attacks are extremely difficult to anticipate, equip for and almost impossible to contain or mitigate once released.

Many agents can attack directly through the skin, or through inhalation or ingestion. Protective clothing offers relatively short term protection. Few protective suits are capable of complete protection against all possible chemicals. Even completely sealed (encapsulated) protective suits require a support team for effective use. There are also severe limitations with even the best military respirators (gas masks). The major drawback of even the best masks is that there is no way to detect

breakthrough, or the point of failure. Any failure could be catastrophic.

Individual protective equipment, even if decontamination facilities are available, not only represents significant cost, but is inherently infeasible for most families or civilian groups. It takes time to develop proficiency in its maintenance and use.

If we do not have the option of not being in the wrong place at the right time, what options do we have if faced with the threat of biological or chemical attack? Many if not most might survive by what is known as sheltering in place. Evacuation, i.e., running, may not be an option. Having nowhere to go, an uncertain route, inadequate equipment and too little time may be insurmountable barriers to successful evacuation. We might likewise have some reticence about abandoning our homes on the pretext of some alleged danger at the demand of public officials.

Therefore, most of us may have little choice except to make our homes, business or other building into a suitable shelter. If you conceive of the potential need for such shelter your preparations are relatively simple, but you must prepare now. If you rely on government, the instructions are likely to be a too late and too generalized to be really effective. If you elect to go to a public shelter, you put your life in the hands of others who may be less competent and conscientious than you.

Sheltering in place involves the selection and preparation of a "safe" room in your home. Ideally it might include a bathroom with access to sanitary facilities. You could also seal the entire house or apartment itself. The larger the area, the more difficult to effectively isolate, but you would capture a larger volume of air which would be beneficial if no means of ventilation were available. The objective is not to establish a mass barrier between you and chemical or biological agents as you would to provide protection from radiation, but a protective barrier between you, your family, and the agent(s). You may want to select a second floor location if possible as these agents tend to follow land surface during dispersal.

The process of making an in-place shelter is relatively simple, but it's implementation should be thought-out beforehand to be effective. In short, you must completely seal ALL openings of your selected safe area. Tape windows, door and other openings with packing or duct tape, every single crack and crevice must be sealed. Foam sealant and caulking works well for cracks and to seal around wall switches and outlets. Don't forget to seal off floor openings (Ex. laundry chutes, etc.) and heating/cooling ducts if not part of a specially prepared ventilation system.

Windows are a special problem. While it may be desirable to maintain a window to the world during an emergency situation, its' vulnerability to breakage could seriously impair the integrity of your shelter. Completely covering any window area with sturdy plastic sheeting and taping it securely in place would be prudent.

Doors are a special problem; double your tape barrier with secondary plastic sheeting. Alternatively, plastic sheeting can be used to completely line your safe area. If stapled in place it might be prudent to make sure staple tears are securely taped before considering the job complete.

Don't forget, the rest of your house or building can also serve as a sort of pre-filter for your safe room. Remember to shut and lock all windows, garage doors, pet doors, fire place dampers, and air conditioner units, to restrict all potential sources of infiltration. Similarly, having appropriate personal protective equipment, including a HEPA or NATO equivalent respirator for everyone in your in-place shelter will help ensure your safety if you either have to temporarily leave your shelter, or if symptoms develop which may be indicative of possible infiltration of contaminants.

Note that ensuring a thoroughly sealed room may require the removal of carpet or other flooring or even ceiling material if they are of porous construction. Vinyl wallpapers and similar wall coverings may contribute added vapor barrier protection.

A source of fresh air is highly desirable, but not essential. Your refuge will only be suitable for a period of hours to several days. One method might be to very slowly release clean breathing quality compressed air, such as from a SCUBA tank, into your room to create a positive pressure atmosphere. This positive pressure can effectively prevent infiltration of contaminants by "closing" openings you may have missed as well as helping to mitigate fouling of the captured air by your presence. An added benefit of a supplied air system might be realized if the threat involved an industrial accident with the release of an oxygen displacing chemical such as ammonia which would effectively disable a mechanical air filtration unit. Your assessment of possible toxic chemical storage sites near your home will assist you in preparing your in-place shelter for industrial type contingencies.

Perhaps a better way to ensure shelter ventilation is to acquire a high efficiency particulate (HEPA: 99.97% efficient at 3 microns) air filter such as those commonly available in air purifying equipment. The high efficiency of the filter makes it impractical to rely on natural air flows. Therefore, the problem is in developing a reliable mechanism, electrical or manual, with which to pull filtered air into your shelter. Installing the HEPA filter directly into your home heating system ductwork could be a

feasible alternative if you do not anticipate a problem with electric power. In installing the filter be sure to observe the manufacturers design velocity recommendations and ensure a good seal around the filter to avoid bypassing pollutants. Installation of a simple prefilter will extend the working life of your HEPA filter.

Personal hygiene is an important factor in personal susceptibility to exposure to chemical or biological agents. It should be considered an essential proactive step in ensuring your in-place shelter safety. Frequent cleaning or changing of clothing and potentially exposed equipment with cleaning agents can be effective in reducing your personal exposure. Commonly available hygiene and decontamination materials which can be used in dilute solutions for general use include: bleach, ammonia, sodium bicarbonate, and of course, plenty of soap and water. Be especially careful when preparing cleaning agents and cleaning with unfamiliar materials around the eyes and other sensitive areas of the body. Field expedient decontamination agents include baking soda (sodium bicarbonate; for brushing teeth), washing soda (sodium carbonate), caustic soda (lye; for equipment only, NOT personal decontamination) and water or steam for gas masks, disposable clothing, etc.

While in your shelter time and anxiety are likely be among your greatest problems. Proper preparation by pre-stocking your in-place shelter with a few essentials will help. Make sure to have communication equipment, at least a battery powered radio (AM/FM/Shortwave) and lights. Store water and food for at least several days; include sufficient water for basic personal hygiene needs. Games and books help mitigate uncertainty; don't forget a Bible. Blankets, games, extra batteries, fire extinguisher, and extra clothing should be included. Of course, stock an adequate quantity of packing tape, plastic sheeting and sealant. If your sheltered area is unventilated, any light or heat source should be battery powered; strictly avoid candles and other flame producing devices. Remember, your breathable air may be quite limited depending upon the size of your shelter, temperature, and the number of occupants.

When it is safe to leave your shelter is impossible to predict. Radios will likely be your best source of information; other sources might include telephone, and visual observations (window). While your in-place stay may be resource dependent, if in doubt, the longer the better may be the prudent option.

Generally speaking it is better to stay in place, properly prepared than to evacuate without proper preparation and a clear destination. Becoming a refugee, subject to the whims and mercy of a host population or government bureaucrat should be among your

last options. With preparation, the likelihood of your survival is greatly enhanced. Protective measures are relatively simple, but within their limitations they can be effective only if properly implemented. But, there should be no doubt, there are too many variables to guarantee your success regardless of the option you select. Your best protection is to be well informed, prepared for all reasonable contingencies, and outside of any potential terrorist target area.

We do not know all answers, and we can't predict the exact nature of the potential problem(s) you may encounter. But we all know what it takes to be a good Boy Scout.

-----

"What we accept as normal today, any generation prior to the Great Depression would have seen as unconstitutional. According to the Constitution, the central (federal) government is to have no powers except those specifically granted it by the Constitution. All other rights and powers not specifically granted the individual states are preserved for their citizens. That includes the right to succeed or fail according to one's own abilities, unrestrained by the government. So fearful were the founders of this country of a strong central government that they went to great lengths to ensure that its powers were severely limited. Basically, the central government could settle arguments between the states, organize an army to defend the nation's common cause, regulate interstate commerce, and negotiate foreign treaties. The federal government was allowed to raise its operating capital by charging an interstate tariff on goods only -- period!" Larry Burkette, The Coming Economic Earthquake

-----

-

THE POISON'S IN THE DOSE  
The Sky Is Falling

For several months "patriot" radio has been carrying many stories of aerial spraying, primarily near large metropolitan areas by military type aircraft. Two types of phenomena appear to have been observed including those associated with extremely persistent vapor trails which darken the sky with a grey mist, and the release of a filamentous gelatinous substance both of which slowly settle to the earth and ultimately cause in severe pulmonary affects to the exposed population.

The first type phenomena is reported to be associated with the use of JP-8 jet fuel which results in the release of what has been reported to be large amounts of ethylene dibromide, a known carcinogen, into the atmosphere. Numerous reports and photos

document pattern spraying, primarily over metropolitan areas in the west and mid-west. The patterns are not associated with the dumping of excess fuel preparatory to landing. If it is being implemented for legitimate pest control purposes as some have suggested, we suggest that bugs are already aware of the program and that it's probably safe now to let the rest of us in on it. On the other hand, if EDB is being used to somehow mitigate a potential biologic or chemical threat we might observe that the "cure" may be worse than the threat.

The second phenomena is the release of a spider web-like or a fine, white "angel-hair" like substance which has been observed falling to the earth in some areas in large quantity, covering power lines, vegetation, fences and even vehicles. The material is not persistent and disintegrates rapidly.

Shortly after each episode, numerous illnesses, some allegedly fatal, are reported to the affected population. Symptoms typically consist of mild to severe pulmonary impairment associated with flu-like symptoms. Other reports offer a variety of other symptoms. The general wisdom seems to advise anyone observing spraying to remain indoors and otherwise well away from potential exposure.

The composition of the gelatinous material remains unreported but has been described in a variety of ways including a biologic growth media similar to agar, a component of a binary biologic compound, and a gelatinous film.

Numerous photos and literally hundreds of testimonials from generally non-technical observers have been recorded. Inquiries to Air Force and other authorities have reportedly met with creative explanations and/or outright denials. Photos of aerial patterns have been reviewed and CPF reporters have talked to actual observers from other parts of the country. No clear evidence has developed which definitively points to the purpose of these events.

Commentators have speculated about the reasons for such obvious aerial spraying. The most commonly accepted hypothesis is that these events are precursors to chemical or biological attack or, in fact, constitute a short and/or long term inoculation of the host population with carcinogens or other debilitating agents.

Spraying appears to always be associated multiple aircraft, of military design, on clear, windless days. And, always in patterns represented as X's, A's or simply tic-tac-toe patterns. Some have speculated that the spraying is being done primarily by foreign aircraft operating in the US under the Open Skies agreement.

We are reminded of a report of aerial spraying by a large military helicopter west of Winston-Salem about two years ago. And a more recent telephone conversation reported on a talk radio show which alleged a spraying incident near Lexington, NC. Yet another report cited a possible spraying incident recently near Raleigh.

We have had no first hand experience with such events. Readers having such information, particularly documented by photos, samples, weather reports, etc. are requested to send them to the NCCM Public Affairs Office. A synopsis of reports and ongoing findings will be included in future issues of CFP if more definitive information becomes available.

In the interim, readers are reminded that not all contrails represent spraying. We are looking for distinctive patterns of large and unusually persistent trails which are slow to dissipate and/or visually appear to settle to earth. Trails at high altitude, generally above 30,000 feet represent frozen condensation. Trails between 5000-20,000 feet, perhaps less, could be suspect if definitive patterns are present.

Our speculations at this time are simply that. CFP would welcome your thoughts, and particularly your documentation, on the matter. Reports should include the time of day, date, wind and weather conditions, barometric pressure if available, and specific information regarding types of aircraft, estimated altitudes, direction, location, etc.

It occurs to us that a word to the wise should be sufficient. In the meantime we might observe that the characteristic "tic-tac-toe" designs typically reported in association with spraying could be interpreted as the unmistakable sign of a double cross.

-----  
-

MICHAEL NEW UPDATE  
An American In Need

In January, 1993, Michael New volunteered to serve our country by joining the United States Army. In January, 1996, he was court-martialed and thrown out of the Army because he refused to serve under the anti-American United Nations. Like many men and women before him, he wanted to serve our country bravely and honorably as an American soldier. Bill Clinton had other plans for him. He wanted to turn Michael New into a United Nations mercenary.

Michael New was part of a group of American soldiers that were ordered by Bill Clinton to report to Macedonia in late 1995. He wanted them to serve as United Nations soldiers, under the United Nations flag, wear baby blue United Nations uniforms and carry UN

identification cards. He would be required to take his orders from foreign officers who had sworn their allegiance to the United Nations. When Michael New signed up for military service he did so to serve his country. He was a god soldier, a regular Army medic who had served in Kuwait and earned several medals and citations for saving the lives of his fellow soldiers.

Like every good soldier, he was trained to obey the legal orders of his superiors. But now Bill Clinton was ordering him to strip the American flag off his shoulder and replace it with a United Nations armband. Clinton wanted him to take orders from a United Nations general from Finland, and Clinton ordered him to carry a United Nations identification card which labeled him as a United Nations soldier.

Michael just could not do it. He had taken an oath to defend the United States Constitution and not the United Nations Charter. He had also taken an oath to obey any legal orders from his superiors, in accordance with the Universal Code of Military Justice (UCMJ).

After weeks of research, Michael determined that Bill Clinton's orders were clearly illegal according to the United States Constitution and the UCMJ. He was willing to fight and die in service of our country, but he wanted it to be in an American uniform and under an American flag while taking orders from an American commander. When the Final Order came down, all soldiers in New's battalion were ordered to turn out in formation on October 10th, 1995 wearing the United Nations uniform. New was the only soldier proudly wearing the authorized Battle Dress Uniform of the United States Army. A lieutenant ordered him out of formation, and escorted him to the commanding officer. His commanding officer then read him his "rights" and that is when the historic legal battle began.

Michael was court-martialed over his refusal. His superiors wanted to make an example of him to intimidate any other soldier who might refuse to wear the United Nations uniform. In July, 1996 New was forced to leave the Army under a bad conduct discharge even though he was a decorated veteran with a spotless military record. For the past two years New has fought to regain his good name and to resolve the issue of UN jurisdiction over US forces. On September 26, 1997 his case finally made it to the United States Court of Appeals in Washington, D.C, but the Court of Appeals refused to rule on his case.

Recently, the Supreme Court also refused to hear his case. They maintained that they did not have jurisdiction and his case would have to be decided in a military court. New's case will be brought before the Army Court of Appeals on May 28th. But the Army maintains that the issues that New's lawyers are planning to



bring before the court are "non-justiciable" and do not belong in a military court.

We might wonder how New is going to find justice if neither the military nor the Supreme court maintain they do not have jurisdiction. It appears that while Congress has the responsibility for overseeing the military, the obstacle to getting justice is with Presidential Decision Directive (PDD) 25. The content of this document is still secret, but it is believed that PDD 25 unconstitutionally gives jurisdiction over the military to the United Nations.

Michaels's historic legal battle will decide if American soldiers are mercenaries who will be forced to wear the United Nations uniform and take orders from foreign commanders. The outcome will clearly show whether America is still a sovereign country, whose brave soldiers willingly fight under the American flag or whether they are UN mercenaries.

That is why Michael is not giving up the fight. You see, the fight is not just about what happens to Michael New, it is whether future generations of American soldiers will serve the United States or the United Nations.

Michael never imagined that two years after he refused to serve as a United Nations soldier he would be involved in this historic legal battle. At the time of his refusal to serve the UN he fully expected to go to prison and he was prepared to pay that price.

New does not have the money to fight this legal battle on his own. Right now he is working as a medical technician to support himself and his wife. Michael did not come from a wealthy family. His mom and dad worked as Christian missionaries for most of his childhood. So he is counting on individual, patriotic American citizens to stand with him. Frankly, if caring folks like you will not help him financially, Bill Clinton and the United Nations may very well win the case against him. If the UN wins it will not be because they are right, it will be by default.

If you would like more information on how you can help in his legal battle and American interests please contact him at:

Michael New  
2721 H-I-J Merrilee Drive  
#408, Fairfax, VA 22031-4407

For the republic: A patriot.

-----  
"The government consists of a gang of men exactly like you and me. They have, taking one with another, no special

talent for the business of government, they have only a talent for getting and holding office. Their principal device to that end is to search out groups who pant and pine for something they can't get and promise to give it to them. Nine times out of ten, that promise is worth nothing. The tenth time it is made good by looting A to satisfy B. In other words, government is a broker in pillage, and every election is a sort of an advance auction of the sale of stolen goods." H.L. Mencken

---

## SOULFOOD

### Tonic For Inquiring Minds

Once again, a politically incorrect article has escaped the control of the globalist controlled media. In and of itself it constitutes prima facie evidence that the "vast right wing conspiracy" lurks behind the liberal facade of the public media and is solidly entrenched in middle-American values. It appeared like a bolt out of the blue on April 19, 1998 in the Greensburg, Pennsylvania Tribune Review. Written by Sally Barclay, the article is entitled: "Americans cooperate in globalist plan for their enslavement". It reads as follows (typos are ours):

"Some 80 years ago a program was started to condition American 'peasants' for entry into the planned New World Order. The program is currently being fulfilled; it's a dark cloud rolling ominously across the United States.

Most Americans cannot see it. They live in a controlled complacent, comfort zone where evil is unseen, unheard, unfelt.

Nevertheless, there are powerful forces working to transform America into a socialist police state, subject to a globalist New World Order. These forces have accelerated their efforts greatly during the past six months. They believe - with reason - that there is virtually no resistance to their plan to subjugate and incorporate the American people into their globalist empire.

There certainly is no resistance coming from the U.S. Congress or the judiciary. The media, if anything, serve the globalist establishment by using propaganda to prepare the American people for the New World Order.

U.S. churches are no better. Mainline Protestant organizations support globalist goals; fundamentalist and evangelical Protestants are too busy 'loving the brethren' and striving to feel good about themselves to see the looming dark cloud of evil. Meanwhile, insults and attacks against constitutional and Biblical values are on the increase.

America is comparable to Germany in the late 1920s and early '30s -a nation in moral, spiritual and political decline; ripe to fall in line with the New World Order.

There is some resistance from a handful of Americans (probably no more than a million or two) who do see the handwriting on the wall, who do recognize the evil emanating from Washington, the courts, and the educational system. Whatever the religious or political persuasions of this remnant, they have discernment about the danger that lies ahead, a love for a traditional way of life, and a loathing (or hatred) for evil.

It is this remnant, this potential resistance to the enslavement and globalization of America which the establishment fears and hates. This remnant and the intervening hand of God are (in the opinion of many) all that can preserve the United States from a free fall into tyranny.

Consequently, expect the government to attempt to stamp out the remnant of resistance to globalism as ruthlessly as Stalin, Mao or Hitler. Government attacks against the resisters, gun owners, pro-lifers and Biblical Christians can be expected to grow five to ten fold over the next few years.

Why? This opposition coalition could ignite a counter-revolution capable of exposing and derailing the globalist establishment.

While the U.S. government is occupied establishing totalitarian rule, their allies at the global level are no less active.

Americans have forgotten this land was founded on the fact that Christ is our King. And we are paying the price for that forgetfulness. What we must now remember is that the coming conflict, at its root is spiritually based; a battle against the ruler of darkness of this world." END

-----

#### OUR FINANCIAL FUTURE

##### Oceans of Red Ink

With the mania psychosis firmly locked in place, the financial bubble expands almost exponentially as the stock market continues to test the limits of the greater fool theory.

Tremendous financial instability continues in Japan and the Asian "tiger" countries. Even the World Bank admits that the Asian meltdown was unanticipated. IMF offered solutions seem far too small for so big a problem. Japan continues to falter as sectors of its economy continue to crumble. Government bailout plans are

failing to stimulate the Japanese economy or boost consumer confidence sufficient to encourage continued spending.

It appears more and more likely that sooner rather than later we will also soon find ourselves struggling to stay afloat in a sea of red ink. Awash in debt and without a constitutionally sound monetary system, we can only watch as the debt rocket nears the apex of its trajectory.

In 1913 our Congress knowingly placed the financial rocket in its launcher. After all, it was unconstitutional and our Fourth Federal Reserve (central bank). With 4000 years of historical record documenting the fate of fiat currencies, and having first hand knowledge of the disastrous paper currencies of the American colonies, they certainly knew how the rocket would fly.

The fuse was irrevocably lit with the confiscation of gold in 1933, and the rocket departed the launcher with the last vestiges of constitutional money in 1965. No dud this rocket.

We watch and cheer as the rocket reaches for the unlimited sky knowing its fuel is finite yet expecting the flaming trail to rise infinitely to space. In our minds we know what to expect; in our hearts we willingly accept the lies of the money makers.

We saw the first sparkles in Indonesia, a flash as it streaked across Japan. So will we be surprised when the financial rocket finally goes "boom" over America? Most Americans will no doubt look around and wonder what happened. Congress, of course, will deny any responsibility. Most Americans will believe them.

Even ignoring the fundamental instability of a wildly extravagant debt burden, we are now faced with the prospects of the Y2K (millennium bug), the potential of an Asian financial domino collapse, and competition from the soon to be introduced gold-backed Euro-dollar. With the potential for the quadruple whammy of the fiat currency rocket, there certainly doesn't seem to be any lack of opportunity for financial instability and collapse.

It doesn't matter which element of the debt crisis matures into financial debacle, each is quite enough and would suffice. Every paper currency has eventually collapsed as it's fictional value fell below citizens' level of trust in government.

The good news is that it may signal the end of the welfare society. The bad news is that it may also signal the beginning of something politically worse.

To compete with the 30% gold-backed Euro, one short term alternative, on the way to the implanted chip, may be some sort of

gold-backed electronic currency. You know, the smart card or cash-on-a-card which is just another form of "we-say-so-currency". Neither will, of course, have any more intrinsic value than that funny green paper with numbers and faces printed on it we now use.

One thing is clear. The pending financial bankruptcy is nothing compared to the moral bankruptcy that spawned it.

So where does that leave us if we cannot trust government to at least retreat, howsoever painful, to constitutional money and thereby try to avoid the pending catastrophe? Do we retreat to blind faith in the system which has predictably and historically failed the public? Silver coins perhaps? Do you like to eat? So, what are you waiting for?

Financial future? What financial future?

Perhaps we should give this a little more thought.

-----  
"Since we never seem to learn from history, perhaps we will always be doomed to repeat it. As Americans stand on the threshold of the 21st Century, it seems that everyone in America believes they are entitled to the good life just by virtue of being an American. Yet each and every rational American knows we will be forced to pay a terrible price for the financial follies of our government and ourselves. While most believe we can always achieve the good life through ever increasing amounts of debt/credit, the fact is the debt based economy is collapsing under the weight of excessive credit. Most Americans will be financially devastated." Michael Haga, After The Crash  
-----

BARNYARD FABLES #28: The Negotiator

The heat from the overhead sun prompted Sgt. Ransom to halt the tedious patrol for noon break. In an instant his quick eye caught the strained, arrow-straight alignment of the point Bird. The signs were ominous. The cloud of dust and faint squawks of fellow Chickens under attack carried faintly in the breeze over the crest of the hill. It left little to what imagination a Chicken can have.

Pumping his wing up and down Ransom signaled the patrol double-time to the top of the hill. From there the blur of fur, feathers, and dust below confirmed his worst suspicions.

Ransom signaled a "V" attack formation and, with scarcely a moment for organization, crowed the charge. Swooping down on the melee

with beak and talon the militia met the assault with overwhelming surprise and quickly beat back the attack.

With some satisfaction Ransom noted the limps of the retreating Foxes. But his stiff-legged gait faltered as he approached the sole survivor.

"Glory Bee", said the wounded Chicken, "you Birds sure messed things up. Why, we was just gettin' down to serious conversation when you got here."

"You must be part of that negotiatin' group," asked Ransom speculatively, "Birds that keep tryin' to talk yer way into the good graces of them Foxes?"

"Yep, cawed the respondent weakly. "We was just explainin' the error of their ways to them Foxes when they said they's just couldn't negotiate on empty bellies."

"Yu Birds don't get a little suspicious ever' time them hungry Foxes offer to negotiate?" asked Ransom. "Ya'll bein' experts in Predator relations an all...", he trailed off.

"Naaah, they's just misunderstood," cawed the Survivor.

"Chicken soup and kind words," reasoned Ransom, "won't cure the morally and ethically challenged."

"Foxes is always willing to talk," Ransom emphasized. "But you Birds ain't helpin' them decide Whether to invite you to lunch, only When to have lunch."

"Awww, crooakkk... seems I'm off to Greener Pastures," sighed the Bird.

"Them Foxes have done a lot of nasty stuff," Ransom said with a wet-eyed cluck, "but they ain't yet repealed the Law of the Jungle."                   END

-----  
UNDERSTANDING THE FIRST AMENDMENT

Separation of Church and State

The misrepresentation of the First Amendment is the largest Constitutional double-cross ever perpetrated on the American people. We are the victims of a hoax. For years the phrase, "separation of church and state" has been drummed into the minds of our citizens until it has been believed and accepted. Few are aware that is does not even appear in the Constitution. The phrase was merely an opinion of Thomas Jefferson who wrote a

private letter to the Danbury Baptist Association on January 1st, 1802, and stated in part: "I contemplate with sovereign reference that act of the whole American people which declared that their legislature should 'make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof', thus building a wall of separation between Church and State."

The surprising fact is that this letter was written 11 years after the First Amendment. Furthermore, if our forefathers believed that religion should be excluded from our public schools, workplaces and government, why did they add the words, "or prohibiting the free exercise thereof?"

Obviously, they meant that Congress should make no law respecting an establishment (the recognition by the state of a church as the official church) of a particular religion or denomination. This brings us to the logical conclusion that those who "prohibit the free exercise (of religion) thereof" are the real culprits.

The writings of our forefathers reveal that they strongly believed that religious principles cannot be separated from national and governmental policies. In his farewell address, George Washington stated: "Of all the dispositions and habits which lead to political prosperity, religion and morality are indispensable supports. In vain would that man claim the tribute of patriotism who should labor to subvert these great pillars. The mere politician, equally with the pious man, ought to respect and cherish them. Reason and experience both forbid us to expect that national morality can prevail in exclusion of religious principle."

Governor Morris of Pennsylvania, who was the penman of the Constitution, stated: "Religion is the only solid basis of good morals, therefore education should teach the precepts of religion and its duties of man towards God." In our community, we are currently being faced with the removal of the Ten Commandments from the Haywood County courtroom. This is absolutely ridiculous! Laws are based on those Commandments. Cases of murder are brought to trial in the courtroom, under the law, "Thou Shalt Not Kill." Cases of stealing are brought to trial under the law, "Thou Shalt Not Steal" and cases of perjury, libel, and slander are brought to trial under the law, "Thou Shalt Not Bear False Witness."

The simple truth is that those Commandments have every right to be on that courtroom wall and they must remain there. I wholeheartedly agree with Billy Graham that they should be in every classroom in the nation as guidelines on the road of life. If the judges rule otherwise, they are guilty of violating the First Amendment which forbids them to prohibit or limit the free

exercise of religion. They are guilty of betraying the American people who are losing faith in a judicial system which is becoming a national disgrace.

-----

"Truly, today we are at a crossroads in history. On one hand, the benefits of freedom are enormous. But the price of freedom is also high. On the other hand, slavery does provide immediate, short-term security and benefits. But ultimately slavery is unbearable, in the end crushing the human spirit, leaving in its wake insecurity and poverty. Will we choose freedom or slavery? As I attempt to convey, we really have no choice. Freedom is our only answer. There is no time for slaves. R.E. McMaster, Jr., No Time For Slaves

-----

#### BOOK REVIEW: No Time For Slaves

R.E. McMaster, Jr. wrote a series of essays from 1983 to 1985 which were subsequently published in 1986 as a book entitled "No Time For Slaves" (Reaper Publishing, P.O. Box 39026, Phoenix, AZ 85069).

Way back when most of us were looking the other way McMaster was deep into evaluating, analyzing and speaking out on the decline of the American empire through the erosion of its moral, ethical and political base. His book is unique because his foresight is every bit as good as our hindsight. Thirteen years ago he correctly predicted the inevitable trends of the globalist agenda.

McMaster examines the historical trends which point almost inevitably to future conflict, suffering and political chaos. He explains the root causes of our monetary crisis and leads us logically to its inevitable conclusion, the eventual collapse of the fiat monetary system.

He considers the implications of the rise of occultism, the importance of good government and sound economics at a national as well as a personal level and the importance of Bible based Christianity in the preservation of our heritage, and indeed our very freedom. McMasters pulls no punches in describing the debauchery of our current political system and the consequences of immoral government. In his words "Freedom, responsibility, and prosperity always run hand in hand", and the enemy of freedom is well defined. "Government, the parasite, first enslaves and then destroys its host, free men." Among governments' worst faults he explains is entitlements which is simply "legalized criminal theft". Socialism provides the mechanism for self-destruction.



Of particular interest are his comments regarding the "One World Order". He pulls no punches when he says "we are following a similar track to that of Nazi Germany." As measures he cites similar patterns in the rise of occultism, materialism, and increasing government control. Yet his appraisal of the one-world movement is unequivocal:

"So, the movement then toward a One-World Order will be a colossal failure. It is exactly the wrong thing at the wrong time in history. The problem is, those who favor a One-World Order control the economies and governments of the world. This means we will all have to suffer through their insanity and maybe a limited nuclear war."

His reasons are well considered, perhaps profound, and firmly based on his religious convictions that "...for mankind to have both decentralization and harmony in society, his law system must come from God."

McMasters fears for the future are also clearly portrayed:

"We are in a desperate race. If won by the agents of moral decentralization, we face the prospect of a glorious, free, prosperous, creative, technological new age. If won by the agents of centralization, we face at best a statist, bureaucratic, miserable tyranny, and at worst a humanity-destroying third world war."

This is a race he says that "none of us can escape running" and will result in the creation of "either a heaven or hell on earth".

McMasters also explains your interest in seeking the truth in the alternative press. Most people run with the crowd and generally, throughout history, are proud, ignorant and rigid in their ability to think and exercise discernment. Men today he says can be "traditional, comfortable, acceptable and wrong, or they can be radical, uncomfortable, and unaccepted, and be aligned with truth". We might recollect the Bible's admonishment that we cannot be "lukewarm" but must take sides and stand for good or evil. It's a personal decision, and those who are capable of rational thinking find it all but impossible to shirk.

Knowing something of historical predictability, McMaster appears to have a clear vision of where our greedy bureaucrats and politicians are leading us. That is, he observes they are unwillingly taking us along on a "futile attempt to build a One-World Order. And, most ludicrous of all, they will blame us (freemen) for their troubles."

McMaster has the United Nations pretty well pegged. "A One-World Order, as it continues to emerge, will be socialistic. It will be a global slave state." And, he predicts "the dinosaurs of debt capitalism and communism will not go down without a fight because the socialist One-World Order is a parasite which has no host left to consume. It can only cave in on itself. It will fail."

This relatively short book is sort of the constitutionalist antithesis to Toffler's socialistic book "Future Shock", only better. If you want to gain an understanding of the philosophical and historical underpinnings of our civilization and gain a better understanding of Christian perspectives as they relate to globalism this is clearly one of the better books you should read.

-----

#### IN THE WIRE:

##### Shirley Allen Update:

Some months ago we reported on one small, but flagrant abuse of police power in Roby, Illinois. As you may recall, on September 22, 1997 sheriff's department deputies were dispatched to arrest a widower, Shirley Allen, for confinement pending mental evaluation at the request of her relatives. This event was unique, not because such events are uncommon, but that they are so uncommonly reported.

It may have been of no consequence that Shirley was financially comfortable, independent and intolerant of pushy relatives. What was of consequence is that she resisted attempts of unlawful arrest which resulted in weeks of physical and mental assault. Reportedly, on the advice of "patriot" Bo Gritz, state police subsequently subjected her to 39 days of starvation, 24 hour loudspeakers, pepper gas and dog attacks, and other deprivations until her capture.

Following five weeks of mental evaluation and over \$700,000 in state expenditures Mrs. Allen was released. Despite state objections she was found mentally sane and innocent of any crime. Would-be storm trooper and New World Order lackey Sheriff Dick Mahan was recently defeated by a two to one margin in his bid for reelection. None of the storm troopers have yet to be prosecuted.

-----

##### Left Wing Paranoia:

We couldn't resist this one. Out of the Oakland Press from Melvern, Arkansas, March 18th comes an interesting article which illustrates how propaganda is also carving its way through police circles. Errors in transcription are ours.

"A handmade Christmas ornament intended for First Lady Hillary Rodham Clinton was blown to bits by a bomb squad called to examine a suspicious looking package. A woman dropped off the school box size package Tuesday at the Melvern City Hall Communications Center asking the dispatcher to send it to the White House. The box was addressed to Ms. Hillary Clinton, The White House, Washington. The woman quickly ran out of the office leaving the package behind. The police said they played it safe and evacuated the building. The bomb squad blew up the box only to find the remnants of a handmade angel the woman wanted Clinton to have for the White Hose Christmas tree."

And they talk of right-wing paranoia!

-----

READERS RESPOND

From A Reader:

As we sit here in silence,  
Praying someone will stop the violence.  
Hoping that America will see the lie,  
That under the U.N. our freedom will die.  
No sir, no one can deny,  
It is time that patriots sound the battlecry.  
Let's take back what is ours,  
Those inalienable rights  
guaranteed to every man, woman and child;  
Just thinking of it makes my heart race wild.  
Imagine the look of fright,  
As the NWO release's that we plan to fight  
Instead of take to flight.  
It is more than just controlling guns,  
It is the promise of our forefathers,  
To this Country and its son's.  
To do what we like,  
To say what we feel,  
To ensure that our dreams have a chance to be real.  
To other nations of the world we must make this decree,  
We will take a stand and keep America free.

-----

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Like a good fight? Well, this November you'll get your chance. The first duty of a good citizen is to actively participate in government. Regardless of what you personally think about a particular party or political group, there are many good men and women seeking public office who deserve our support.

Start now, find those incorrigible "radical right wing extremists" most willing to protect and support Constitutional ideals. Register to vote. Yes, some day we may very well need to fight for our rights, but now is not the time to concede at the polls. That's where the fight will be in November. You're expected to show up and do your duty.

While you're doing that, why don't you do something to give heartburn to the liberal socialists of North Carolina. Join Grass Roots North Carolina (P.O. Box 401, Sherills Ford, NC, 28673; 704/478-4121; \$18/Year). We are familiar with GRNC only through their publications, but their support of Second Amendment and related legislative issues is commendable. They are working to identify political candidates worthy of support; give them a hand.  
=====+

The North Carolina Citizens Militia has been advertising its new Web Site <http://www.ncmilitia.org> for some time now. The web site is still awaiting authorization from the World Wide Web. The Site is completed and is posted and will be available for viewing as soon as the paper work is complete. The Web Site will contain several changes including an updated links page and a current event page. We'll be sure to notify our readers as soon as it is available for viewing. Until <http://www.ncmilitia.org> is processed you may still view the home page of the North Carolina Citizens Militia at <http://www.netpath.net/~jeffr/nccm.htm>.  
=====+

We now have a full color insert section to be added to our North Carolina Citizens Militia Manual. You can arrange to receive your inserts by contacting our Public Affairs Officer and requesting a color insert set.

Public Affairs Officer  
1-704-648-8709  
PO Box 994  
Canton, NC 28716  
[davisj@primeline.com](mailto:davisj@primeline.com)

=====+

COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS gladly accepts your comments, suggestions, articles and letters. Those which best reflect the principles of freedom, independence, and republicanism may be published.

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS can currently be reached via:

NCCM Website: [ncmilitia.org](http://ncmilitia.org)  
Telephone: 704/648-8709  
Mailing address: Carolina Free Press  
P.O. Box 994  
Canton, N.C. 28716

Copyright 1998, CAROLINA FREE PRESS - Permission to print/copy and distribute is granted as long as content is not altered and proper credit given. Electronic reproduction and distribution is encouraged.