

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS

July/August, 1998 Volume IV Issue 4

Electronic Version

TURNING A BLIND EYE

Goose-Stepping Down The Road To Tyranny

TALES FROM THE FALL

Short Story of The Apocalypse

IN THE BEGINNING

Congress Created Chaos

DEFINING FEDERALISM

Unrestrained Government Running Amuck

SMALL ARMS PERSPECTIVES

The Right Tool For The Job

MONETARY CYCLES

The Currency Pot Boils On

BARNYARD FABLES #29

Chicken Militia SITREP

BOOK REVIEW

The Fearful Master

IN THE WIRE

READERS RESPOND

ANNOUNCEMENTS

"Modern totalitarian statism is the overriding social issue of all time - an issue that overshadows all markets and affects all investments. It is the underlying but seldom-discussed cause of all major social crisis."

"Either there will emerge a fundamental change in our conceptions and actions concerning "government" within this decade, or we will have all our investments confiscated and become either employees or prisoners of the state." Jerome F. Smith, The Coming Currency Collapse

TURNING A BLIND EYE

Goose-Stepping Down The Road To Tyranny

From where any of us sit it's more than a little difficult to visualize the broad scope of events related to the rise of global governance as they unfold. We're sure not privy to the activities of the insiders. But we can certainly sense the movement and direction of the beast, and observe as it closes with its' victims.

It is more and more evident that, in the absence of moral constraints, the perceived solution to every problem is more laws, more controls, more citizen assaults by government, and more tyranny. There are no more limits or standards; as a nation we have permitted our leaders, in our names, to literally trash our national moral and legal values.

We live in interesting times. When it comes to leadership we observe there is no problem too small to legislate; no hesitation to create crisis where there is none; no lie too big; no cover-up too bold; no excuse too weak; and, no moral cause strong enough to support.

Liberal apologists and socialist politicians alike smile and pooh-pooh critics of our Fuhrer and the surviving members of his support team as they continue to hobnob with and otherwise roll out the porkbarrel to support international communism... and vice versa. But there is a lot more than something a little squirrely about Boris, Natasha and company. A nation may be able to survive it's fools, but when they dispense with our security and sovereignty for specious political gain... Some dismiss it as buffoonery, but this political insanity of giving away our substance, be it cash or technology, is nothing less than the yellow brick road to national suicide.

Oh, bother... let's turn to something more pleasant. As optimists we contemplate performing our civic duty in the upcoming Fall elections. We grasp at yet another opportunity to "make real change". Yet, like the sham Republican "contract on America" we realistically do not expect to find a "silk purse" among the carefully selected stable of pigs ears. We instinctively understand, from years of orchestrated candidate selections, that no citizen will be invited to vote on whether to participate in global governance under the United Nations. No candidate will offer Americans a choice, or an explanation of the New World Order, or ask whether citizens approve of the enforced change in our form of government from a limited constitutional republic to a fascist totalitarian state. Yet, we must vote for as long or short a time as the opportunity exists. And since we must, and "none of the above" is an option, let's consider Dilbert as a write-in candidate.

Rambling back to Washington, we understand that first "lady" Natasha is now semi-officially stomping about proposing a change to a parliamentary form of government where the "president" is appointed not elected. And Boris, rumored to have sent a secret Executive Order to the pentagon calling for the future confiscation of all semi-automatic weapons, has just returned from a trip to Red China where he proclaimed that "certain rights" (he means civil or government granted rights, not inalienable) are "universal". Speaking to the people that destroyed a popular pro-freedom demonstration in Tieneman Square a few years back with unrestrained mayhem and murder, by the

person responsible for the massacre at Waco, Texas, we're sure they understood exactly what he meant.

And, as a reward for allowing the Chinese to make illegal and outrageous financial contributions to the Democratic National Committee, we now learn that the Red Chinese have thirteen ICBM's aimed at the United States. That's the bad news. The good news is that, thanks to Clinton's under-the-table transfer of satellite encryption and guidance technology to the Chinese, their missile aiming systems will soon dramatically improve. Those ignorant liberal clowns in Arlington can take comfort in that. Anything aimed at Washington will now surely fall cleanly within the beltway.

But who cares about ICBM's? Not only have we opened our doors wide to one-sided foreign trade courtesy of NAFTA and GATT, but the President and his minions are working hard to ensure the prompt turnover of the Long Beach harbor/naval base to COSCO, the Red Chinese merchant marine. These, you recall, are the same people who were reportedly instrumental in illegally importing and distributing over 100,000 AK-47's to street gangs in California, who threatened to nuke west coast cities if we allowed Taiwan to participate in the Olympics, and for all practical purposes, bought the presidency.

Now, the Chinese will soon have free and unfettered access to the most effective, multiple nuclear warhead delivery system in the world -- the ubiquitous 18-wheeler. Who needs rockets when you've got trucks? So what if ships and trucks aren't as glamorous as missiles. Trucks are certainly the most reliable, practical and economically efficient delivery system available. And, talk about precision global positioning...who can beat that good 'ol US highway system map.

We've got to give the Chinese credit. They've discovered, to the dismay of the Russians, that it's much cheaper and practical to pay off politicians than scientists. We take cold comfort in Boris's assurances that there are no Russian nuclear missiles aimed at American children (they're too small and mobile). But, then again we have international terrorist Ossar ben Ladin, who incidentally has recently pledged to destroy America. We hope he doesn't have any good friends with COSCO.

Hobnails may have changed to Vibram, but the goose-stepping march continues.

We now learn that four of the Montana "freemen" have been convicted as corporate citizens of the United States. Capitulating from their standoff with the guarantee of a constitutional common law trial and confidentiality of their paperwork, they have been physically abused and every guarantee violated by federal courts. They chose to defend themselves within the "system" believing justice could still be won, and lost. Challenges to federal jurisdiction were ignored in violation of US code. Government officers vowed to seek retrial of those found innocent until they are convicted.

Not long ago militia spokesman and radio talk show host Mark Koernke narrowly avoided a legal ambush, a'la Randy Weaver, designed to ensure his permanent incarceration. Mark was charged with a misdemeanor for ordering a threatening "court officer" off his property who was trying to serve subpoena papers for an unrelated case. During subsequent court actions it became clear that the court had abdicated jurisdiction, and with evidence and sworn testimony pending that legal

procedures were being intentionally violated to ensure his incarceration, Koernke elected not to participate in a kangaroo court action. It remains a point of speculation how a misdemeanor charge, for which court jurisdiction and due process had been abdicated, has subsequently turned into a federal felony.

Looking beyond Michigan, another quasi-legal ambush has been staged for Bill Cooper. Patriot author and talk show host out of Show Low, Arizona, Bill just coincidentally has a law suit decision pending which would compel the IRS to admit it has no jurisdiction in the United States outside of Washington, D.C. (Income tax void, administrative powers unconstitutional). Threatened with a federal arrest warrant, Cooper remains free and has vowed to resist any unconstitutional action against him or his property. ("The Hour of The Time" shortwave radio program WRMI, 9.955 MHz, AM, M-F 8 PM EST; Veritas newspaper c/o P.O. Box 1450, Eagar, Arizona, 85925; website: <http://harvest-trust.org>).

A brave few resist and refuse to submit to the beast; many others have fought within, believing justice could still be wrung out of the system, and lost. Dissent must be quashed; potential resistance must be neutralized for the NWO to succeed.

Probing the edges of the Swamp of Uncertainty, US globalists know they must cross the dismal quicksand of latent patriotism and constitutional law to achieve their goal of unrestricted power. Amongst the cattails at swamp's edge they've pounced on some relative pussycats in pushing the tyrants agenda. At Waco, Ruby Ridge, and the Republic of Texas, seeds of dissent were quashed, innocent blood spilled, and most Americans turned a blind eye. Massive cover-ups continue to disguise government complicity in the bombings of the Murrah Building in Oklahoma City and the World Trade Center in New York. And the media continues to help blind the public eye.

Subjugation cannot tolerate any competition from the world of ideas. But, it occurs to us that somewhere among the cattails at the edge of the swamp they'll find a tail attached to a tiger.

"There are no dangerous weapons; there are only dangerous men." Robert A. Heinlein

TALES FROM THE FALL:

Short Story of The Apocalypse by FLH

"The first stress-fractures in the American psyche were already apparent in 1991, when government agencies such as the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (BATF), were first given executive authority to suppress internal dissent by force of arms. A full reconstruction of federal intent is very difficult due to the deliberate destruction of records immediately prior to the fall of Washington, DC, in 2008. However, it appears that members of the Bildeburger Group (cf. Rockefeller, Rothschild, et al.), and the Tri-lateral Commission (among whom were numbered American Presidents George Bush and William Clinton) were intent on disarming the American populace. The fear among these internationalist groups was that an armed America would violently object to the subordination of the American Constitution to the United Nations Charter. They were

correct in this assumption." - "A History of the Second American Revolution, " by Aldridge M. Bophar, Interactivetexts, Ltd., New Delhi, 2041.

Jason slowly rolled to his left, ignoring the sharp pain of jagged rubble grinding into his back and side. The charging handle of his Mini-14 dug into his chest as he rolled across the weapon.

He could almost hear his joints grind as he brought the rifle up to sight in on the location where he suspected one of the Federals had moved. Even after two years of experience at fighting masked, Jason still resented having to sight with both eyes.

"Besides," he thought ruefully, "I need glasses."

To anyone who had ever seen Jason in action, his deteriorating vision would have been hardly noticeable; even at night when he seemed to need glasses most. An uncanny marksman, he seldom missed, even at the age of 65.

He did a quick scan of his immediate front, then slowly panned back, the front sight of his weapon serving to focus his vision. His ability to sense where his adversary might be lurking had become almost second nature to him by now, and his sight lingered briefly at each possible point of cover and concealment.

They were stalking each other through the ruins of what must have been not too long ago a medium-sized shopping center. The huge chunks of masonry scattered about provided a multitude of possible hiding places.

Nothing moved.

"Not bad for a kid," thought Jason, impressed despite himself. Lately the Federals had been using younger and younger kids for their hunter/killer teams. Usually orphans, often gang members, the younger ones were more easily controlled. Free food, free drugs, free sex and a purpose in life; what more could an abandoned child ask for?

"Perhaps to be told the truth about who he is," Jason breathed.

Jason still sometimes grew sick at the memory of the dead Federal a few months back. He had to kill that time because the Federal had a bead on Jason's partner. After the hit, a head shot, Jason had gone over to make sure. He had ripped off the Federal issue gas mask to reveal the face of an angel. No more than fifteen at the most, the girl still had, even in death, that grim look most Federals wore.

Discipline had won out over emotion. Jason had stripped the body of useable gear, including the belly-mounted hormone, glucose and narcotic injector belt.

Only after he was at a safe house did he become violently sick. He stayed that way for three days. On the fourth day, he went back out on patrol as if nothing had happened. But part of his heart had

died and another dead place formed in his soul to join the gaping hole left when his wife and child had been killed by Federals in the Atlanta uprising of '99.

Blinking away the beads of sweat rolling into his eyes beneath the gas mask, Jason searched carefully for anything out of the ordinary: movement, color, shape. He could almost smell the Federal: cheap government issue after-shave, laundry detergent ...fear.

There!

A large section of brick wall had fallen behind a shattered concrete block. His adversary's gray, green and tan urban camouflage contrasted too well with the red brick. Jason could see the other man's uniform where a portion of his body extended beyond the section of concrete he had chosen as cover.

Deliberately slowing his pulse and breathing rate, Jason allowed his experience to adjust and develop his sight-picture.

"The first principle under which we must operate in the event of armed conflict will be Coordinated Independence of Action."

"Our adversary will be well trained, well equipped and totally deluded into believing we are evil incarnate. He will have and use night vision and electronic listening devices, infrared detection, advanced medical enhancement technology, and advanced information acquisition and processing capabilities. As with any modern force, however, he will be hampered by his logistical needs, his reliance on the technology he uses, and by the fact that he has been taught a lie. But his single greatest vulnerability will be his reliance on a centralized, hierarchical command structure."

"Legionnaires, on the other hand, will be expected to operate alone for weeks at a time, if necessary. This is possible due to several factors: you are free men, capable of making your own decisions; you agree with the principles and techniques of Legion politics and tactics; you are highly trained and motivated; and most importantly, you understand and agree with the spiritual, philosophical and legal principles of freedom." -"The Deathless Legion: Organization, Indoctrination and Training" by Origen,* publisher unknown, 1998.

Following the axiom "take all the time you need, but need all the time you take," Jason waited until his sight-picture and sight alignment were perfect. One last breath...let out half...hold it...relax...trigger squeeze...

The silenced Mini-14 bucked once in his grip, slapping the wet rubber of the mask back against his face. There was a scream of pain from behind the concrete slab, an explosive movement of urban camouflage and then stillness.

Jason could see his adversary's feet sticking out from cover now, the toes of the government issue combat boots pointing at the sky.

Jason slowly rotated his body a full 360 degrees searching for any response the hit may have drawn. Satisfied that none would be forthcoming within the next few minutes, he began to work forward on his belly, weapon pointed at his fallen foe.

"The Second Principle under which we will operate will be: Our Adversary Is Our Neighbor. This is quite literally true. We will seek out material dumps and information nodes, not troop concentrations. We will destroy Federal Headquarters operations, not personnel training sites. We will never kill when we can disable. We will never disable when we can capture. We will never capture when we can convert. No matter what the provocation, we must never retaliate in kind. Our purpose is to set the captives free, not add to the carnage." -"The Deathless Legion: Organization, Indoctrination and Training" by Origen, publisher unknown, 1998.

The Federal was unconscious when Jason reached him. "Probably in shock," he thought to himself.

He carefully rounded the concrete slab, his weapon held close and pointed at his adversary. The 5.56 mm round had caught the Federal in the upper thigh, high enough to be disabling, not so high as to shatter the hip joint. One glance told him that the wound was serious but probably not fatal, not with Federal medical facilities less than an hour's Medivac distance from Atlanta.

Still crouching, Jason pulled the Federal's camouflage blouse and hauled him further into the shadow cast by the remainder of the brick wall.

First things first. Jason pulled the Federal's kevlar/ceramic headgear off, ripping out the miniaturized communications headset. Stowing it in his pack, he carefully examined the visor. A new model, the visor had a heads-up data display that currently showed the location of every Federal within three miles. Based on a "down and dirty" interpretation of the symbology, Jason estimated he had no more than 25 minutes before the closest unit arrived, also noting that the next unit was over 45 minutes out.

He smashed the helmet against the wall until the visor shattered.

He expertly searched pockets, pouches and backpack, stowing anything of use in his own field vest and pack.

Placing his palm against the boy's forehead, Jason paused briefly to recite one of Origen's "Prayers For A Fallen Adversary," then turned his attentions to the Federal's wound.

Ripping open one of the hand-sewn pouches on his field vest, Jason extracted a small syringe, thumbed the needle cap off and injected the solution into the Federal's thigh through the rip-stop nylon directly above the wound.

He was applying a pressure dressing to the bleeding wound when he became aware the boy's eyes were open. He watched every move Jason made. Ignoring him, Jason continued tending the gunshot until he was satisfied the Federal would live until his own people could care for him.

"Why are you doing this?", the boy asked, his pain now almost blanked by the serotonin blocker.

"Damned if I know," Jason grunted, then looked at him. He wished he could see the boy's face, but couldn't risk removing his mask. The Federals were notorious for gassing entire city blocks, including their own men, just to neutralize one Legionnaire. Any civilians in the area were considered expendable.

"Listen carefully, we don't have much time," he stated in his best matter-of-fact tone.

The boy simply looked at him as if he were a madman.

"I've given you a local serotonin blocker and applied a pressure bandage. You haven't lost much blood, but you're in minor shock, so this should be adequate until your nearest unit arrives."

Jason glanced at his watch.

"That should be in about fifteen minutes."

"While we're waiting, I'm going to present you with some facts."

"You have been taught that the Legion is merciless. That we rape, kill and slaughter without compunction. If that is true, why have you never seen a Legionnaire do such things? If that is true, why would I have tended to you when it would have been more logical to kill you or leave you untended?"

"I have every reason to want to kill you... to kill any Federal," Jason smiled grimly. "Have you ever heard of the Atlanta Uprising of '99?"

"They told us that...", the boy began.

Jason completed his sentence for him: "... that most of the rioters were members of the Deathless Legion and Federals had to be called in to prevent massive loss of life."

The young Federal nodded.

"The truth is that the Legion really didn't exist in '99, except in name only. The rioters were unemployed, people desperate because their work was being moved from the US to countries where labor was cheaper."

"I was a computer programmer working at Peachtree Center when the Federals moved in. My wife and three year-old daughter were on their way to see me at my office. Federal troops opened fire on

the crowd, killing hundreds, including my wife and daughter. I saw the whole thing from my office window."

Jason paused for a long moment, then erased the mental tape as he had hundreds of times before.

"You have been taught that the current 'Federal Emergency' was declared because the Legion and other groups, such as the various militias, were engaging in acts of sedition and sabotage. The truth is that almost all incidents of sabotage since the bombing of the Oklahoma City federal building in 1994 have been committed with the full knowledge and complicity of federal agencies. Their purpose has been to use public fear of terrorism to perpetuate themselves in power. If you doubt this, check to see how many people who were in positions of authority in 1995 still are."

"You have been taught that the Constitution of the United States is an antiquated document and that the United Nations Charter has superseded it with the full consent of the American people. Nothing could be further from the truth. Power was seized and held precisely because the people did NOT want to submit to the new international order."

Jason's voice became gentle.

"And finally, you have been told that the reason you are an orphan and the reason you are dependent on the Federals for your livelihood is because of the chaos and disruption brought on by the Legion and others like us. In fact you, like so many others your age, are the product of in-vitro fertilization in a government lab. You were implanted in the womb of a surrogate mother, then abandoned by the State to fend for yourself in one of the larger urban areas at the age of four as a means of 'natural selection,' so that only the toughest survivors could become Federal troops."

Jason laid his hand on the boy's shoulder.

"In short, my friend, you have been manipulated, duped and lied to since before you were born."

"You're a god-damned liar!" the boy screamed, his face contorting.

"No," Jason stated calmly, glancing at his watch again, "I'm not the liar. Your government is."

"Think about this very carefully," Jason smiled slightly, struggling with his backpack. "What if I'm right? Your entire life is a lie. But you're young, you can change. You have years in which to make things right. See if you can verify some of what I've told you. Then look me up and tell me I lied to you."

Jason hefted his rifle and did a 360, looking for inbound Federals as he prepared to leave.

"You shoot me for trying to kill you, then you bandage me up and kill my pain, then you give me a lecture and tell me my entire life is a lie, but that it's up to me to change things? Who the hell ARE you? What are you?", asked the boy.

"Something you've never seen before," Jason smiled down at him, "A free man." END

IN THE BEGINNING

Congress Created Chaos

"Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency.... A majority of the people of the United States have lived all their lives under emergency rule. For 40 years, freedoms and governmental procedures guaranteed by the constitution have, in varying degrees, been abridged by laws brought into force by states of National emergency... These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of federal law. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the President extraordinary powers, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect the lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners. This vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule this country without reference to normal constitutional processes. Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulation the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and, in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens." Senate Report No. 93-549, Report Of The Special Committee On The Termination Of The National Emergency, United States Senate, November, 1973.

Contemplating its' entry into World War I Congress, on October 6, 1917, enacted HR 4960, the Trading With The Enemy Act. It's intent was to prohibit commerce with Germany or its allies and to control the activities of the "enemy" (German citizens in the United States) by license and other means. Groups, corporations or individuals, *other than citizens of the United States* (italics added), were defined as the "enemy".

Under Section 5. (b) of the Act the President, to provide for the "safety of the United States", retained the sole authority to regulate activities of the "enemy". The Act granted him broad and virtually unrestricted powers to:

"... investigate, regulate, or prohibit, under such rules and regulations as he may prescribe, by means of licenses or otherwise, any transactions in foreign exchange, export or earmarkings of gold or silver coin or bullion or currency, transfers of credit in any form (other than credits relating solely to transactions to be executed wholly within the United States); and transfers of evidences of indebtedness or of the ownership of property between the United States and any foreign country, whether enemy, ally of enemy or otherwise, or between residents of one or more foreign countries, by any person within the United States..."

Capitalizing on the success of the War Powers Act, President Roosevelt compelled Congress to amend the Trading With The Enemy Act which they did on March 3, 1933 (HR 1491): "To provide relief in the existing national emergency in banking, and for other purposes". His justification was that he required the same powers and authority to confront the bankruptcy of the

nation as he would to fight a war. In doing so he took advantage of the financial panic to herd Congress, with the assistance of the monopolistic financial community, into granting him nothing less than extra-constitutional dictatorial powers.

The amended War Powers Act extends these Presidential "powers" to regulations, rules, licenses, orders and proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken (Ed. note: read as approval in perpetuity), promulgated, made or issued by the President of the United States *or* (italics added) the Secretary of the Treasury. The latter, which we hope to explore at a later date, had equally serious implications associated with the unconstitutional creation of federal "agencies".

But with respect to sovereign citizens, the amended law has serious consequences which seriously affect our lives and abrogate our security from governmental intervention to this day. Section 2 of the amended Act states:

"Subdivision (b) of Section 2 of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended, is hereby amended to read as follows:

(b) During time of war *or during any other period of national emergency* (emphasis added) declared by the President, the President may, through any agency that he may designate, or otherwise, investigate, regulate, or prohibit, under such rules and regulations as he may prescribe, by means of licenses or otherwise, any transactions in foreign exchange, transfers of credit between or payments by banking institutions as defined by the President, and export, hoarding, melting, or earmarking of gold or silver coin or bullion or currency, by any person within the United States or any place subject to the jurisdiction thereof (emphasis added)..."

In one action, Congress usurped the Constitution by:

1. Granting legislative approval in perpetuity, thereby abrogating their sworn duty and obligation to protect the natural and Constitutional rights of individuals.
2. Unconstitutionally delegating their responsibilities to the Executive branch, which is not an enumerated power of Congress, and eliminating the separation of powers provisions which effectively change our form of government in violation of Article IV, Section 4 which guarantees each state a "Republican Form of Government". It usurped the Tenth Amendment which reserves powers not enumerated to the States and the people.
2. Effectively suspending Constitutional protections (natural or Constitutional rights) during any undefined "period of national emergency" thereby guaranteeing in perpetuity, extra-Constitutional powers.
3. Placed American citizens in the same legal class as enemies of the state, equated by some to be equivalent to prisoners of war resulting in a form of government no longer of, by and for the people, but a government over the people.

In summary, this Act set the stage for the development of a police state, where government by decree (i.e. Executive Orders), the extension of maritime (or admiralty) law, or acts performed simply under the color of law, prevail over Constitutional common law guarantees; where civil rights (those granted by government) supersede natural or Constitutional rights.

Two authors provide perspective:

"Through the insidious, yet steady encroachment of "emergency powers," the government has now achieved the ability to rule the people by statute or decrees, without the vote or consent of the ruled.... In reality, under this "unconstitutional dictatorship," the roles have been reversed. We have no rights except those the government grants us. Under our constitutional government, we the people had all rights except those specifically granted to the government." Dr. Eugene Schroder, Constitution: Fact or Fiction.

The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor provides an overview of the consequences of rule by executive order:

"These emergency provisions are no longer issued only by the executive branch. Given that the Constitution is laid aside by the presidential declaration of national emergency, Congress has gotten into the act as well, routinely enacting legislation that flies in the face of constitutional guarantees of freedom. Perhaps Congress knows that the president no longer needs their consent to legislate as he sees fit, and they go along peacefully lest they shatter the appearance that they still have some power.

Thus, national emergencies have produced everything from wage and price controls to the abolition of due process in punishing the guilty by allowing various government agencies to seize property from people without even accusing them of a crime. The latest subterfuge is the war on terrorism, which is making way for any individual to have his normal rights suspended by declaring him, or an organization he belongs to as "terrorist." McAlvany Intelligence Advisor (An outstanding source of information on political and economic trends; subscriptions 800/528-0559.)

Therefore, to say that our Constitutional rights are under attack, or even non-existent, is less an exaggeration than a statement of fact. While your congressperson will assure you the Constitution is still in effect, you will not be told that almost every provision of the Constitution has been effectively superseded or annulled by War Powers decrees.

Under color of law government can do anything it wants, any time it wants simply by decree. Because of War Powers: gold and silver no longer give value to our currency; the President can declare war without the consent of Congress; Constitutional rights are not recognized in federal courts; government detractors can be incarcerated as political prisoners; American citizens can be sent to concentration camps (Ex. 70,000 Japanese-American citizens during WW II); politicians will never give an honest answer about the current legal relationship between the government and the people; most people don't have a clue to what's happening, and; why the federal government, with support at the state level, is at war with the people.

It explains why every president since Roosevelt has periodically published a proclamation in the Federal Register declaring the country to be in a state of "national emergency", no matter how absurd the premise claimed to justify such action.

The consequences of this Act have been profound and have clearly brought us under a different and totalitarian form of government described variously as a constitutional dictatorship, police state, or fascist socialist state. Take your pick, each has applicability. In its present transitional form, it shares characteristics of each and holds the promise of a complete transition as it moves politically left toward a totalitarian police state under the New World Order.

Anyone not mesmerized by liberal disease might be compelled to ask, is this legal?

In 1935 and 1936 the Supreme Court found many of the Acts adopted under the umbrella of War Powers to be unconstitutional. Faced with clear constitutional constraints Roosevelt resolved the impasse in a true political fashion by appointing justices to the Supreme Court more sympathetic to his political agenda than the Constitution. The result:

"In 1937-38 the Supreme Court reversed their previous rulings and the peacetime constitutional barriers to the socialist war time agenda were removed. The "original understanding" doctrine was replaced with the doctrine that the constitution was an "evolutionary document." Dr. Eugene Schroder, Constitution: Fact or Fiction.

So, is that the end of it? Do the servants, having been granted the privilege of guarding the security of the republic, decide the form and fate of the nation? At what point does tyranny begin, liberty and freedom end?

"The accumulation of all powers, legislative, executive, and judiciary, in the same hands, whether of one, a few, or many, and whether hereditary, self-appointed, or elective, may justly be pronounced the very definition of tyranny." James Madison, Federalist Papers No. 47.

As citizens we need to be reminded that the U.S. Constitution remains the supreme law of the land, NOT the United Nations Charter, Executive Orders, treaty, or other law promulgated by decree or under "color of law". Any statute which is not consistent with any of its' provisions is invalid.

"By the general power to make treaties, the Constitution must have intended to comprehend only those objects which are usually regulated by treaties, and cannot be otherwise regulated. It must have meant to except out all those rights reserved to the states; for surely the President and the Senate cannot do by treaty what the whole government is interdicted from doing in any way." Thomas Jefferson, 1801.

"All laws which are repugnant to the Constitution are null and void." Marbury vs. Madison, 5 US (2 Cranch) 137, 174, 176, (1803)

"No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it." 16 Am Jur 2d, Sec 177, late 2d, Sec 256.

"The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it." Citizens Rule Book

The consequences of the socialist revolution in U.S. government in 1933 and later become even more repugnant the more we learn. We lost not simply a republican form of government with its balance of power and inalienable freedoms, but also the right to property. For example:

"The ownership of all property is in the State; individual so-called 'ownership' is only by virtue of Government, i.e., law, amounting to mere user; and use must be in accordance with law and subordinate to the necessities of the State." Senate Document No. 43, 73rd Congress, 1st Session from Constitution: Fact or Fiction.

Those who assert that the flames of liberty are waning rightly understand that what we enjoy is the illusion of freedom, not freedom itself. And events, seen in the context of this legislative history, point unerringly to a totalitarian consequence. Government is literally at war with its citizens.

Still, the foundation of our form of government remains the consent of the governed.

"We, the people, are the rightful masters of both Congress and the courts - not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow men who pervert the Constitution." Abraham Lincoln

Now that we're on sound footing, we're better prepared to examine the problems inherent in defining "federalism" and the dangers associated with turning a blind eye to history.

DEFINING FEDERALISM

Unrestrained Government Running Amuck

U.S. Constitution, Bill of Rights:

Preamble: "The conventions of a number of the States having at the time of their adopting the Constitution, expressed a desire, in order to prevent misconstruction or abuse of its powers, that further declaratory and restrictive clauses should be added: And as extending the ground of public confidence in the Government, will best insure the beneficent ends of its institution."

Article X: "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."

Executive Order #13083 dated May 14, 1998, carefully released to take advantage of the congressional recess, is a clear expropriation of states and citizen rights and a violation of the 10th

Amendment. It was published in the Federal Register, Vol 63, No. 96 published Tuesday, May 19, 1998. Congress, as expected, did not rise to challenge this direct assumption of non-constitutional authority.

Limited government, as envisioned by the Founders, and as provided in the Constitution, means that there are strict limitations on the power, authority and jurisdiction of the federal government, i.e., enumerated powers. Despite much strained and politically compelled bastardization of the "commerce clause", rational citizens recognize that there are no non-enumerated powers.

To say that government is observing the Founders intent regarding its' jurisdiction is a joke. Liberty is being silently, aggressively and maliciously stolen by those with aggrandizement and globalist aspirations. Executive Order 13083 is only the latest example. Strike away the platitudes and pro-constitutional rhetoric and it's simply more of the same, another power grab. This time over state jurisdiction.

Under the guise of defining "federalism", EO 13083 assumes virtually unrestricted federal legislative jurisdiction over the several states. In essence, the servant becomes the master.

Federalism, as defined in the EO, "reflects that principle that dividing power between the Federal Government and the States serves to protect individual liberty." Fine, but federalism is not defined. It must be inferred that federalism is the process by which the Executive branch, through its various agencies, exerts federal primacy over the states in all matters the federal government deems it has jurisdiction. In doing so it proposes to represent "enlightened public policy", but not compliance with Constitutional limits to governance.

This Executive Order defines nine broad circumstances in which the federal government can assume primacy over the states including: issues which have interstate implications; where events in one state cause harm in another; where there is a need for uniform national standards; where decentralization increases costs of government to taxpayers; where states have not "adequately protected individual rights and liberties"; where states are reluctant to impose "needed" regulations; where regulatory authority at the state level would undermine [federal] regulatory goals; when issues relate to federal property, natural resources, trust or international obligations; and, in matters which affect Indian tribal governments.

Here's the rub:

1. States become little more than advisory boards in any area considered to be of federal interest. States are graciously granted "maximum administrative discretion possible" (Section 3 (c)), but it is federal agencies which graciously permit "elected officials and other representatives of State and local governments to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications" (Section 4 (a)).
2. Federal agencies, representing the Executive branch NOT the legislature, retain the authority to determine the "policymaking discretion of States and local governments". Can you say "regional government?"

3. Federal agencies, not the legislature, retain the power not only to interpret rules and regulations, but to require implementation by the States. States which fail to comply will certainly face federal censure and funding constraints. Unfunded mandates aside, this EO makes states subservient to the bureaucracy itself.
4. The federal government essentially assumes authority to force anything it wants on the states.

Who determines where "federalism" applies? The federal government (the Executive) through its agencies. What are the limits to its authority to intervene in states matters? None, only the federal bureaucracy determines it's jurisdiction.

5. The EO does not recognize Constitutional limits on the federal government. It reverses the Constitutional relationship between the people and government and equates the authority of federal law equivalent to the Constitution, presumably by the non-exclusionary term "or", even if they are inconsistent.

"Section 1. (d) The people of the States are at liberty, subject only to the limitations in the Constitution itself or (italics added) in Federal law, to define the moral, political, and legal character of their lives."

6. It provides for federal intervention in states to protect "individual rights and freedoms". Presumably this applies only to civil and not natural or Constitutional rights, but remains open and undefined.
7. It substitutes "cooperative" efforts of local and state groups for the legislative and voting process.

Well we might get a little discouraged. This clearly unconstitutional EO paves the way for bureaucratic supremacy over states rights and effectively disembowels the 10th amendment. It heralds the way for regional government where literally federal determinations supersede local and state authority.

On the bright side, representatives of state and local government can of course provide "meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies which have federalism implications". On the dark side this EO, like many others, is purely executive trash, consisting of undefined terms, vague and arbitrary language and broad indiscriminate assumption of authority.

This federal power grab is nothing new. It represents the continued taking of the country, superseding local and state government, almost at will, with little opposition. But again, Executive Orders do not carry the force of law, only the appearance of legal authority adopted pursuant to a state of national emergency. There are few citizens and fewer still legislators at the state level willing to resist this taking.

One last consideration. If the federal government derives its authority from the states through the Constitution, how then can it supersede or abrogate the rights and jurisdiction of the sovereign states recognized under the 10th Amendment and still retain its legitimate authority to govern?

SMALL ARMS PERSPECTIVES

The Right Tool For The Job

A reader asked for our comments and recommendations on small arms for the militia. In fact, it might be a very good time to review this topic considering the national registration system which is to begin in November, 1998. Registration heralds confiscation.

The subject of weapons is heady stuff upon which many pontificate long and eloquently, and virtually everyone has an opinion. Militia perspectives are pretty clear. Here's the short version. When called upon to defend self, family, community, country, and our limited constitutional republic, the right tool for the job is essential. It need not be fancy, just reliable and reasonably accurate.

Military, and military style rifles in military calibers are strongly recommended. The unorganized militia is part of the armed forces of the nation and should be properly equipped.

Some preferred rifles (no order of preference implied) and calibers include, but are not limited to:

- * M-14 (M1A) in 7.62x51 mm NATO (.308 Win.),
- * M-1 Garand in 30-06 (7.62x63) or rechambered to 7.62 mm NATO (.308 Win.)
- * FN-FAL, HK-91 or equivalent in 7.62x51mm NATO
- * Colt AR-15 or variant, 5.56x51 (.223 Rem.)
- * Scoped, bolt action precision rifle, with bipod in 30-06, .308, 300 Mag. or other standard large caliber.
- * Russian/Chinese AK-47, SKS, MAK-90, or variant in 7.62x39 mm

What we might like, what we can afford, and what we have are often quite different. The bottom line is that what rifle you use is not nearly so important as your ability to use it proficiently. It is essential that you learn to clean and maintain your weapon, and to practice to realize its maximum accuracy potential and to maintain proficiency in its use.

Your militia unit should make every effort toward standardization. If not in weapons, then certainly in caliber. Key considerations in any acquisition should include your capability to stock, at the same time as the purchase of your weapon, sufficient quantities of spare parts and magazines, ammunition, and cleaning materials.

Make your purchases carefully, unregistered. Don't even consider arms which are of questionable legality.

Sidearms (pistols) are optional. Standardization is not as critical as are rifle calibers. Consider .45 ACP, 9MM, .38/.357, and .22LR calibers as options. Discuss your needs with your militia unit commander and/or operations officer.

As a final note, work with others in your group to develop a familiarity with their equipment. Cooperate to accumulate at least some basic supplies to ensure your field readiness. No supplies, no mobility. You know what they say about sitting ducks.

THE MILLENNIUM BUG

Exterminator-Proof Superbug

A reader, expressing concern about the Y2K "millennium bug", asked us to comment on our preparation for the big event scheduled to take place no later than 12/31/99. Our problem in responding at this point is that it's hard enough trying to define the scope of the problem no less planning for the solution.

Virtually every commentator and resource we have surveyed agree that the problem is real. Profound operational problems will indeed develop within mainframe computer systems worldwide leading to numerical chaos in cyberspace. The disagreements center on 1) whether the crisis was contrived (and for what purpose), possibly suggesting a contrived outcome, and 2) how serious the crisis may be. Conjecture regarding the former is circumstantial and perhaps irrelevant for non-participants at this point.

One thing is assured, however, the nature and timing of the problem is certainly convenient. Government will predictably exploit the situation, regardless of severity, using it to obscure the real and inescapable financial crisis brought about by a failed fiat currency system. It is an opportunity not to be missed to absolve itself of all culpability. By executive decree and regulatory remedy, we anticipate more and possibly draconian controls on all essential systems, financial and otherwise, contributing to the consolidation of more power unto itself under the facade of public good.

Optimists look toward a short period of adjustment to which banks and other financial institutions, public utilities and others will soon adapt. Some predict the collapse of world satellite and banking systems, power grids, transportation system, "essential" government functions, water and sewer systems, and more. Regardless of the success of US companies and agencies to meet Y2K compliance requirements, synergistic affects between compliant and even a few non-compliant systems would be disastrous.

Even by government appraisal, potential impacts are likely to be significant. Consider Executive Order 13073 (February 4, 1998) addressing Year 2000 Conversion issues, and Securities and Exchange Commission Proposed Rule 17 CFR Parts 275 and 279 (Federal Register of July 7, 1998). Each calls for prompt attention to Y2K issues. The General Accounting Office reports government computers lagging behind industry and business albeit spending prodigious amounts of public money to correct mainframe deficiencies by the drop-dead date of 12/31/99. Even before

that date many, if not most, mainframes will not be able to tell the difference between year 2000 and 1900.

So, contemplating worldwide chaos and economic ruin, temporary inconvenience or disaster, the prudent consider the implications and preparations begs the question of timing. We understand that there are a number of trigger dates between July 1, 1998 and 12/31/99. You can read about the why's and wherefore's elsewhere. What we need to remember is that if the Y2K crisis is as severe as many claim, it will create tremendous opportunity for cyber crime, misinformation, theft, military and corporate subversion, and provide tremendous incentive for military opportunism.

To avoid waking up to find our fiat funds and fortune, along with our mail, lost forever in cyberspace what might we do? We can't give economic or investment advice, there is no simple solution, but we have assembled a few considerations to get you thinking:

1. Be careful of scams of all types prompted out of capitalizing on fear and uncertainty. Calls for prudence, sound information, contemplation, and prudent investing.
2. Be wary of government proclamations, demands, and decrees; its survival and power are more important than your freedom and financial security.
3. Keep some cash at home, preferably smaller denominations including coins. Consider the possibility of bank failures or inability to assess your accounts for an indeterminate period.
4. Maintain and continue to improve your general preparedness and self sufficiency capability.
5. Assess the preparedness of your bank, investment company, and public utilities with regard to their operational integrity after and even before Y2K trigger dates.
6. Consider reducing stock holdings and paper investments for increased forms of tangible wealth.
7. Maintain a general level of awareness regarding the potential for panic reactions in the general public. Explore options with like minded friends; coordinate your preparation efforts.
8. Minimize or eliminate as much debt as possible. Incur no additional debt. Make no unsecured loans.
9. Contact your computer supplier regarding Y2K conversions which may be necessary. You still want to be able to access CFP!
10. Discuss the Y2K issue with your employer if possible to determine potential affects to employment.
11. Maintain good paper records of loans, debts, investments, and personal records.

12. Carefully consider all forms of commercial travel on or about key periods and the possibility of public system disruptions in densely populated areas.
13. Become more informed on Y2K issues. Discuss potential problems with like-minded friends how to deal with financial panic and possible scenarios in your areas. Mitigate paralyzing fear and hopelessness with information and knowledge.
14. Complete major business transactions, major medical procedures, large purchases, etc., prior to trigger dates; i.e., six months or more before the final target date of 1/1/00.
15. Backup key computer files.
16. Try to anticipate and prepare for uncertain deliveries and stocking at grocery and other stores, particularly with respect to key raw materials and supplies related to your job, hobbies or survival. Will you still get your mail?
17. Reconsider vacation plans, particularly to the Asian countries.
18. Stock up on some good reading material, novels, Constitution, history, militia manuals and how-to reference books.
19. Ask your congressperson how he/she is going to guarantee your constitutional freedoms if Comrade Bill, ever the opportunistic dictator, declares martial law over the Y2K crisis.
20. Consider Y2K affects on current make motor vehicles. Will your recent year car's computers still function after 12/31/99?
21. Consider getting a few payments ahead on your monthly bills; what happens if you cannot access your bank account? A bank holiday is not necessarily something we can all celebrate.
22. Consider the incentive for military opportunism. Believing command, guidance and communications systems may become obsolete or unreliable on or before 12/31/99 might prompt governments with more arms than sense to use them, including our own. Remember the dictum, "Order out of chaos", and consider its implications with regard to the New World Order. Make your security preparations accordingly.

The Y2K predicament doesn't merit hand-wringing and inactivity. We did just fine without massive government and big business for over 150 years. Government doesn't have any incentive to save your hide at the expense of theirs, and there is no "silver bullet". Government doesn't manage crises, it makes them. Basic precautions for personal survival are a personal responsibility, and basic preparations are not an insurmountable obstacle for most with the will to act in their own self interest. (Does this help, Nick?)

MONETARY CYCLES

The Currency Pot Boils On

Is the U.S. in a New Era of unparalleled investment opportunity? Pick up a newspaper or financial magazine. The stock market has no limit, price-to-earning ratios, book values and national budgets have no relevancy, and everlasting prosperity and productivity are guaranteed in an economy divesting itself as fast as possible from its' industrial, technological and military base. No, from a historical perspective it's the road to national self-destruction.

Many Asian countries are presently experiencing the fruits of years of overextended credits, inflated currencies, unparalleled public and private debt, and general fiscal irresponsibility. Talk show host Steve Quayle reports 80 million people on the verge of starvation in Indonesia, formerly one of the Asian financial "tiger" countries. Other Asian countries are reportedly exporting essential food crops to earn much needed hard currency simply to service basic financial obligations, many of which go unpaid.

Asian banks are insolvent and clearly under tremendous assault, . Russian banks, despite billions in "loans" are insolvent and set for failure because there is no fundamental economic strength to service the loans. We understand that every candidate for the Prime Minister post in Japan has pledged to support a weaker Yen to the detriment to the dollar and our overall trade balance.

And for all the desperation inherent in currency stability, the expenditure of future cash value doesn't even consider Y2K implications which can reduce the best efforts for international solvency to naught.

As the repercussions spread, potential impacts to the U.S. are obscured and we continue to guarantee billions to Russia and Japan, and support the IMF with billions to Korea, Indonesia and other failing economies. Attempting to stave off the inevitable we are apparently trying to buy time. In for a penny, in for a pound; disaster for all as fiat cash goes to ground.

Like Russia and the Asian tigers, by historical standards, the US has a classic "bubble" economy where vastly overinflated prices reflect fiscal extravagance well beyond actual value. But what does it matter if the US stock market goes to 10,000 if the country goes down in financial ruin, the currency worthless, the inevitable consequence of years of fiscal irresponsibility.

There are three points to keep in mind. First, as individuals we should remain alert to world wide financial developments as they spread. The pattern of deflationary chaos in other countries will lend clues to potential or parallel affects to American citizens. Secondly, serious consideration should be given to diversity of assets including the acquisition of at least a few silver coins. Third, each of us should do some basic reading on history, particularly the historical foundations of this nation including the background for Constitutional money. If you know what prompted the dramatic insistence of the Founders for a sound currency, you will understand the consequences of fiat (i.e. "we say so") currency. Understanding brings wisdom. Having all the "money" in the world is meaningless if it has no value.

In contemplating the repercussions of an Asian type deflation in the U.S. we might also consider that the militia may have it's most important influence on the sentient public in this pre-crisis period. It's importance in promoting public awareness has been significant, not to mention it's mere symbolic presence. It's influence and role in shaping future events after the massive crush of an economic/political crisis on an unprepared public is obviously yet to be seen. However, it is self-evident that an unprepared militia will be less viable in providing for defense of self and republic.

Massive transfers of wealth notwithstanding, the pending financial crisis will not be a pretty sight and will likely to have far more significant affects than reflected by the US media.

It was no mistake that our Founders required silver and gold, a measure of tangible wealth, as the only acceptable form of Constitutional money. In God we trust; in government we do not.

"Faced with desperate economic times and fearful of losing their own livelihoods and power base, politicians will continue to resort to the only mechanisms that have worked for them in the past; increasing deficit spending, more off the record debts, and higher taxes. While the politicians will be quick to assure everyone that, once the next economic slowdown is over that the future will be bright, fewer people will believe the politicians. As more cynicism develops among the people, more "radical" groups will rise-up creating even more conflict between the government and the growing anti-government forces.

In a desperate but losing attempt to control the ever growing number of "radical" anti-government groups that will be springing-up over the coming years, the government will fully unleash the powerful forces of the national media against the so called radicals. And make no mistake, the media can mold the mindset of the majority making the illogical seem somehow logical in the process.

.... While this sort of pandering does much for the short-term psyche of the loyalists, it will be interesting to witness the actions and reaction of these same people when they "later discover" that their leaders have left nothing in their retirement accounts but a fistful of worthless IOU's. It will also be quite frightening to witness "mob rule" when those non-productive Americans who have been feeding off the fat of the land also find, much to their anger, their entitlements benefits, have vanished - overnight and without warning. At this juncture, the anti-government forces will multiply like locusts and woe to be president or politician who did not get out of Washington in time." Michael Haga, After The Crash

BARNYARD FABLES #29

Chicken Militia SITREP

With a quick shudder to shake off the night dust Ozzie stepped to the doorway of the brand new structure. Looking up towards the satellite dish gleaming in the early morning sun he snapped a

quick salute to the flag and gazed proudly at the new sign: National Headquarters, Independent Grit Gazette.

Flexing his wings, Ozzie instinctively took a look around...all clear, no Predators in sight. Leaving the chattering office equipment behind he took several quick steps down the ramp.

Zzzzot! The unexpected shot caught the Bird full in the chest. Dazed by the shock, Ozzie was as much astonished by the surprise of the ambush as the dull thump of his landing in the dust.

"I'm a gonner fer sure," he thought to himself. Instinctively he rolled twice seeking cover. Almost in the same instant saw the splotch of yellow paint on his chest and heard the whiz of the paintball intended as his coup de grace splatter against the headquarters wall.

The group of small, would-be militia Chicks rose up out of the dust and scurried off across the barnyard as Rhode Island "Red" raced across the yard to the commotion.

"Ugggh, shoulda expected that sooner or later!" clucked Ozzie swaying unsteadily. "I'm OK," he said to Red, "got a mornin' SITREP ready for me yet?"

"Yes Sir", pucked Red. "Gotta tell ya, the Foxes came and got the Goose last night. Yep, they just waltzed down to the edge of the pond an asked the Goose to prove all them eggs was rightfully hers. 'Course she couldn't, so them Foxes confiscated the Bird and her eggs as gummint property."

"We mustered out a squad of Chickens," continued Red, "but lost the trail crossin' the alfalfa field. We ain't sure, but we think the Goose is cooked."

"Tell the Boys we 'preciate their work," said Ozzie pausing to scratch at an imaginary Bug in the dust. "We'll peck out a notice to the other farms so maybe they won't get Goosed by them gummint Foxes when they least expect it."

"Last report sir," continued Red, "you got a dispatch from CONMILSEC with a important new gummint notice."

"Yep, been expectin' it," said Ozzie. "It's one of them Executive Orders from them Foxes. Only this's special.

"See here, Sergeant", continued the Bird, "This one says that since we put the Foxes in responsible charge of the gummint, we already recognized their Canine superiority. So's now they's in charge of everythin' that goes on around the Farm, they owns us Chickens proper and everthing we got an' make, and even that we should serve 'em whenever we can, or they's gonna come and stifle us and the Gazette."

"Burrrip, pffttt", interrupted Red, "Ugggh, hairy breakfast", he said by way of explanation.

"We been trying to serve Fox whenever we can, Sir," continued Red. "But, bein' Birds an all, we got impeccable taste, and they's so skinny and ugly they taste lousy no matter how ya serve 'em. Least Dogs is better cause they's part civilized."

"Me an the Boys think them Foxes is just usin' then fancy notices to play Cat 'n Mouse with us, Sir," said Red, stiffening his neck with a firm cluck, focusing a beady eye on the other.

"Yup, some of us learned sumptin' when we served hard time in the Big Pen."

"Not to be too outspoken, Sir," continued Red, "but we knows that time to time the natural war between predator and prey reaches critical mass. When Foxes claim to own everything everybody pays a high price for everything, 'specially stoopidity."

"Violence is an evil thing, but when the guns are all in the hands of the men without respect for human rights, then men are really in trouble." Louis L'Amour

BOOK REVIEW

The Fearful Master, A Second Look At The United Nations

Not for the faint of heart or the easily distracted, The Fearful Master, published in 1965 and written by G. Edward Griffin (Western Island Publishers, Belmont, Massachusetts), does not provide an objective review of the United Nations. It attempts to accurately expose its philosophy, purpose and ultimate goals. Our attention to the book is compelled by the admonition to "know thy enemy". Thus said, this book is invaluable precisely because it describes the true nature of the beast.

"If the UN really were what most people think it is, it would be well worth the investment. The real cost of our membership will not, in the end, be measured in terms of dollars and cents; it will be counted out in terms of lost freedoms, despair and human suffering." G. Edward Griffin.

The author illustrates his case through a description of UN involvement in the suppression of democratic government in Katanga Province, the former Belgian Congo, during 1961. At that time the UN actively and knowingly aided financially and militarily in the creation of a soviet type state and knowingly aided in acts of murder and mayhem on civilian non-combatants.

Griffin describes how long maintained US policies help maintain and encourage international socialist states. That, in fact, bribery of marginally socialist countries with foreign aid alienates, rather than wins converts from communism. Further, that channeling money only through vaguely defined government programs helps to destroy private institutions in other countries and compromises our children's future by contributing to our national debt.

The author outlines how in Katanga the UN performed numerous acts of subversion, disinformation, and unrestrained warfare to meet its political objectives. It's ethical standards and practices are explained:

"Promising not to interfere in Katanga [U.N.] and at the very same time authorizing the use of force to interfere is the kind of double-talk that politicians through the ages have used to make their grab for unlimited power appear to be legal and proper. These pronouncements do not happen accidentally, nor are they the result of ignorance and incompetence. They are the mark of corrupt political skill, the product of unlimited cynicism tempered by years of experience. The men who have mastered this skill are proud of their accomplishment and are quick to admire it in others."

Griffin points out that black and white citizens of Katanga worked together to oppose the military aggression of the UN attempting to impose communist government:

"White residents took up arms and fought side-by-side with their African neighbors. They were not mercenaries. Nobody paid them. They volunteered to fight for the simple reason that the United Nations was destroying their homes and killing their loved ones."

While ultimately the home-grown "militia" forces did not prevail, the heinous story of UN atrocities in Katanga during 1961 is remarkably reminiscent of recent UN ventures into Somalia, Haiti and Bosnia to expand its interventionist role for purposes of domination and control.

Why does this continue? Griffin outlines the communist blueprint for organizing the efforts of the United Nations to affect world political domination. He describes a Soviet pamphlet, printed in 1945 which explains the functions they had in mind for the United Nations.

"To be sure, it was not the function of 'peace' that Americans anticipated. The pamphlet said: 'It [the San Francisco conference] met to outlaw war. But everyone knows that war cannot be abolished until imperialism [i.e. capitalism] is abolished.'"

The long term Soviet plan, explains Griffin, consisted of one simple objective: "to gain full working control of the UN and, at the same time, to entice the United States to gradually surrender its sovereignty to this world government."

Griffin observed that even 35 years ago those calling attention to the machinations of the UN were labeled "extremist" and "radical right" and expresses concern that so many are so easily deceived. Particularly susceptible are those that believe meaningful and permanent influence and friendships can be purchased from dictatorships.

The author goes on to explore how American republican principles are subverted through the use of "high-sounding phrases to appeal to the noble aspirations of well-intentioned but unenlightened followers" [psychological warfare techniques]. Critical similarities between the Soviet constitution and the UN Declaration on Human Rights are examined and found woefully deficient when compared with the guarantees of the U.S. Constitution.

Griffin describes two key differences between the socialist UN Declaration and the US Constitution:

1. The premise that rights under the US Constitution are not granted by government, and cannot be withdrawn by government, but are presumed to be God-given vs. the UN premise that rights do not exist unless granted by government.
2. Atheism is the basic tenet of Communism. Griffin notes that "if even the possibility of God is accepted, the entire superstructure of Communist ideology crashes into a heap of contradictions and absurdities. Conversely, an acknowledgment of dependence on God is the basic tenet of Americanism (recent Supreme Court decisions notwithstanding)."

The fallacies, double-speak and political gerrymandering so inherent in the socialist philosophies of the UN are brought to light, examined in contrast to the Constitution and trashed point by point. Many points are covered, but it is important that Griffin also discusses the means by which governments, particularly the UN, use to "legally" deprive citizens of their rights. He cites the case of ownership of property, which is the hallmark of US guarantees and which contrasts so dramatically with Communist philosophy which mandates the abolition of private property.

The philosophical lure of a safe, secure world where the goal of the common welfare is shared equally by all must be an aphrodisiac to the idealistic mind, otherwise blind to the realities of human nature. Or is the socialist concept simply a tool of the criminal mind with which to manipulate populations so uneducated and gullible so as to be willing to participate in their own demise and the power and aggrandizement of the manipulator? The book begs the question as Griffin describes the creation of the World Court and the subversion of the US Constitution under the facade of international treaties.

Griffin dispels the legitimacy claimed by political manipulators that corrupt Constitutional premises and make the Constitution subservient to international treaty. He cites, as one example of this subversion, the case of *New Orleans vs. U.S.* in 1836 where the Supreme Court ruled:

"The government of the United States... is one of limited powers. It can exercise authority over no subjects except those that have been delegated to it. Congress cannot, by legislation, enlarge the federal jurisdiction, nor can it be enlarged under the treaty-making power."

Overtaken in 1920, the Supreme Court (*Missouri vs. Holland*) declared that "a federal law, which was otherwise unconstitutional, must be considered valid if it is in accordance with a treaty."

So Griffin provides a history of how Constitutional guarantees have been unerringly compromised not by mere idealistic accident but in the name of political aggrandizement. Thus US government makes claim to unlimited powers essential to its participation in the United Nations which incidentally makes the UN the "professional politicians paradise".

Therefore, by its participation in the UN and having unlimited authority, the United States "is bound by treaty to uphold its pledge to promote unlimited government meddling around the world; to promote the very thing against which it fought a revolution two hundred years earlier."

Griffin also dispels any notion that the UN is the last hope for achieving a peaceful world. He states:

"How on earth can an organization promote peace in the world when strategically entrenched within it is the most aggressive peace destroying force the world has ever seen? International Communism recognizes only the principle of brute force."

In concluding *The Fearful Master*, having had a serious look behind the facade of platitudes, fancy praises and promises of global brotherhood and cooperation under the United Nations, we are reminded of the maxim that it is the truth which makes us free.

As we learn more about the UN, the truth makes us very nervous indeed. Excellent book; a good reference for constitutionalists and free Americans, and a clear call to arms for the unorganized militia.

IN THE WIRE:

Rolling Thunder Non-Event:

It was, almost. But it's hard to ignore 750,000 bikers riding down Pennsylvania Avenue to the Pentagon in Washington, DC. While almost totally ignored by the popular press, Rolling Thunder found almost three-quarters of a million Vietnam veterans in Washington to demand accountability for POW's, MIA's and government integrity. May 25th should have been a memorable day for America, a proud symbol of American patriotism, and a mark of honor for its veterans, but America never heard of it.

Elvis Sightings:

According to news service reports, Eric Rudolph, alleged perpetrator of several bombings, remains at large as of this writing despite a \$1 million reward. We don't have a clue regarding his whereabouts or complicity in the events of which he is accused, but we do have an observation.

We recall the unprecedented investigative shams associated with the bombings at Oklahoma City, the World Trade Center, and at the Atlanta Olympics. We've all been indirect witness to the concealment of key evidence, the massive rush to frame and punish the innocent, the use of staged dupes, the rape of private property and public credibility, the intimidation, disappearance or sudden demise of key witnesses, the negligent failure to pursue clues, and the massive snipe hunts conducted under the guise of substantive investigation.

The reading public is admittedly a bit jaded. Maybe Rudolph is guilty as maintained, but the persistent, focused search, so uncharacteristic of past staged "bumblings" makes us wonder whether Rudolph may be working harder to elude his former employers than eluding justice. Even dupes, if

they survive, eventually become smart enough to realize that there is no honor among "thieves". We bet there's another and very interesting side to this story.

READERS RESPOND

Received From Rex I. the following correspondence:

"Although I enjoy your mailings, even if I think some of them are a bit paranoid (many are not), it's stuff like this which alienates so many people from the patriot movement:

The United States was founded on *religious tolerance*, not "Christ the King" or some other figment of the collective imagination. What will be my fate, an atheist, if and when the shit hits the fan?

I tolerate homosexuality. Someone being gay has absolutely no bearing on my life. Will the Patriot movement do the same, in the name of "freedom", or will it fulfill the allegedly self-serving definition of "freedom" which is not freedom at all but Christianity, and with it, the persecution of people who engage in unChristian behavior? What of anti-Christian literature, pornography, etc.? Does the Patriot movement propose to restore the Second Amendment (as it should be), and re-interpret the First to suit its own ends? Just as "the right to keep and bear arms" shall not be infringed, likewise, the first amendment should not be abrogated.

What of the passages in the Bible of "It is easier for a camel to pass through the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter the kingdom of God?" How is this, in fact, compatible with the only truly free economic system ever conceived - Capitalism?

What of drug use and prostitution - neither victimizes anyone but the participants. As the present government enforces its version of "morality" down the throats of Americans, will the Patriot movement do the same by tossing drug users and prostitutes in jail? Or will it tolerate these things legally while speaking out against them in the spirit of *real* freedom?

As a libertarian I would like to think of you folks as allies, even if our attitudes are different on certain things. My question is, can the Patriot movement guarantee that it will uphold liberty and freedom for those people who run contrary to its Christian philosophy?

I am not a Christian (I was raised Catholic). I never will be-I see Christian morality, when shoved down anyone's throat, as destructive as the "NWO" forces which militia and patriot groups rightfully rage against. I will oppose bitterly any revisionist attempt to claim this is a "Christian" country. I am an American, a lover of freedom and an uncompromising defender of the Constitution as yourself - I too unreservedly oppose the war on guns, the United Nations, the BATF, and any and all "jack-booted government thugs" - but likewise, and consistently, I oppose the war on drugs, mandatory prayer in schools, and the teaching of "Christian" morality in schools. This country is about *freedom of religion*. The quote above is from the Free Press, written by

someone else, but it echoes a lot of the static I hear from militia and patriot groups, and it is extremely alarming to me.

As for other issues such as homosexuality, I really couldn't give a damn if it offends Christians - accordingly, I support the right of any two people to marry and have the same tax benefits as anyone else. (Actually I'm for a tax break for ANYONE, under ANY circumstances, for ANY purpose). I am heterosexual, but I have friends and associates who are gay and who rail against many of the same things that you and people who think similarly to you do. (I know, for example, many gay libertarians).

A mass delusion Christians in this country seem to face is that anyone who is not Christian or Jewish, in fact, anyone who does not believe in God, is somehow part of the problem. Many atheists and humanists have their own morality which is no subjectivist in nature, nor sympathetic with collectivism, communism, or fascism. I am one of those people - as moral or even more so than self-described Christians, in that any government I'd have a say in would tolerate religion and religious expression in private. But any codification of Christian morality (dealing with sex, etc.) into law, or a reinterpretation of the Constitution because allegedly our forefathers were Christians, will undoubtedly alienate myself - and I would fight against such a thing as forcefully as I fight authoritarians like Janet Reno.

I am an advocate of unregulated free market capitalism, smoking, gun ownership, minimalist government, and opposed to welfare and all related socialist phenomenon. But - I am not Christian, nor will be one anytime soon. I do not believe in God, nor do I see God as necessary in the argument for freedom whatsoever.

I know you cannot speak for the whole militia movement, and I get the sense that for a lot of people in the movement, these types of things are uncomfortable to talk about. But I would like to hear some opinions from members about what's going to happen to people like myself and my friends when and if a situation arises whereby the patriot movement gains the "upper hand"? Are we going to be free to live our lives as we see fit, or are we going to be victims of another herd mentality?

It seems to me that is in the movement's best interest, if that interest is *freedom*, to keep religion as a separate issue. If the interest is *Christian morality*, then what these groups fight for is not freedom, but for their chance to run other peoples lives. Respectfully, Rex."

CFP Responds:

Since you've asked, and NCCM staff has taken an interest in your e-mail, the following responses are offered in the general order of issues raised in the text:

1. You got it backwards, America was clearly founded on Christian principles; it's legal documents, political history and Founders attest to the fact. Religious tolerance was the product of these principles, not its causation.

"We hold these Truths to be self-evident, that all Men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights,..." Declaration of Independence

2. Your claim to be an atheist has no bearing on your citizenship status; your personal beliefs should be invisible to government; your ultimate fate is between you and God; your fate under the NWO, if you survive, will be based on your subservience and value as a biological production unit.
3. Regarding homosexuality, the first obligation of our limited constitutional republic should be to protect the natural or God given rights of all citizens. Its authority is otherwise limited to enumerated powers. A government which acknowledges only civil rights guarantees neither.
4. Without a foundation in morality, law has no meaning or the ability to inspire responsible citizenship. A government founded on Christian principles has no need to "persecute" those engaging in "unchristian behavior"; they punish themselves.

The authority to regulate prostitution and drug use is not, to our knowledge, among the enumerated powers of the federal government. However, a just and moral government is obligated to protect the freedom of citizens impaired by the immoral (i.e. evil, unscrupulous, unethical) actions of others. Otherwise, Christianity, like constitutional republican government, allows equal opportunity to succeed or fail. How much more "real" freedom do you require?

5. The First and Second Amendments do not require restoration or reinterpretation, simply lawful recognition consistent with the intent of the Founders.
6. Allies? Moral relativism, or atheism which you advocate, is the basis of socialism and the antithesis of a value system that recognizes moral absolutes. Moral relativity implies the absence of responsibility, virtue, personal strength and values except which one defines by convenience.

The patriot struggle is for our way of life, our country and the principles of constitutional republican government, that's it. It is not loyalty to any persons or party which perform the functions of government. Our loyalty is to the Constitution, a contract of absolutes. The patriot "movement" can only guarantee commitment to common cause, not the result.

7. Eye of a needle? The Bible is not anti-capitalist. It promises rewards for those that invest their "talents" wisely. The love of wealth keeps the rich from the kingdom of God, not the possession of wealth.
8. So, God (who provides a moral foundation of absolutes) is "not necessary in the argument for freedom whatsoever"?

"Where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is liberty." 2 Corinthians 3:17

"For, brethren, ye have been called unto liberty: only use not liberty for an occasion to the flesh, but by love serve one another." Galatians 5:13

"No matter how far back or how long you look, you will find that religion was always foundational to the great societies. Whether in India, China, Palestine, Greece, Carthage, Africa, or the civilizations of South and Central America, the story is always the same. Civilization arises from religion, and when the traditional religious beliefs of a nation are eroded, the nation dies." When Nations Die, Jim Nelson Black

9. Our constitutional republic, as perverted as it may be from its intended form, still allows a modicum of religious expression. That which you would tolerate only "in private" is a repudiation of the First Amendment which patriots support. What's going to happen to people like you when the patriot movement gains the "upper hand"? We don't know, probably absolutely nothing.

Thank you for the interesting correspondence.

Respectfully, JPA

+=====+

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Sheltering In Place: Addendum

From last issue, our consideration of sheltering in place in a "safe" room cannot be considered complete without mention of the need for a "fire watch" or provision for sentry duty. Confinement, particularly in a shelter with poor ventilation, or under conditions which may involve some level of civil disturbance or other outside hazard dictates that one or more persons be assigned to sentry or watch duty at all times. Their task may simply to monitor air quality and news broadcasts, or outside events which could impair shelter integrity. Their function is essential.

Secondly, any supplied air system incorporated into your shelter must meet breathing quality standards; arranging for an expedient alternative air supply poses inherent dangers if air of suitable quality cannot be provided.

+=====+

COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS gladly accepts your comments, suggestions, articles and letters. Those which best reflect the principles of freedom, independence, and republicanism may be published.

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS can currently be reached via:

NCCM Website: ncmilitia.org
Telephone: 704/648-8709
Mailing address: Carolina Free Press
P.O. Box 994
Canton, N.C. 28716

Copyright 1998, CAROLINA FREE PRESS - Permission to print/copy and distribute is granted as long as content is not altered and proper credit given. Electronic reproduction and distribution is encouraged.

END

*