

# The CAROLINA FREE PRESS

January/February, 1999 Volume V Issue 1  
Electronic Version

---

---

## MILITIA PERSPECTIVES

Blind Man's Bluff

## NCCM CHRISTMAS

Annual Christmas Giving

## MILITIA ORGANIZATION

Small Unit Doctrine Part II, Leadership

## Y2K & PREPAREDNESS CONSIDERATIONS

For Beast Or Bug?

## WESTERN CAROLINA PERSPECTIVES

Editorial From The Asheville Citizen-Times

## UNDERSTANDING ANDREWS, NC

View From The Rocks

## COMMAND CRISIS

Military Leadership At Issue

## BARNYARD FABLES #32

Birds In Space

## BOOK REVIEW

The South Was Right!

## FOR THE CHILDREN

Small Steps Toward A Police State

## IN THE WIRE

Eliminating Ambiguity

A Full Pantry

The Future Of Gun Shows

Criminal Abuse In Federal Courts

## ANNOUNCEMENTS

---

----  
"I returned, and saw under the sun, that the race is not to the swift, nor the battle to the strong, neither yet bread to the wise, nor yet riches to men of understanding, nor yet favor to men of skill; but time and chance happeneth to them all. For man also knoweth not his time: as the fishes that are taken in an evil net, and as the birds that are caught in

the snare; so are the sons of men snared in an evil time, when it falleth suddenly upon them.” Ecclesiastes 9: 11-12

### MILITIA PERSPECTIVES

#### Blind Man’s Bluff

“When force is used to impose a government upon a people the *moral* authority reverts to the sovereign community which must then struggle to institute legitimate government.” James Ronald Kennedy & Walter Donald Kennedy, *The South Was Right!* p.152.

Let us not be distracted as we embark upon 1999. The primary issue before us remains whether the liberal [socialist] political Establishment, without the consent of American citizens, will succeed in divorcing this nation from its independent sovereign nation-state status and subjugating it in all matters to an international totalitarian government under the auspices of the United Nations. Everything else is secondary to this forced transition. It is likely that before 2000 arrives, every person, legislator, lawyer, judge... everyone, will make a conscious or unconscious decision to side either with the New World Order or the Constitution. There is precious little room in the middle.

The new year will likely bring many opportunities; to succeed ... or fail as individuals and as a nation. Internationalist interests in and out of our government will seek to exploit one or more manufactured crises including more staged “terrorist” incidents in a continuing effort to justify change through unconstitutional transitional legislation and treaty. Look for crises to develop, especially where emotional fervor can be orchestrated. There are numerous areas available for political exploitation. Potential issues include, but are certainly not limited to those involving the moral integrity of legislatures and legislators, Y2K, manufactured wars and conflicts, gun control/confiscation, fabricated threats and terrorist acts. Let’s also include destabilizing immigration by illegal aliens, military adventurism by nuclear capable and economically desperate countries, and bank and monetary failures, all of which are being managed and/or mismanaged by those seeking international aggrandizement and political gain. We can count on the Department of Justice to instigate confrontations with elements of the “patriot” community where politically advantageous. And, if all else fails, there will, of course, be more of those unfortunate suicides that occur whenever the BATF attempts to arrest the politically incorrect.

In the new year many will remain convinced these issues reflect a jaundiced perspective of the state of our nation, after all, from a superficial perspective of the stock market the economy appears to be doing pretty good. Many more will come to the realization that Pollyanna doesn’t live here anymore. They are beginning to understand that there is indeed a common denominator behind their political and economic disenfranchisement. And the common denominator, they are realizing, does indeed lie with radical agents of change; by far most of the radicals are in government, not in those struggling to simply earn a honest and decent living for their families.

As the transition from freedom to economic and political slavery continues, the big question is not so much whether the transition will remain civil, but when it will cease to be so. When, the persistent question hangs in the air, will they come for the guns, having of course, already committed to do so. One would expect they must do so before many more of the public catch on to government fraud and deceit. We respond that, while certain, it’s unlikely to happen before the general population finds itself in some dire situation which compels many to willingly

acquiesce. Above all, we must not make the mistake of dwelling on the event. In doing so we may neglect to act on the many other opportunities each of us has to make a difference.

As many readers repeatedly ask, will this coming year see the start of internal armed conflict? We do not know. For that you will need to ask Janet Reno. Given the precedents of Waco, Ruby Ridge, as exemplified by the wave of assassination/suicides befalling the politically incorrect, and the barrage of propaganda with which they are assaulting the politically and historically ignorant law enforcement community, we can reliably assume the first shot(s) fired will result from her instigation. We might speculate that any errant group which elects to initiate conflict other than in clear self-defense would receive scant support from the general militia. This is as it should be. Such we believe is not likely before the pot is well stirred. However, the irons, so to speak, are already in the fire.

Even if precipitous action is avoided, we will almost inevitably see a desperately increased level of alienation between those who toe the international socialist line, and those who would defend the Constitution and the republic. That much is certain.

High on our list of critical issues is the constitutional crisis attendant to the impeachment process. The current president has committed crimes, videotaped no less, sufficient to convict any citizen of a felony. Do the crimes merit impeachment? Only those who are willing accomplices to corruption and immorality and, of course those ignorant or desperate to preserve the illusion of the right of theft for the "common good" would argue against impeachment. The reality ....

"The unassailable fact is that the President trapped himself in a series of public lies so blatant that one would have to be either an intellectual cretin or a liar as flagrantly dishonest as Mr. Clinton himself not to acknowledge what is manifestly obvious. This is not just lies about private, consensual sex, as the presidential defenders are wont to claim. This is about concrete proof of lying under oath in judicial proceedings. It is about perjury - felonious, criminal conduct by the chief law enforcement officer in the land. It is about habitual, immoral behavior so reckless that it endangers national security by making the President susceptible to blackmail. It is about repeated, grave breeches of public trust, and about grossly degrading and defiling the office of the Presidency." *The New American*, Vol. 14, No. 21, October 12, 1998, pg. 17

Even if we neglect the politically embarrassing issues of treason and the selling of presidential favors and advanced technology to a communist government, the impeachment process demonstrates major flaws in congressional processes. Most obvious is that most members don't have a clue regarding their obligations under the constitution. Secondly, that the majority would prefer to vote with the immoral and unethical financial and political interests if they could publicly get away with it.

Unfortunately for the compromisers, there is no constitutional alternative to impeachment. It's all or nothing. Not only is censure not a Constitutional option, censure, or "scolding", is worse than nothing. At best censure implies a remedy which compels a change to more "acceptable" behavior, that is, it must guarantee a predictable outcome. In the case of Bill Clinton, censure would simply be a politically polite way of condoning aberrant behavior. It implies a tacit understanding that he could remain an unrepentant compulsive liar, sexual predator, and resident sociopath of the White House for the remainder of his term. The Senate in turn would not rock the political boat or interfere with its course. Part of the problem the Senate must confront is

how to accomplish this sleight of hand and still rationalize the constitutional issues of “honor”, “trust”, and “Law”. A political placebo will not fly in the heartland.

“Judgment in Cases of Impeachment shall not extend further than to removal from Office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office of honor, Trust or Profit under the United States: but the Party convicted shall nevertheless be liable and subject to Indictment, Trial, Judgment and Punishment, according to Law.” from Article 1, Section 3, Constitution of The United States of America

But there is more. The Senate is clearly in a no-win situation. As advocates of the New World Order, many, if not most, are no doubt extremely reluctant to do anything to impede our unconstitutional transition to a system of globalist governance so boldly and successfully being pushed by Clinton. On the other hand, failure to uphold constitutional requirements and processes will make a shambles of even the illusion of constitutional and legal processes. Media polls notwithstanding, not nearly enough of the nations citizens are in that much of a stupor not to smell a rat cooking.

A vote against impeachment would result in a level of righteous indignation which would irreparably divide the New World Order camp from constitutional defenders and make a mockery of common as well as statutory law. A vote for impeachment would suggest there is hope for America. Then again, impeachment would end the many political careers dependent on the support of banking and business interests. It would also likely subject the nation to the irrational acts of a sociopath determined to see the republic in ruin. And congress, having unconstitutionally ceded its legitimate authority to the Executive by granting unconstitutional War Powers, has amply demonstrated its unwillingness to control the nut behind the wheel of government. Some of results are already self-evident.

We have already seen war-mongering adventurism in Afghanistan, the Sudan and in Iraq. Statesmanship has given way to blatant [gun boat] cruise missile diplomacy and the unabashed use of the military to further personal political objectives. A leadership willing to bomb a foreign country into the stone age for purposes of political intimidation and personal aggrandizement can be expected to do no less to its’ citizenry given the right political climate. In return, can we expect no less from the world than what we so self-righteously administer?

And, is it any wonder the latest Israeli-Palestinian Wye River “peace accord” is already in shambles? How can two political representatives with a history of conflict and mutual distrust reach mutual agreement to a pact which depends on guarantees made by a publicly acknowledged liar? Answer, they can’t. The hypocrisy inherent in believing character does not count reminds us of the mutual non-aggression treaty between Stalin and Hitler before World War II. There is no honor among thieves.

And so, as the Senate struggles to define “truth” in the best presidential tradition, we have come to realize that the presidential crisis is more a moral crisis of government. It is also a crisis for those that serve it, and those that live off its’ largess. For those who cling to the belief in the basic integrity of man, perhaps the most significant aspect of this crisis is the realization that, for the most part, truth, honor, character, and morality are irrelevant when applied to politics and finance. This madness of the crowd is called democracy, it is a precursor to totalitarian socialism, and it is the path to national suicide.

So what will 1999 bring. Will America fall prey to terrorist attack or world war as a consequence of irrational disarmament and leadership policies? Will we see economic paralysis due to collapsing currency, banking or computer systems failure, or simply a continued slow hedonistic decline? Do actions have consequences? Unless someone else takes the wheel, we can be certain of the direction Bill, Al and our legislature will take us. And no doubt, the rift between the government and the governed will continue to grow.

All in all, Bills problems are very entertaining. But we must not become too distracted by the follies. The treasonous New World Order elements in and out of our government are busy burning the substance of the republic even as we watch Bill diddle.

And as individuals, will we continue as blind men to flounder in the dark, clinging to idealistic hopes that real change is just a vote away or will we regain our sense of individual identity and own up to our responsibility and duty as Americans? Each one of us could make a difference if we were willing to speak up while there is time for speaking. And while we're sitting around in the dark waiting for someone to do something to right the abuses to our republic we might ponder on the fact that the only real remedy to national stupidity in the past has been that most unforgiving teacher... experience.

We predict that as 1999 draws to a close the year will bring us much closer to George Orwells 1984 than 2000, no matter what the computer clocks say.

-----  
----

“A government on the principles on which constitutional governments arising out of society are established, cannot have the right of altering itself. If it had, it would be arbitrary. It might make itself what it pleased; and wherever such a right is set up, it shows there is no constitution.” Thomas Paine, The Rights of Man, 1791

-----  
----

## NCCM CHRISTMAS

The Making Of Tradition by Brian Keith, NCCM Mountain Division

It has become a Christmas tradition in the mountains of North Carolina for members of The North Carolina Citizen Militia, Mountain Division, 44th Regiment, 1st Company, to distribute new toys to needy children throughout the community. This was the fourth year that the Haywood County Unit has sponsored its toy drive. Jeremy B. Davis, Public Affairs Officer for the NCCM reported that the unit was "going to provide toys to over thirty needy children as well as Christmas packages for over thirty elderly veterans who are confined to the Veterans Administration Hospital in Swannanoa, North Carolina."

In the past, the NCCM has always tried to provide for those in the community that were a little less fortunate than others. Davis said that it should be just as important to us all to brighten the holiday spirits of lonely and needy elderly people who do not have any family during the holiday season. According to the Office of Public Affairs, units in Raleigh, Haywood, and Madison Counties provided for needy children in 1997. We hope to see that number double this year.

Jeremy B. Davis would like to encourage all units to start their own community based help programs like those in Haywood. The Haywood County unit provides dinners for the homeless and needy at Thanksgiving, a safe candy distribution center at Halloween, the annual Christmas

toy and food drive, as well as maintaining a fund for people who have emergencies such as fires or other disasters that might arise throughout the year.

The Members of the Haywood County Unit would like to encourage other units to contact us for assistance with setting up special Public Relations and Community based programs. You can reach us at: P.O. Box 994, Canton, NC 28716.

---

## MILITIA ORGANIZATION

### Small Unit Doctrine Part II, Leadership

The militia today has much the same problem as it did in 1775. Superficial organization notwithstanding, each man remains his own boss. That is an organizational reality the militia must accommodate. History repeats because human nature tends toward independence until common cause prompts general consensus and common response to life-threatening events. As Cass Canfield observes in "Sam Adams's Revolution" (p. 80):

"The Committee of Safety, with Warren as chairman, now [after Lexington] had it's army numbering twenty thousand, but it consisted of men who simply felt bound "to meet the British" and who had no idea of engaging in a long campaign. Milling around Cambridge they were almost wholly unorganized. While some had a company structure, with elected officers to whom they gave little authority, others joined in little groups with every man his own general. They would stay as long as they saw fit and go home again."

The organizational and leadership challenge for the unorganized militia today is more difficult than at any previous period in our history. After all, at least in liberal circles it is socially improper to even conceptualize the whole of the people, the armed citizenry, duty bound as the militia to organize in support of our republican form of government. In time, we're going to change that perspective. For now we will continue with the subject of militia leadership.

Military leadership is the process by which a soldier influences others to accomplish the mission. The commander's leadership and the small unit command structure operate in a dynamic environment which leaders must come to understand because it is essential to the successful use of combat power. Leaders must be able to motivate their soldiers and direct their efforts.

The traditional principles of leadership are excellent guidelines for developing leaders, units and subordinates. These principles and attributes provide a philosophy of professional leadership that will help you address the challenges every leader faces. They may be summarized as follows.

#### Principles:

- \* Know yourself and seek improvement.
- \* Be technically and tactically proficient.
- \* Seek responsibility and take responsibility for your actions.
- \* Make sound and timely decisions.
- \* Set the example.
- \* Know your unit and look out for their well being.
- \* Keep your unit informed.

- \* Develop a sense of responsibility in your subordinates.
- \* Ensure that the task is understood, supervised, and accomplished.
- \* Train your unit as a team.
- \* Employ your unit in accordance with its capabilities.

#### Attributes:

BE committed to the militia ethic (loyalty to the nations' ideals, loyalty to unit, selfless service, personal responsibility). Possess professional character traits (courage, competence, candor, commitment, and integrity).

KNOW the four factors of leadership and how they effect each other (follower, leader, communication, and situation).

- \* Know yourself (character strengths and weaknesses, knowledge, skills).
- \* Know human nature (human needs and emotions; how people respond to stress; character strengths and weaknesses, knowledge, and skills of your people).
- \* Know your job (technical and tactical proficiency).
- \* Know your unit (how to develop necessary individual and team skills, how to develop cohesion, how to develop discipline).

DO provide direction (set goals, solve problems, make decisions, plan). Then:

- \* Implement (communicate, coordinate, supervise, evaluate), and
- \* Motivate (apply principals of motivation, such as developing morale and spirit in your unit; teach, coach, and counsel).

#### Infantry Leaders:

An infantry leader is closest to the fight and must be a resourceful, tenacious, and decisive warrior as well as a tactician. They must understand and use initiative in accomplishing a mission; they cannot rely on a book to solve tactical problems. They are expected to lead by example, be at the point of decision to maintain control, understand the situation, and issue orders if need be. This means they must know how to quickly analyze a situation and make decisions in light of the commanders intent. He must be prepared to take independent action if necessary. The art of quickly making sound decisions lies in the knowledge of tactics, the estimate process, and small unit techniques and procedures.

#### Mission Tactics:

Mission tactics is a term used to describe the exercise of command authority by a leader; it puts the relationship of command, control, and communications in proper perspective by placing the emphasis on command. This provides for initiative, the acceptance of risk, and the rapid seizure of opportunities on the battlefield. Mission tactics reinforced by knowledge of the higher commander's intent and focused on a main effort establish the basis for small unit leadership. Leaders must be provided the maximum freedom to command and have imposed on them only that control necessary to synchronize mission and accomplishment. The more complex an operation, the more control needed. The challenge to leaders is to provide the minimal amount of control required and still allow for decentralized decision making.

In Part three we will examine the Command and Control process in depth.

---

----

“The conflict we face today is once again based on culture, and once again, the central issue is freedom. This time, however, it is the so-called rebels who are championing freedom, and the government that is chilling the people’s rights. .... When government tax agents carry guns and wear black ski masks to hide their faces, the evil has become institutionalized.” Unintended Consequences, John Ross, p. 857

---

----

## Y2K & PREPAREDNESS CONSIDERATIONS For Beast Or Bug?

The debate over the possible affects of Y2K rages on, yet we seem no closer to predicting probable affects with any degree of confidence. That’s one of the problems with speculating about moving targets. As much as we might like, nobody has a crystal ball regarding the actual consequences of Y2K other than the prognostication of certain system failures, which might otherwise be considered inconvenient, frustrating, and perhaps dangerous, but which under most circumstances could likely be managed without undue consequence.

Fact is, most of us can prepare reasonably well for the known. We can anticipate and prepare for the hazards associated with hurricanes, floods, blizzards, labor strikes, tornadoes, even civil disturbances. Preparing for the unknown requires a little more thought. In doing so we must consider the potential psychological impact of a crisis, perhaps even more than the physical. After all, preparedness is simply physical and psychological insurance; it’s easier to prepare for the former than the latter.

In the last issue we set out a few basic guidelines for preparedness. Since then we have had a number of requests for more general preparedness information. Instead of making more detailed lists, readers might better profit from different perspectives. In that regard it would be hard to find a better reference than the January/February issue (No. 55), the “Special Doom and Gloom Issue”, of Backwoods Home Magazine. Don’t procrastinate; it’s cheap, go get it.

Checklists are nice, they are convenient and allow us to take advantage of the thoughts of those presumably better informed than ourselves. However, there is no substitute for setting and acting on your own priorities. There is absolutely no one better equipped to evaluate your situation and resources. While that may leave a lot of questions unanswered, there is a positive side to individualism. That is, every group and community as a whole benefits and is enhanced from the diversity of skills and resources which results. So will it be in terms of preparedness.

It is to the advantage of paternalistic government to discourage people from being self-reliant and educated. Society can then be conditioned to look to government for literally everything including food and security. That, of course, is the nature of socialism... cultured dependency. That is not our cultural heritage. Only in recent generations has it become fashionable to be indolent and improvident regarding our own welfare and security.

In a major national emergency the government could not, and has no intention of helping all those who may be in need. Advertised as a disaster relief agency, the primary focus of FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) is to ensure continuity of government, not the preservation of society, its infrastructure or citizens. If it was, we would at least have the



semblance of a [passive] civil defense system to offset the negative aspects of unilateral disarmament.. We do not. As a nation we have set off into the swamp of human nature unarmed, morally, and spiritually defenseless.

In a major crisis, the militia will not be in a position to rescue the unprepared. We should and indeed are preparing to help those willing to help themselves, but the task of the militia is to protect and preserve our Constitution and form of government, not to serve as a substitute to the welfare state. Passengers on this boat better bring their own paddle.

Even if the binary bug is relatively innocuous, the arrival of Y2K could be a fortuitous event for those promoting the universal world economy and government. Even the illusion of a crisis would suffice. The prospect of having the world literally at the feet of the political/banking powers must be a giddy prospect indeed for those with an overwhelming desire to dominate. Even if the whole enchilada remains temporarily out of reach, the opportunity to advance the cause of globalism is an opportunity not to be neglected. Is not already so with gun related incidents?

Already the media are chastising the prudent for making the most elementary provisions for their physical security. The criticism is not so much for the crime of hoarding in the apparent midst of plenty, but more so for their failure to have faith in the gods of government. Rest assured however, that those who chastise you for making independent and rational response today against potential future threats, will be among the first to demand, with the force of government behind them, their "fair share" of your prudence in a crisis situation. This also is a face of socialism.

Not all is gloom and doom. Duke Power, in a seminar entitled Overview of Duke Energy's Year 2000 Activities held in November, assured customers that Y2K related power transmission outages are expected to be minimal. Those that may occur will most likely involve problems with secondary (fuel) suppliers, grid operations, and voice and data communication. They speculate that local (municipal) outages are a temporary possibility. Otherwise, Duke Power maintains that their preparedness efforts are comprehensive and on schedule. So much for the public face. Privately, their representatives decline to discuss the issue (at least to us). Their position in summary: "We think we'll be ready, but we can't make any guarantees". Honesty and professionalism; the public can expect no more.

From the perspective of the militia, we have examined history, considered the causes of war, the manipulation of the monetary and financial systems, the cycles of civilization and reached certain conclusions regarding the nature of man, the consequences of political ambition, and the fate of victims and refugees. We have examined the Good Book which demeans the improvident. We conclude that to do nothing is unconscionable.

For those who make no provision, we must respect personal choice. Freedom includes the freedom to fail as well as succeed. The decision should derive from personal knowledge, perception and conviction. As an aid to individual research a list of Y2K Internet sites and a good general discussion about infrastructure issues associated with the Millennium Bug can be found in the Environmental Protection magazine for December, 1998, p. 24, "The Millennium Bug: Will It Bite You?" Listed sites include:

North American Electric Reliability Council    [www.nerc.com](http://www.nerc.com)  
An overview of Y2 and other links  
[www.abanet.org/lpm/magazine/tu984.htm#tag0](http://www.abanet.org/lpm/magazine/tu984.htm#tag0)

Year 2000 Information Center	<a href="http://www.year2000.com">www.year2000.com</a>
Information Technology Assn. of America	<a href="http://www.itaa.org/year2000.htm">www.itaa.org/year2000.htm</a>
Microsoft Year 2000 Resource Center	<a href="http://www.microsoft.com/year2000">www.microsoft.com/year2000</a>
Y2K Cinderella Home Page	<a href="http://parsifal.membrane.com/y2k/index.html">//parsifal.membrane.com/y2k/index.html</a>
CIO's Year 2000 Research Center	<a href="http://www.cio.com/forum/y2k/index.html">www.cio.com/forum/y2k/index.html</a>
Y2Experts.com	<a href="http://www.y2kexperts.com">www.y2kexperts.com</a>
Dr. Ed Yardeni's Center for Cybereconomics	<a href="http://www.yardeni.com/cyber.html">www.yardeni.com/cyber.html</a>
2000is.com	<a href="http://www.2000is.com">www.2000is.com</a>
The Year 2000 Law Center	<a href="http://www.Year2000.com/y2klawcenter.html">www.Year2000.com/y2klawcenter.html</a>
U.S. Government Year 2000 Gateway	<a href="http://www.policy.gsa.gov/mks/yr2000/y2khome.html">www.policy.gsa.gov/mks/yr2000/y2khome.html</a>
Presidents Council on Year 2000 Conversion	<a href="http://www.y2k.gov">www.y2k.gov</a>
U.S. Government Best Practices Guidelines	<a href="http://www.itpolicy.gsa.gov/library/yr2000/y207best.htm">www.itpolicy.gsa.gov/library/yr2000/y207best.htm</a>
EPA Year 2000	<a href="http://www.epa.gov">www.epa.gov</a>
Law Journal "Year 2000 Dilemma"	<a href="http://www.ljextra.com/practice/computer/ct_y2k.html">www.ljextra.com/practice/computer/ct_y2k.html</a>
XPS Bulletin Board	<a href="http://www.xpsoft.com/board/html">www.xpsoft.com/board/html</a>
Year 2000 Resource	<a href="http://www.ttuhsc.edu/pages/year2000/y2k_bib.htm">www.ttuhsc.edu/pages/year2000/y2k_bib.htm</a>

Is the Y2K problem a bug or beast? One might conclude that it will be whatever the government, the media, and/or the public make of it. Indeed, Y2K may be a non-issue if the infrastructure for a global cashless society is already in place. Only time will tell. Until then, prudence, patience; not panic.

---

“The great question for us becomes, given that democracy is an abhorrent political, system, both in theory and in practice, and is promoted and used by subversives whose agenda is not freedom but enslavement, why has democracy come to be almost universally regarded as the most desirable form of government? The New American, Vol. 14, No. 21, October 12, 1998, pg. 31

---

#### WESTERN CAROLINA PERSPECTIVES

Editorial From The Asheville Citizen-Times

What follows is a recent editorial from the Asheville Citizen-Times which summarizes some of the local thinking regarding the search for the elusive Eric Rudolph. BEGIN QUOTE:

“As the ten-month-old manhunt for serial bombing suspect Eric Robert Rudolph in the Nantahala National Forest intensifies, it is the little town of Andrews that feels besieged.

Many residents, including the mayor, fear their town's image has been tarnished by media coverage they feel has portrayed them rallying around Rudolph and opposing the federal lawmen trying to catch him. While the town's leaders worry about the repercussions for the town's tourism business, other residents are anxious about their safety.

“It's like a ticking time bomb,” Heather Blaylock, who lives next door to the federal investigators' command center, told a reporter. “No one knows what could happen. We thought we should be safe because the FBI is here, but now we are in the firing zone.”

Two weeks ago an unknown assailant fired multiple shots into the Southeast Bomb Task Force's command center, grazing one officer.

Shortly after, an Andrews man who didn't know shots had been fired at investigators. Shined a red laser beam, commonly associated with sighting devices, at a National Guard helicopter near the command center. This colossal display of bad timing, not to mention bad judgment, resulted in his arrest for impeding and interfering with a federal officer.

Without a doubt, most Andrews residents will breathe a huge sigh of relief when federal officers either capture Rudolph or become convinced he is dead or no longer hiding in Nantahala National Forest. They are understandably tired of the tension and the attention.

But their suffering is mere aggravation compared to the kind of horror they, and all of us, would experience were we not so fortunate as to live in a country governed by law, a country where the military and the police report to civilian authorities.

Regrettably, though they unquestionably represent a small proportion of the population, there are the people in the vicinity of Andrews who support far-right paramilitary organizations sympathetic to Rudolph.

Leaflets calling federal investigators tyrants and "jack-booted thugs" were distributed recently in the town. The manhunt itself has become a recruiting tool for anti-government militia-type organizations. In 1995 nearly 250 people calling themselves "unorganized militia" and "Christian Patriots" gathered in Andrews to hear James "Bo" Gritz spend hours talking about his conspiracy theories, government cover-ups and what he perceives to be a move toward a "New World Order" dominated by a shadowy, elitist banking conspiracy.

Over the years, Gritz, a decorated Green Beret who fought in Vietnam, made numerous visits to Andrews where he led survivalist, Paramilitary training sessions he called SPIKE (Specially Prepared Individuals for Key Events) at "Christian Identity" Minister Nord Davis Jr.'s nearby compound.

In August, Gritz returned to Andrews and, with a group of assembled volunteers, promised to protect Rudolph if he would come out of hiding and turn himself in. Rudolph declined the offer and stayed out of sight. (A month later, Gritz was seriously injured when he shot himself, reportedly in despondency over his pending divorce.)

The new militia movement groups who are using the manhunt for Rudolph as a recruiting tool seek to find legitimacy in the United States Code which provides for an "unorganized militia" of "every able-bodied man between the ages of 17 and 45 years of age." But this term, used by the government as a way of maintaining the right to conscript non-military personnel for military duty if needed, confers no rights, only the possibility of responsibilities, according to Mark Pitcavage, a historian living in Columbus, Ohio, who specializes in the history of the right-wing extremism.

Only the state and federal governments can create active militia systems- systems answerable to duly constituted civilian authority. These paranoid paramilitary groups claim to be answerable to no one except themselves, which makes them exceedingly dangerous. They are, as Pitcavage says, "small self-selected groups, responsible to no one, following an agenda of their own choosing."

Eric Rudolph is charged with setting off three bombs, one at an Alabama abortion clinic that killed a police officer and disfigured a nurse, one at Atlanta's Centennial Park that killed a woman and injured 100 people, and one in a Atlanta gay and lesbian bar. It is cause for sober reflection that paramilitary groups throughout the country are using the search for him to inflame anti-government sentiment as a way of recruiting new members.

It is unfortunate that Andrews is caught up in the disruption and publicity surrounding the search. But the alternative to this sustained effort to capture Rudolph, allowing a man to go free who is suspected of murdering others to promote some demented personal cause, is unthinkable in a society governed by laws rather than might.” END OF ARTICLE

Taking this editorial perspective at face value, it is clear that the time, materials, and resources spent on finding Eric Rudolph is unprecedented. Reputation and credibility no doubt contribute impetus for the continued presence of the FBI and Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force. The agencies do not have the support of the community, a feeling growing in widening circles in and out of the state. Local citizens, like those elsewhere, have no tolerance for the crimes allegedly committed by Rudolph, but they have less for an occupying paramilitary force which has created an armed camp in a besieged community.

Of particular interest are the remarks by Ohio resident, socialist/leftist radical Mr. Pitcavage who delights in providing partial truths to the uninformed. And who, the editor declines to add, is an unequivocal advocate of totalitarian world government otherwise known as the New World Order. How unfortunate he is the only historian the Citizen-Times could locate for comment. It is also unfortunate that neither the editor, nor the uninformed citizenry can distinguish between natural and civil rights. How easy it is to deceive!

Neither the Constitution nor Title 10, Section 311 of the United States Code grants or otherwise conveys rights to citizens. The former affirms the unalienable right of citizens to bear arms, and the rights of association and assembly. Unlike civil rights, which are privileges a government can arbitrarily grant or remove, the absolute rights recognized by the Constitution are God-given rights which no government has the authority to alter or abolish.

Section 311 simply recognizes the classes of the militia in the United States. It includes the organized militia as that portion of the armed citizenry that are in the service of the government, and that portion of the militia recognized by the Constitution, which includes, with few exceptions, all men between the ages of 17 and 45, as the unorganized militia. It does not confer rights, it confirms the original intent of the Constitution. It recognizes that the armed citizenry, as the [unorganized] militia, is an integral part of the armed forces of America and that it also serves as the resource pool from which citizens can be drawn to serve in the [temporary] standing army, i.e. the organized or “select” militia.

These “new” [unorganized] militia groups have been in America since around 1650, and were well organized in most communities at the time men like George Washington, John Adams, and Benjamin Franklin were being called “right wing radicals”. Much the same now as then, an individual does not need to be recruited into the unorganized militia but becomes a member by virtue of citizenship and age. At issue is only whether such service is voluntary or, in the case of the draft, involuntary. In the event of attempted usurpation of our republican form of government from within, questions regarding “duly recognized civilian authority” are amply addressed by the Declaration of Independence. The unorganized militia was, and continues to be

exactly what the Founding Fathers intended, the means of last resort for containing the dangerous potential of an out-of-control government.

The Constitution does however, confer rights and establishes limits to the authority of the federal government. It also affirms that the function and duty of government to defend the God-given, unalienable rights of the citizenry. The Constitution, American history and enabling legislation make a mockery of Mr. Pitcavage and those like him who prey upon the emotions of a society ignorant of their own history and laws. Please, don't take our word for it. Do the research yourself! Those of you who do so honestly and thoroughly will be rewarded with a better understanding of what is and is not Constitutional, and a sense of foreboding.

---

#### UNDERSTANDING ANDREWS, NC

View From The Rocks: Jeremy Davis, Public Affairs Officer, NCCM

Every time I log onto the Internet, check my mail or open my post office box I have a wondrous amount of mail from all over the country asking me lots of questions about Andrews, North Carolina and Eric Rudolph. Some people tell me that we should mobilize our units, march to Andrews and remove the federal "threat". Many express concern, some are sending me stories, and others are simply asking questions.

The North Carolina Citizens Militia has refrained from continuous coverage of the Andrews incident for several reasons. Often, there are simply no new facts or events to report; excessive speculation and repetition unnecessarily increase public anxiety and may lead to precipitous acts; focusing attention on Andrews would lead us to neglect other potentially significant issues; prudence on our part reduces our potential to be baited into committing unnecessary time and resources to this issue; and finally, sometimes we simply don't know what to make of things. Anything that I could tell you regarding the reason behind the events at Andrews would simply be speculation on my part.

I live in Canton, North Carolina, which is located about 80 miles to the north and east of Andrews. I have spent a good deal of time in Andrews since the "search" for Eric Rudolph began. I have talked to many people there and read about the events, or non-events, as they occurred in most local newspapers.

Every observer sees things a little differently. This has been dramatically emphasized to me on several occasions of which I am personally familiar when local citizens have been "positively" and quite mistakenly identified as Eric Rudolph. I will tell you only what I know and leave it up to you to decide what is really going on in Andrews.

- 1) There has been a massive build-up of state law enforcement and federal agents in the Andrews area who now number in the hundreds. Groups going into the field are heavily armed and to a degree clearly disproportionate to the object of the "search".
- 2) The Andrews airport looks like a scene from a war movie with all the military equipment that is being held there.
- 3) Military, including UN, air traffic in western North Carolina, including the Andrews area, is overwhelming.

- 4) There are closed circuit cameras monitoring the streets in Andrews and a secure (fenced) MJTF “compound”.
- 5) Militia/patriot groups in the area have had no confrontations with the feds.
- 6) There are no military vehicles in the immediate area other than aircraft.
- 7) Task force personnel do not enjoy the general support of the people in Andrews and are ready for the feds to go home.
- 8) No one has contacted us regarding a violation of their rights.
- 9) All searches are being conducted in a cordial manner.

But for the specifics, that sums up what we know.

There are seven patriot/militia groups in the area. None have contacted me about any violation of individual/constitutional rights. I have heard rumors of Rudolphs’ death, about the presence of armored vehicles and/or UN troops, and even a federal cover-up of a downed UFO in the area. As far as we know, these remain only rumors. Several national radio shows have blown the Andrews situation out of proportion. This is unfortunate because we know we cannot trust mainstream media and we now have some “alternative” media outlets being just as useless as the mainstream.

A reasonable person, of course, understands that no agency would conduct and maintain a build-up of this scale in a search for one man. I consider Andrews to be a beachhead of sorts for some future use.

Area security is unworthy of any potential threat that might conceivably be posed by Rudolph and which would appear to either reflect a siege mentality on the part of the occupiers or preparation for something more. We are well into the change of seasons which supposedly would have exposed the elusive Rudolph to discovery, yet still no apparent success or reduction in force.

Regardless of the specific purpose of the build-up, I recognize that the militia is entirely defensive in nature. Until there is a blatantly offensive move, there is little for the militia to do but objectively observe and remain vigilant. Updates will be provided to NCCM groups and through the CFP as additional relevant information becomes known to us.

One thing sure is that we, like the citizens of Andrews, just wish the feds would go away.

---

“The right of any government to exercise its powers over a people can be obtained only with the free and unfettered consent of those people. Any government that does not rule with the consent of the governed fails the test of legitimacy and therefore has no legal right to rule and shall be regarded as a tyranny. When government removes itself from the category of responsible government (i.e., ruling with the consent of those governed) and establishes its own arbitrary will as the sole judge of its own powers - that government has by its own actions renounced any claim to a legal right to govern. The people then may use whatever measure necessary to remove that government and to establish, once again, responsible government. The people are limited only by the

exercise of prudence. Extreme measures must not be employed unless lesser measures have proven ineffective.” The South Was Right!, p. 159.

---

## COMMAND CRISIS

### Military Leadership At Issue

The reduction of our military capability in the form of technology transfers to communist China and depredations in military hardware have over the past six years dramatically and unconscionably reduced our capability for national defense. While of little consequence to the public, it is somewhat reassuring to note that this fact has not escaped the attention of General Henry H. Shelton, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. On September 29th he warned the Senate Armed Services Committee that “our nation’s military is reverting to the condition of a ‘hollow force’ (New American, Vol. 14, No. 25 of December 7, 1998). Our shock and surprise are tempered by knowledge of PL 87-297 which pledges America to unilateral disarmament and military subservience to the United Nations. That’s just some of the bad news.

The good news is that there are still honest-to-God hero’s in our armed forces; men who still have the courage and conviction to speak out in defense of our American republic and to demand integrity of leadership.

In recent weeks Marine Corps Reserve Major Daniel Rabil has been reassigned following an article penned for the Washington Times in which he expressed contempt for the commander-in-chief calling him a “moral coward” incapable of effective leadership. Earlier, Marine Major Shane Sellers in a Navy Times article labeled Clinton an “adulterous liar” and “criminal”. Air Force Master Sergeant Stephen Knapp, in a letter to the Air Force Times, observed that the President has “made a mockery out of our country”.

Article 88 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) prohibits officers from disparaging public officials, including the commander-in-chief. Given the loyalty and dedication of these men to their country we might ask what prompts them to risk everything, their careers, reputation, physical and financial security to speak out. We speculate they were driven by profound conviction that duty required them to publicly recognize not only the blatant unsuitability and incompetency of Clinton to serve as the commander-in-chief, but the irresponsibility of the military and congressional leadership who have failed to rectify the corrupt system that gave them such a scurrilous, inept and unqualified commander-in-chief.

We might also give serious thought to why congress has failed to contain the military adventurism of a man who has such contempt for the military and who has so frequently placed American service personnel in harms way. We might also reflect that this is the same leadership that knowingly places our servicemen and women under foreign/UN command. To those who feel compelled to speak out it isn’t a simple issue of pay or equipment. At issue are integrity, duty and honor; these are the things that make up the glue which binds America’s military to the Constitution, it’s national security and it’s citizens. These military men must be desperate indeed to challenge the commitment, qualification and integrity of those at the top of the military command structure. It’s a critical crisis of confidence that will only worsen as military command and control is increasingly ceded to the UN.

Keep it up globalist-mongering congresscritters. America's military deserves and demands quality leadership. America also needs a few good men in it's unorganized militia. This is why the militia has no need to recruit; take note Mr. Pitcavage, good people look for us.

---

“As has been the case from the time of General George Washington and the formation of our constitution, men of honor who have abided by the highest ethical standards of conduct and selfless service have been the ones who have successfully wielded the moral authority. They have maintained freedom and sustained the will of American soldiers on the field of battle. A firm ethical base is, therefore, the cornerstone of the Army.”  
FM 22-103, Leadership and Command at Senior Levels, 1987.

---

## OK, THE CRASH OF 1999

### Collapse In Slow Motion

Layoffs continue throughout the nation. Over 600,000 jobs were put on the block in the United States in 1998 alone. But all we hear is that the economy is thriving. As sure as gravity, fiat currencies over much of the globe continue to contract to the base value of paper commensurate with the faith and trust citizens place in their governments. Massive mergers are occurring with regularity among corporate giants satisfying goals for market domination at the expense of the failing organizations.

“Asia Woes Worsen, Economies Still Tumbling In Search Of Recovery” is the title of an article from the November, 1998 issue of the trade publication AutoWorld. Sometimes it is interesting to depart from the fantasy world of economic reporting and tune in to those who make the world go. Here we find:

“As 1998 nears an end, the markets still are a mess. Sinking demand, soaring unemployment and sliding currencies, compounded by a mountain of bad debts, continue to stall economies”. The affect of this collapse is to spread social devastation in the affected countries.

“... the magnitude of Asia's financial deterioration is greater than anyone anticipated.”  
[Interesting, since Asia represents about one-third of the world's economy.]

“Underlying everything is the fact that Japan's economy remains in a serious tailspin, making it unable to provide a buffer to cushion the region from the shock of spreading deflation. Corporate failures, in a nation where bad banking debts are approaching \$1 trillion, were said to be 35% higher this summer compared to last.”

“As the international financial crisis continues to cruise through Latin America, fresh from doing a number on the economies of Asia and Russia, Brazilian automakers are being forced to put thousands of workers on forced vacations, suspend production for weeks at a time, and, in some cases, eliminate shifts because of depressed demand for their products.”

Economic, social and political events are continuing to develop at a scale unheard of since the '20's. As one “critical” issue develops after another we are becoming numbed to each new event and our senses have become dulled to the potential cumulative affects on the American economy.



A summary of some current issues may spark contemplation of possible implications better than discussion. Consider:

\* Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan is concerned about the American economic bubble, like the one that caught Asian countries unaware we presume. Former Federal Reserve governor Lawrence Lindsey is equally concerned on the financial asset bubble. He observes that the solution is for American consumers to continue their buying (financing) binge causing the bubble to grow even further. (It only makes sense if you understand the objective.)

\* Four Central American governments are calling for debt relief, preferably in the form of outright forgiveness, or a moratorium on international loans, in a desperation move to begin recovery after hurricane Mitch. Guess who will foot most of that bill?

\* Russia is about to default on \$22 billion, their total commercial debt burden. Unable to restructure their outstanding loans, the affects of political and military instability may be profound. Empires tend to disintegrate into authoritarian military systems.

\* Russia, reports indicate, may be on the brink of a severe, if not critical, food crisis with many unable to secure adequate food supplies during the winter months. Indonesia and N. Korea are also experiencing massive shortages of food and medicine. In the former, the outright destruction of the productive middle class will have significant long term consequences.

\* The Euro became the common currency of the European economic community of nations on January 1st. Partially backed by gold, the Euro promises not only to be stable but widely available and accepted as a world-wide medium of exchange. Currency flight from the US dollar to the Euro will likely be disastrous to the precarious US financial system future.

Still, we understand from the popular media that American consumer confidence is up, the economy is doing great, and the stock market is rebounding and predicted to reach new highs. As the world flounders in economic and related crises America remains a fertile economic oasis. "What Me Worry?" seems to be our national motto; somebody must be Mad. We either have a interdependent world economy or we do not.

In 1999 look for restructured International Monetary Fund, new and severe banking restrictions, spreading economic distress, a relentless drive toward a cashless society, and quite likely a flight from the US dollar to the Euro. Watch Canada, Brazil, Mexico and Italy to be counted among future economic dominoes.

We note these events because they may very well be early symptoms of much more serious economic problems. Robert R. Prechter, Jr. described what may hopefully be a worst case list of possible consequences of an economic collapse in his book "At The Crest of The Tidal Wave" written in 1995. A short review of his possibles list suggests Prechter was on the right track. Oftentimes we tend to over-simplify and minimize the implications of things which we do not personally understand. Mr. Prechter introduces us to some possibilities which may help us establish our priorities as follows:

"Even at this early date, we might speculate on just a few developments that appear likely. For instance, I would be inclined to expect (in no particular order or time frame)

that the Middle East and Latin America would destabilize; producing countries will wage a trade war of increasing tariffs;... gang and political violence will wax; an epidemic will erupt; ... the U.S. government will make it a crime to send money out of the country and seize property from citizens trying to flee with assets; militias will declare independence from the U.S. government and clash with federal police; immigration, emigration and foreign travel will be restricted; a nationalistic dictator will take over in Russia; secessionist movements will take place in many countries, including the U.S.; tales of corruption among banking and government officials will surface; new political parties will gain strength and receive press coverage; the U.S. government will abandon the Drug War; one or both of the current political parties will disappear; state and local governments will slash services; North Korea, Cuba, and much of Africa will experience famine; Russia will retire the ruined ruble; a tax revolt will take place; wars will erupt in Asia and in the Middle East; the World Bank will fail; a major commodity exchange and a minor stock exchange will fold; the unemployment rate will exceed 30%; several major world powers will become engaged in armed conflict;... there will be a run on the banks and a nationwide closure; Congress will pass laws designed to prevent the stock and bond market debacles that have already occurred; a U.S. president will resign, be impeached, or be rejected for a second term by a landslide vote with record turnout; the Fed will be nationalized; there will be food riots; foreigners will commit terrorist acts on U.S. Soil; the U.S. government will adopt numerous police state style controls; radical ideas will be introduced into the political debate;... Social Security, Medicare, workers' compensation, food stamps and minimum wage laws will be repealed, either entirely or to be replaced by a single "national assistance" program; moralists, authoritarians, Patriots and Libertarians will gain political clout; major international agreements will be broken; several nuclear bombs will be detonated in acts of war; finally, World War III will destroy more lives than all prior wars." At The Crest Of The Tidal Wave, p. 431.

---

----

"Once value is removed from money, it is only as good as the government that issues it."  
Alex De Toqueville

---

----

## BARNYARD FABLES # 32

### Birds In Space

"Gawwww!" observed Bart with understated astonishment as he gawked through the capsule window at the blue-green earth whirling below."

"Gives new meaning to the term Bird-brained," he philosophized to himself, astonished that the two-stage corn silo would make orbit.

Barts gaze drifted to Polly in the aft steering section. "If we ever get back home", he mentally promised himself, "I'm gonna share some of that fermented corn juice with that pretty Bird."

"BANG!, Screeeeech!"

"Sorry Capt'n", called Melvin from somewhere up/down in Engineering, "Those darn satellite things are flyin' too fast fer us!"

“Jettison the silo..., er’ booster”, commanded Bart, “that oughtta fix that problem!”, he thought as all hands scrambled in zero-gravity to peck at the bungee cords holding the booster.

“BANG! BANG!”, Oooooops! ... Booster away, Capt’n!” crowed Melvin, “an it jus’ hit that big shiny space station with those UN letters on it!”

Racing to a starboard porthole, Bart pushed his way though the excited Birds clucking and waving enthusiastically at the Men looking out from the station.

“They’s givin’ us the universal hand sign of the One World Order, Capt’n”, clucked Comm Bird First Class Sparks. “They want us to surrender,” continued Sparks, “They say it’s futile to resist and we’re gonna be absorbed.”

“Should we give ‘em the Birds, Capt’n?”, asked Melvin, “Or just tell ‘em to take a long space walk?”

“We all know Cows can jump over the Moon”, Melvin, “But there’s no limit to how high Bull can go”, replied Bart smiling through his clenched beak. “Jus’ wave and smile, Melvin. They got no authority up here or anywhere; probably won’t even pay us fer the free parts,” concluded Bart.

“Sparks!, get on that CB and send a message to the Farm,” ordered Bart. “Tell ‘em there ain’t no Aliens up here, just regular Men an’ Foxes. Tell ‘em the real danger ain’t in space, it’s the evil in the hearts and minds of our fellow Creatures. That’s where we gotta deal with it.”

“OK, Birds!” crowed Bart, “Mission accomplished!”. Turning to his surplus Tandy DX 1000 to plot a reentry course to the farm, “Limber up them wings”, he crowed, “We’re gonna bail at 10,000!”

-----  
--

“Governor, if I had foreseen the use of those people designed to make of their victory, there would have been no surrender at Appomattox Courthouse; no, sir, not by me. Had I foreseen these results of subjugation, I would have preferred to die at Appomattox with my brave men, my sword in this right hand.” [General Robert E. Lee to Governor Fletcher Stockdale of Texas] from *The Life and Letters of Robert Lewis Dabney in The South Was Right!*, p. 43.

-----  
----

### BOOK REVIEW

The South Was Right! [ISBN 1-56554-024-7]

The South Was Right!, written by authors James Ronald Kennedy and Walter Donald Kennedy (Pelican Publishing Company, Gretna, LA, 1997) is the political history of the War For Southern Independence from the perspective of the South. It is a departure from the usual military oriented review of major battles and campaigns. It is about the legal, political and historical strategies of governments competing for moral and legal legitimacy on one hand and military and economic supremacy on the other. In this broad we survey we come to understand from the

perspective of history that the South won the former yet lost the latter. This book helps explain why.

The authors document the legal and historical basis for Southern independence. In doing so they make a compelling, even overwhelming, case for the legitimacy of secession. They provide a wealth of historical references which reflect an uncompromising pride and justification for the independence of the culturally distinct Southern states. It dispels many post-war myths propagated by the victorious Northern government. It demonstrates that slavery was never at issue; the war was engendered by Northern greed, fueled by fear of the industrial and banking interests of economic loss.

“Let the South go? Let the South go? Where then shall we get our revenues?” Abraham Lincoln

“[T]he prejudice of the race appears to be stronger in the States that have abolished slaves than in the State where slavery still exist. White carpenters, white bricklayers and white painters will not work side by side with the blacks in the North but do it in almost every Southern state...” Alexis de Tocqueville

The book challenges the concept that the surrender at Appomattox settled the issue of state sovereignty. The authors maintain that a people conquered does not negate the right of a sovereign people to be free. Less a “lost cause” than a “right cause”, the authors appeal to “Southern Nationalists” to continue the pursuit of Southern independence. It clearly documents the historical and legal justification for secession citing numerous historical and contemporary texts and references:

“The name of our federation is not Consolidated States, but United States. A number of States held together by coercion, or the point of the bayonet, would not be a Union. Union is necessarily voluntary - the act of choice, free association. Nor can this voluntary system be changed to one of force without the destruction of “The Union”... A Union of States necessarily implies separate sovereignties, voluntarily acting together. And to bruise these distinct sovereignties into one mass of power is, simply, to destroy the Union - to overthrow our system of government.” The South Was Right! quoting from The Federal Government: Its True Nature And Character.

“The North went to war to “prove” that the right of secession did not exist. Then after winning the war with the South, the North required the South to surrender its right of secession in order to rejoin the Union. In order to take their place in the Union, from which the North had fought a war to “prove” that they could legally leave, Southern states were ordered to give up the right of secession. Each Southern state, before it was allowed back into the Union, had to write into its state constitution a clause surrendering forever the right of secession. How could a state give up that which it never had?” The South Was Right!, p. 216

Aside from making the case for Southern independence, the book is invaluable as a reference and on the historical basis for constitutional authority and limits of government. The authors cite numerous defenders of liberty from Milton and Locke through Webster, Calhoun and many others. They successfully intertwine these contemporary and historical advocates of liberty and indirectly demonstrate the historical continuity in the principles of good government by defining the limits and criteria for legitimacy in government and the natural rights of citizens.

“A government may indeed possess the power to infringe upon the life, liberty, or property of its subjects, but this very act in and of itself voids any claim of legitimacy by that government. Any government - be it a king, prince, magistrate, or whatever form - that either actively or passively attempts or allows such an infringement upon the rights and liberties of the people forfeits its moral validity and therefore negates its legitimate right to exist!” *The South Was Right!*, p. 152

While the focus is on the case for Southern independence, the book is also a general call for freedom. It takes no prisoners when documenting the nature of the tyrannical Northern post-war government. Through comparison neither does it spare the modern liberal/socialist government or its advocates.

Recognizing democracy as an anathema to good government, the authors recognize that one key to resolving the problem with illegitimate government is to limit the voting franchise to qualified citizens. They explain that voting is a privilege to be earned, not arbitrarily awarded as an inducement for political support. Voter qualifications are proposed which would restrict the franchise to those who contribute to the nation, and deny it to those who live at the expense of the contributors.

Not only does the text put to rest the issue of whether sovereign states have the right to secede from the Union they voluntarily created, it argues that force of arms to compel subservience to that union is immoral and unconstitutional. Finally, it shows us more than history. It shows us the face of war and its terrible consequences to the citizenry. By extrapolation we can visualize the face of the New World Order, where social changes, like those mandated to the South at bayonet point, create not only social instability but deprivation, starvation, conflict and disorder. It paints a sober and eye-opening picture of the realities of war and the necessity of conflict where business and political interests conflict with the moral and legal authority of government.

The only criticism of the book is that it never explains the Souths' greatest mistake; the one in which they abandoned the moral high ground: the justification for the shelling of Fort Sumpter.

*The South Was Right!* should be in every school library as a teaching and reference text. Look for it in your library, or put it there. It will open your mind to the proper role of government.

-----  
----

#### FOR THE CHILDREN

##### Small Steps Toward A Police State

From a field correspondent we learn that in North Carolina, if it's for the children, anything goes... even our basic freedom from unconstitutional stop and search. Or so says Mr. Roy Taylor, External Affairs Manager for the NC Governor's Highway Safety Program who in November helped marshal support to put "tens of thousands" of law enforcement personnel on our streets and highways in a "wave of enforcement" of child seatbelt laws.

We agree, it is tragic that many children die each year in traffic accidents. What Mr. Taylor conveniently forgets is that hundreds of thousands of American men and women have died in this nation's wars to preserve those constitutional guarantees which protect us against arbitrary authority assumed by government to stop and search citizens without cause or restrict free travel.

It is also tragic that many safety oriented groups also encourage this type outrage. Is it ignorance or irresponsibility? Those who would protect us from every danger do us greater and perhaps irreparable harm in helping separate us from our freedoms. All too obvious is that far too many have lost sight of the meaning of freedom. That, my friends, is socialism; where the vocal minority manipulate the political machine to dictate by force what it cannot achieve by reason.

It truly is tragic that focusing on unconstitutional methods they make victims of all of us, not just a few. As mature adults we recognize that coercion does begets neither cooperation nor understanding. But that's OK isn't it... because it's for the children.

Mr. Taylor doesn't simply want to educate and build awareness, he advocates the unconditional authority to enforce. He asks employers not simply to promote safety through education and information, but to actively sanction involuntary stop and search, to approve of the use of force, to get that last little bit of compliance through intimidation. This type of phony program, "endorsement for enforcement" is nothing less than sanction for the police state. But it's OK,... if done in the name of the children.

Where do the limits to coercion stop Mr. Taylor, and what is there to protect us from your good intentions? We can probably list literally dozens if not hundreds of ways children can be unintentionally harmed. Most, thank God, survive to become adults. Where does it say in our Constitution that the responsibility of government supersedes that of parents? Isn't it possible to save the children without also stealing their freedom?.

This one issue does not, on it's face, appear to be a big thing. It may be small, but it is certainly not inconsequential. These things are cumulative, they set precedent, they teach the wrong lessons, they alienate the public. They undermine law enforcement personnel because arbitrary searches without cause make them look stupid, they undermine law enforcement personnel because it forces them to act contrary to their oath of office, and don't they have better things to do with their time anyway like studying the state and federal Constitution?

Many of us have children. We see to it that they are properly restrained while traveling. Not because of implied threats, but because we're responsible parents. And we tell them about the do-gooders in an out of government. We tell them that very soon another do-gooder will be back to take more of their freedoms, just a little at a time, for the common good, because there's no end to the good that can be done, if government only had more power. We tell our children that, like a child, tyranny begins with little steps.

Where does it stop, when the police state is total? God knows we'll never run out of do-gooders. That's one of the reasons we made a Constitution; it was supposed to protect us from do-gooders.

---

## IN THE WIRE

### Eliminating Ambiguity:

Presidential Proclamation 7158 of December 10, 1998 published in Federal Register, Volume 63, Number 240, for Tuesday, December 15th observes Human Rights Day, Bill of Rights Day, and Human Rights Week, 1998. It recognizes our national commitment to protect our freedoms and

“human” (God-given and natural) rights as defined by our Founders and stated in our Constitution.

The Proclamation reflects on the hope of Americans to share these rights with people around the world. Quoting from former President Franklin D. Roosevelt, the Proclamation promptly departs from the intent of the Constitution and states our national intent to work toward a “world order” founded on “four essential human freedoms”. Unambiguously socialistic in nature, Roosevelt’s “freedoms” include: freedom of speech, to worship, freedom from want, and freedom from fear.

In recalling the FDR legacy, Clinton applauds the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and reflects on a new Executive Order (No. 13107). In it he reaffirms “our” national commitment to upholding “human rights” through implementation of various United Nations initiatives including a new Interagency Working Group on Human Rights Treaties.

Couched in the lofty language of politics, the Proclamation is clearly revisionist. It undermines the American concept of unalienable “human” rights to superimpose the distinctive superficial civil rights of the socialist totalitarian welfare state which are no more than government privileges, i.e., placebos for the police state. Clinton is indirectly informing us of an intended change in our form of government which includes the replacement of our God-given and natural rights with civil rights.

Notably absent from the 30 Articles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights which Clinton acclaims are the right to a free press, to bear arms, trial by jury of peers, protection from unreasonable searches and seizure, freedom from confiscation without compensation and many others. It substitutes state welfare “guarantees” for subservience and absolute dependency.

We observe that our Founding Fathers recognized that governments [including the UN] do not grant rights, their task is to secure them. Nor do they have the legitimate authority to take them away. The path of deceit won’t get anybody to a socialist Utopia; it leads to Hell.

#### A Full Pantry:

To those who believe that insurance happiness can be achieved by a full pantry it might be interesting to note that group orders we have recently made to reputable companies, promised for shipment in six to eight weeks are now assuring us of shipment in eight to twelve weeks. While there is currently obviously no shortage of food to gauge from grocery store shelves, there is a growing demand for long term storage items. For those who contemplate preparedness before a crisis a word to the wise should be sufficient. A hungry man is a helpless man. You’ll sleep better at night if you do something to prepare.

#### The Future of Gun Shows:

Even before the “instant check” provisions of Brady II were in effect Mr. Clinton announced that he would seek yet more controls on guns. Early in 1999 he intends to ask congress for a law to require a three to five day “cooling-off period” to prevent “rash acts of violence and give authorities more time to stop illegal gun purchasers.” Of course, that was what Brady II was intended to preclude. And, he is asking Janet Reno and Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin to find ways to close a “loophole” which exempts those purchasing weapons at gun shows from Brady II background checks. We obviously cannot expect either honesty or accuracy from this President.

The purpose for these measures we are told is to put an end to these “illegal arms bazaars” for criminals and gun runners. “We are one step closer to shutting them down,” said Clinton.

Criminal Abuse In Federal Courts:

Reporting on a two-year investigation, the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette says that federal agents and prosecutors around the country have repeatedly broken the law over the past ten years. Federal prosecutors, allege the Post-Gazette, have lied, hidden evidence, distorted facts, participated in cover-ups, withheld evidence, allowed fabricated testimony and set up individuals known to be innocent in order to win convictions. The Pittsburgh paper said it found literally hundreds of examples of abuse and noted that federal officials were rarely punished regardless of the harm caused to the innocent.

Given the documentation of blatant abuses during the trials for Waco survivors, the Montana “Freemen”, the public disclosures of evidence tampering by the FBI lab, the Oklahoma City bombing cover-up, and numerous other incidents Justice Department denials of the Post-Gazette allegations seem hollow indeed. The Post-Gazette observed that the problems have worsened over the years as congress moved to eliminate many of the checks and balances which were formerly used to prevent the abuse of power. This, of course, is not news.

=====+

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

The CFP Editorial Staff wishes to thank those readers who have contributed articles, letters, suggestions and reference materials for publication during 1998. Your contributions have added immeasurably to the scope, variety, and broad appeal of the Carolina Free Press. Thanks also to the hundreds of readers who sent words of support and encouragement. Your comments are greatly appreciated.

+=====+

COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS gladly accepts your comments, suggestions, articles and letters. Those which best reflect the principles of freedom, independence, and republicanism may be published.

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS can currently be reached via:

NCCM Website: [ncmilitia.org](http://ncmilitia.org)

Telephone: 704/648-8709

Mailing address: Carolina Free Press

P.O. Box 994

Canton, N.C. 28716

Copyright 1999, CAROLINA FREE PRESS - Permission to print/copy and distribute is granted as long as content is not altered and proper credit given. Electronic reproduction and distribution is encouraged.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*