

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS

May/June, 1999 Volume V Issue 3

Electronic Version

MILITIA PERSPECTIVES

Politics By Other Means

ALL THE KINGS MEN

Crisis In Camelot

NOTED IN PASSING

Tales From The Darkside

FIRST AID SUPPLEMENT

Coping With Dehydration

GUN CONTROL PRIMER

What's To Negotiate?

NATIONAL/STATE TERRORISM TRAINING

Education or Propaganda?

SHANK'S MARE TRANSPORT

Travel Tips From The Winston-Salem Journal

BITTER HARVEST

Capitalizing On Tragedy

PC "BOMBSHELL"

Revelation From PC Computing

BARNYARD FABLES #34

Picking Up The Pieces

BOOK REVIEW

The Permanent Crisis

IN THE WIRE

Reserve Call-Up

Imported Military Ammunition

READERS RESPOND

Letters To The Editor

ANNOUNCEMENTS

“... we are confronted with a complex political ideology, a secular religion of sorts, whose proponents are driven by the indomitable desire to wipe out all those unwilling to be converted.” Kurt London, *The Permanent Crisis*, 1962.

MILITIA PERSPECTIVES

“... Who is like unto the beast? who is able to make war with him?” Revelation 13:4

This administration, and indeed the entire federal government apparatus under Bill Clinton, will be recorded by posterity as the most corrupt in American history. The subversive and unconstitutional acts of this administration, condoned by the tragic ineptness and unwillingness of Congress to exercise their legislative obligation, confirms an agenda which clearly demonstrates that the corporation known as the United States does not represent the laws, morality, or ethics demanded by the republic nor the interests of its' citizens.

If the almost continuous litany of political abuses and excesses were not sufficient, new and blatant acts of aggression, this time turned outward against the sovereign state of Yugoslavia haunt our moral psyche and gives meaning to the “velvet glove on the iron fist” character of the New World Order. And, in failing to take definitive action, one might consider the UN, like congress, to have granted covert sanction to the NATO assault on Yugoslavia.

As architect of the New World Order, the United States by its acts of aggression against a sovereign state is clearly violating the constitution and every relevant international law and treaty, a fact which has escaped the American public, but not the world at large. While the US media wallows in the politically staged indictment of Slobodan Milosevic for war crimes, nary a word is heard through the din of war propaganda about our own would-be dictator.

No less than three complaints have been filed at the International Court of Justice in The Hague seeking indictment against the nineteen NATO states taking part in the assault on Yugoslavia. Sixty-seven national leaders, including Mr. Bill & Company, have been charged with war crimes, genocide and violations of international law including the Geneva Convention, the UN Charter, and the NATO treaty. A bipartisan group of twenty six congressmen have also filed suit in federal court against Clinton for violating Section 1, Article 8, Clause 11 of the Constitution and the 1973 War Powers Resolution (www.house.gov/campbell/press.htm).

All the while the war, and the madness, continues at home and abroad. The American public is bombarded with incessant propaganda which maintains some fictitious “necessity” justifies the unprovoked bombing of Yugoslavia. This “necessity” compels our military to prodigiously and wastefully expend munitions which should be reserved for the defense of the republic. It lays to rest the concept of “smart” bombs which can't seem to tell the difference between ethnic or any other type of Albanian, let alone old people and children, or civilian, military and political targets. It is this “necessity” that permits the destroyers to turn lies into truth, to justify murder as collateral damage. Out of this “necessity” NATO, led by the United States, is destroying a sovereign nation in order to save it. Necessity, rational individuals might conclude, is madness when rationalized to achieve political ends.

God knows, Slobodan Milosevic is no prince among men. He shares many of the worst attributes and deeds of Bill Clinton. Yet, Milosevic does not participate in international terrorism. And neither are the Yugoslav people ready to trade their elected tyrant for the boot of UN tyranny.

Beijing Bill may simply be wagging the dog a little harder to divert attention from the Cox report documenting the mass transfer of nuclear secrets to Red China. Or perhaps more likely, he may be staging a cagey but strategic decimation of the American military. Remember, Stage 3, Public Law 87-297? Maybe both, perhaps more. But, it appears in his enthusiasm he may have forgotten that it's not the dog in the fight that counts, but the fight in the dog. Remember that.

The civil war between Serbian forces and our (yeah, right!) "allies", the insurgent Marxist KLA, pales in comparison to the terror, damage and general carnage resulting from the NATO bombing. This manufactured war can only make sense in terms of NWO goals. Among other opportunities, it conveniently sets the stage for a major war in Europe, affords a wonderful opportunity to demoralize and diminish the military capability of our armed forces, provides justification for military adventurism by Russia, China and North Korea, and lays groundwork to bring the US further under UN control.

It also institutionalizes fear and intimidation as tools of the Western alliance for global government. Case in point, does not the insistence on continued bombing long after the "deed" (driving the Marxist, drug-running Kosovo Liberation Army, the KLA, out of Kosovo) is done and the "humanitarian justification" warrant continuation verge on maniacal obsession? And yet, Western media spares no effort demonizing Milosevic as the mad man. Where indeed, does the madness lie?

But it's all right. Yes, Congress made it official; we are definitely not at war with Yugoslavia. The homeless, suffering and dying citizens of Yugoslavia are no doubt reassured by this firm stance of congress. In yet other votes congress ruled out support for a ground war and approved billions more to continue funding for the air 'campaign'? Is congress divided and confused? Have they lost all concept of the term "illegal"? Or are they simply aiding New World Order objectives by other means?

The devilish details are on the internet and shortwave. It would be superfluous to summarize them here.

The question before us is not whether Milosovic or the UN (through NATO as a regional military arm), is the lesser of two evils. It is whether we are finally understanding the true nature of the New World Order and what must be done to resist it's encroachment in America.

The lesson is not that we must fear the NWO and its agents, or that we must capitulate to intimidation. It is whether we have the will, the morale, and convictions in the principles of our republic, as moral Americans, to resist and thereby survive as a free people and a sovereign nation.

We must understand that the universal trademarks of the New World Order and their agents are lies, deceit, insatiable and non-negotiable demands for total capitulation to totalitarian world government. There is no middle ground. Those who advocate global governance are unconstrained by law or treaty. They are no respectors of persons or rights. They have no honor, or loyalty save loyalty to their cause. Concepts of right and wrong have no meaning and are inconsequential except in terms of NWO goals. Agreements, compromise and pledges are temporary tools of convenience; worthless the moment their purpose is served. The rights to life and property are subject to unconditional acceptance of redefined humanitarianism which means accept the terms dictated or die.

The ongoing dismemberment of the civilian population and infrastructure in Yugoslavia is no more than an international reflection of the massacre in Waco, Texas by agents of a foreign government in the guise of American agencies. It demonstrates that even if the Waco assault and murders were

not officially sanctioned by congress, they were condoned by congressional inaction for the demonstration and furtherance of international control. The promised land of humanitarian global governance under UN/NATO armies is about peace, the peace that comes from absolute political, economic and military domination. Like Waco, Yugoslavia is a sanctioned event. And they are only the beginning.

Most people are adamant in their desire to remain ignorant of distressing information, it is their nature and they are fully committed to it. It doesn't matter how much the air reeks of peanuts. It doesn't matter how many times they're crushed and stepped on. It doesn't matter how many jungle films they've seen or how many zoos they've visited. Nor would they accept the personal word of an expert veterinarian or big game hunter. That big gray animal in the room will never be an elephant. They will die rather than admit the beast.

Are you going to help us kick the crap out of the beast, or are you going to run and hide and hope someone else can do it before it stomps on you, your children, and your country?

“We do not owe our children wealth, but we do owe them freedom.” John Adams

ALL THE KING'S MEN

Once upon a time, in a not so far away land, dedicated men and women fought and died for principle, country and honor. They fought for independence, freedom, and sovereignty. They died in order to establish a very limited republic, a government dedicated to the protection of their hard won unalienable rights. In time, they fought more wars. The people willingly went to war to help those in other countries to maintain their freedom from tyranny by foreign intervention and occupation. Today their sons and daughters willingly fight to destroy the very things their forefathers fought to establish and preserve.

Our Fayetteville correspondent has recently reported on the verbal enthusiasm of certain officer and enlisted personnel for the ongoing NATO aggression against our World War II allies in Yugoslavia. Given the atrocities reported literally every day from that civil-war torn country, the opportunity to right the wrongs in someone else's back yard is, to hear the discussion, appears too exciting and morally justified to pass up. The issue of legality and legitimacy of the war effort is never raised.

No trepidation here for personal safety. Helping to bomb the [almost] defenseless from vast distances lends a certain glamour and surgical cleanliness to the killing and requires little in the way of dedication to purpose and principle required for ground combat.

We recognize that many of us were “fooled” in our day and enlisted to follow hollow causes. Yet that does not diminish the disappointment that, with so much water over the dam and so apparent the direction of our country and it's military, the “kings” men are so easily led astray.

In earlier years we were inclined to believe in the honor of American officers and their personal commitment to duty and country. With few exceptions it appears our image was misapplied or the character of the military has drastically changed. We remain somewhat reluctant to accept the conclusion of the NWO Iron Mountain think tank which characterizes the military as follows:

“It must be noted also that the armed forces in every civilization have provided the principal state-supported haven for what we now call the ‘unemployable’. The typical

European standing army (of fifty years ago) consisted of “... troops unfit for employment in commerce, industry or agriculture, led by officers unfit to practice any legitimate profession or to conduct a business enterprise.” The Report From Iron Mountain, 1967.

Citizens in the “patriot” community generally hold those in the military in the highest esteem. We are reluctant to criticize enlisted personnel who depend on leadership to make up for the deficiency of their public education. Yet by now it should be understood that most officers participating in the soon-to-be Balkan debacle are knowingly and willingly participating in a war being conducted in clear violation of their oath of enlistment and for the benefit of NATO and UN objectives.

Those acting in this capacity are mercenaries, pure and simple. Our support should be restrained. We find it unconscionable that American officers so willingly flock to the butchery, throwing honor and oath to the wind. Just following orders didn’t work at Nuremberg and it doesn’t wash in the court of public opinion.

With apologies for referencing NWO “Trojan horse” conservative diverter Pat Buchanan, we note that he correctly observes that American armed forces have indeed become the “Hessians of the New World Order”. Our expectations regarding the willingness of the military to actively participate in the subversion of America should not be underestimated.

“Shew me that age and country where the rights and liberties of the people were placed on the sole chance of their rulers being good men, without a consequent loss of liberty? I say that the loss of that dearest privilege has ever followed with absolute certainty, every such mad attempt.” Patrick Henry, June 7, 1788.

NOTED IN PASSING

Predictable but disturbing is the recent opinion of Senator Joe Lieberman (Democrat, Connecticut) which reflects the globalist position on Yugoslavia. He maintains that our efforts in Yugoslavia represent the “values and ethics of America” (Meet The Press, 4/25/99). Further, that the situation in Yugoslavia is the only impediment to a united Europe. A noble fight for worthy cause, or an assault on the very foundation of civil society?

Likewise, Ms. Dole is aggressively lobbying for an expansion of the official./unofficial war effort with as many liberal congressmen as possible. Her assessment that ground troops are essential for even possible temporary claim to military success is correct. Perhaps she understands the first rule of war: if you don’t hold the ground, you have won nothing. The seriousness of her bid for the presidential nomination is reflected in her eagerness to climb on the NWO bandwagon. We reflect how easy it is to rattle the saber when confident you will never be called to use it.

Pending their acceptance, equipment donations will be solicited from the militia to provide Liberman and Dole with a full set of web gear, weapon, BDU’s and parachute if they will make the appropriate arrangements with the military to drop them inside Yugoslavia.

In other matters we note that the published Cox report available to the public has been redacted, or edited, for public consumption. The actual final report remains classified top secret suggesting the whole truth may be even more ominous. The redacted report documents a long continuous history of espionage at US nuclear weapons labs and consistent, serious lapses in security. The result, a catastrophic loss of virtually all nuclear weapons secrets, including plans and computer models, for

the most modern types of nuclear weapons. Recent internet reports allege proof exists the Chinese have already developed and are successfully experimenting with small neutron bombs based on American designs.

Even a brief review of current public discussion on the issue once again finds Clinton lying about his personal knowledge of the Chinese intelligence debacle. And around Washington, there is the predictable furious rush of activity to minimize political fallout, maximize evasion and minimize accountability, and much public posturing to close the barn door long after the horse has been stolen.

NWO politicians are frantically diminishing the importance of past and ongoing security leaks, perhaps [over]confident they can control the resulting military parity. Still others feign outrage, perhaps a little concerned such espionage was sanctioned without their participation, or anxious they had inadequate opportunity to sell targeted real estate prior to public awareness of the nuclear proliferation. In any case, this monumental Chinese espionage coup of the century is too profound for many to attribute to simple political and bureaucratic lapses. Charges of treason echo throughout Washington and the hunt for a politically acceptable scapegoat continues with enthusiasm. One way or another we can be assured, the American public will pay the price and will never have even the satisfaction of knowing the truth.

What's left? Will Beijing Bill be named employee of the year by Red China? After all, they bought him; seems to us they can also sell him when they're ready.

“... in the next war the major casualties will not be among the military, but among our civilian population. The men, women, and children at home will be at the front line... The citizen who takes the necessary precautions to survive a nuclear attack is a true American, a patriot, and a true defender of freedom.” Major Alexander P. De Seversky, *America: Too Young To Die*. 1961

FIRST AID SUPPLEMENT

Coping With Dehydration

One of the greatest threats to health and life itself during emergencies is dehydration. Dehydration, which may often be associated with severe diarrhea, can be caused by many things including poor nutrition, virus infections including “intestinal flu”, malaria, food poisoning, allergies, poisons, and radiation. In fact, dehydration can be associated with any serious illness when a person is too ill to eat or drink sufficient fluids. Dehydration may affect people of any age but is most dangerous to small children.

The book ‘Where There Is No Doctor’, by David Werner (Published in 1992 by The Hesperian Foundation, PO Box 1692, Palo Alto, CA 94302, ISBN 0-942364-15-5) should be acquired as an essential addition to your survival library. It contains important information on how to cope with a variety of common and life threatening illnesses and injuries including simple remedies for dehydration. These formulas could be critical in a crisis situation when oral rehydration salts are not readily available.

Some of the simplest things are the most important. Over the years prevention and prompt response to dehydration demonstrates that preparedness is a critical element in many disaster response situations.

According to the text, severe dehydration can cause rapid, weak pulse, fast, deep breathing, fever or fits. Signs of dehydration include thirst, little or no urine; the urine is dark yellow, sudden weight loss, dry mouth, sunken, tearless eyes, loss of elasticity of the skin. Prompt efforts to begin rehydration are recommended when a person has watery diarrhea, or diarrhea and vomiting.

At first signs of dehydration:

- * Give lots of liquids to drink. The rehydration formula is recommended but thin porridge or gruel, teas, soups and water are helpful.
- * Offer small amounts of food many times a day as soon as they can eat.

Two formulas for a “home mix” rehydration drink:

1. Place one-half level teaspoon salt and eight (8) level teaspoons sugar (raw sugar or molasses will substitute) into one liter of clean water.
2. Place one-half teaspoon salt and eight (8) heaping teaspoons (or two handfuls) of powdered cereal into one liter of clean water. Boil for 5-7 minutes to form a liquid gruel or watery porridge. Cool quickly before use.

The text recommends adding half a cup of fruit juice, coconut water or mashed ripe banana to either drink before use to add potassium. Each drink should be tasted before use to make sure it is not spoiled. The drink(s) should be sipped every five (5) minutes day and night until the dehydrated person “begins to urinate normally”. A large person needs three (3) or more liters each day while a child needs about one liter per day. Medical help is recommended if dehydration “gets worse or other danger signs appear.”

There’s much more to the book of possible use to every family and medical support team. The best “medical” advice we can offer is ... get the book.

GUN CONTROL PRIMER

What’s To Negotiate?

It’s natural for good folks to insist on negotiating the non-negotiable. In fact, the Declaration of Independence makes that very same observation.

“... all experience hath shown, that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed.”

In the aftermath of the Columbine shootings we have yet another political smorgasbord of proposed gun control legislation in North Carolina. The shooting community is once again challenged to write their representatives and petition for concession (and perhaps understanding) in an effort to dispel misconceptions and champion the virtues of liberty, constitutional intent and values.

But when it comes to gun control there’s something most gun owners still don’t understand. Constitutional law no longer applies in America, particularly with respect to the Bill of Rights. The planned elimination of gun ownership by private individuals is a done deed and the process is being implemented through incrementalism. There’s no mistake, the NRA knows, and most if not all our state and federal representatives know what most don’t want to understand.

Beating a dead horse may be fine exercise. In fact, the effort alone is certain to get some notice. But the beating should be administered with reduced expectations of getting somewhere.

It doesn't seem to matter how hard or well we beat the proverbial horse, the pro-gun public continues to be frustrated at the continuing tide of gun control. The public is continually bombarded by the media with disinformation and emotional appeals for disarmament. Responsible professional studies amount to naught in the arguments of freedom. We wonder why the concept of the Second Amendment is so coldly received by a congress sworn to uphold our unalienable rights and double talk from the local politicians who continually dabble around the edges of gun control, each seizing every opportunity to assume more authority bite by bite. We continually take one step backward, two forward. The arguments of reason, logic and law appear almost inconsequential. Minor gains are continually offset by incremental losses. We speculate how the NRA, staffed with presumably capable legal counsel, year after year offers concessions instead of intractability commensurate with the "unalienable" right to keep and bear arms. If something doesn't make sense, perhaps our assumptions are wrong. And they are.

In 1961 congress passed Public Law 87-297, "the Arms Control and Disarmament Act" [for World Peace and Security]. Section 2 of the Act states that "an ultimate goal of the United States is a world which is free from the scourge of war and the dangers and burdens of armaments". It created a new agency, the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, to oversee the general and complete disarmament of the United States and to participate in a broader International Disarmament Organization within the UN framework. The Act defines "arms control" and "disarmament" as:

"the identification, verification, inspection, limitation, control, reduction, or elimination, of armed forces and armaments of all kinds [emphasis added] under international agreement to establish an effective system of international control, or to create and strengthen international organization for the maintenance of peace."

At this point it might be beneficial to ask yourself whether there is anything about the meanings of the words "unalienable", "elimination" and "international" you don't understand.

The adoption of PL 87-297 just coincidentally provided President Kennedy the framework for a commitment to the United Nations for total disarmament. The process is clearly summarized in Department of State publication 7277, published in September, 1961 and United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency Publication 4, Released May, 1962 entitled Blueprint For The Peace Race: Outline of Basic Provisions of A Treaty on General and Complete Disarmament In A Peaceful World. The language in both publications parallels that of PL 87-297. They describe a program for the disarmament of world, and specifically the United States, in three stages, the first two of 3 years each, and the third as "promptly as possible".

The provisos entail a program of scheduled disarmament of weapons of "mass destruction" including nuclear weapons, and the "disbanding" of all armed forces except for those "required to preserve internal order and for contributions to a United Nations Peace Force." The goal is to implement controlled progressive disarmament to a point "where no state would have the military power to challenge the progressively strengthened UN Peace Force". Yes indeed, a one-world military power; no checks and balances; absolutely no protection from tyranny; and absolutely no national sovereignty. And individual Americans had no vote in the decision.

The "reduction" in Armed Forces, by way of their elimination or transfer to the UN Peace Force, would include not only all full-time, uniformed personnel and other career or contract personnel,

but also “personnel of militarily organized security forces and of other forces or organizations equipped and organized to perform a military mission.” Further, at the completion of Stage III, the United States (and others) would have at their disposal:

“Only those forces and organizational arrangements necessary for agreed forces to maintain internal order and protect the personal security of citizens and that they would be capable of providing agreed manpower for the United Nations Peace Force, the Parties to the Treaty would complete the reduction of their force levels, disband systems of reserve forces, cause to be disbanded organizational arrangements comprising and supporting their national military establishment, and terminate the employment of civilian personnel associated with the foregoing.”

In the United States this would obviously necessitate the formal abrogation of the Constitution, the Second Amendment, ownership of private firearms, and the bastion of the free state, the citizen militia.

On September 19, 1961, just prior to the passage of PL 87-297 Representative Quie (Minnesota) observed the obvious:

“I think it would be unwise, dangerous, foolish, to state in a bill that disarmament means the elimination [emphasis added] of armed forces and armaments of all kinds. There would be nothing more dangerous for this country, there would be nothing more dangerous in the cause of world peace than to have any important country lay down its arms completely [emphasis added]. There is no nation in the world today that is not dominated by another nation, that has eliminated its arms or its armed forces completely. ... You can imagine what would happen in case we, under an international agreement, eliminated all armed forces, even every soldier, and all weapons whatsoever. All another nation would have to do would be to secretly have a few armed forces and a few devastating weapons, and immediately we would be unable to defend ourselves now that there are these new kinds of weapons, especially nuclear weapons.

“... So I believe if we are to have meaningful arms control we should take out this word “elimination” and remove it from this bill.”

Later in the debate Mr. Quie recognizes the hint of treason in the actions of the Congress when he observes:

“I cannot accept a bill which has that meaning. I believe in the Arms Control Agency, but I do not see how we could ever pass a piece of legislation which advocates the elimination of all Armed Forces and armaments of all kinds. How can we in the United States of America every expect to do that and still under the Constitution provide for the common defense?”

In further debate Representative Bruce (Indiana) reinforces the argument made by Representative Quie observing that “In the recent conflict in the Congo the United Nations forces issued an ultimatum that has been reported in our press that anyone who is in possession of a firearm would be shot on sight. He further observes “in this age of dialectics in which we live, and things suddenly turn upside down, we are creating an instrument through this phraseology that could very quickly under given circumstances be turned against us.”

In retrospect, the amendment proposed by Mr. Quie failed, and the words of Representative Bruce can be seen to have been prophetic.

Gun owners must have breathed a sigh of relief with the passage of Public Law 88-186 in 1963 which inserted a provision which precluded construction of PL 87-297 to authorize the regulation of the possession of firearms by an individual. More specifically it stated:

“Nothing contained in this chapter shall be construed to authorize any policy or action by any Government agency which would interfere with, restrict, or prohibit the acquisition, possession, or use of firearms by an individual for the lawful purpose of personal defense, sport, recreation, education or training.”

But, the joy is short-lived when one considers two notable problems. First, the right to the possession of firearms for the lawful function of the militia consistent with the Second Amendment is conspicuously absent. Secondly, its reassurances that national disarmament would not proceed “except pursuant to the treaty making power of the President” or by act of congress, are hollow indeed. The supremacy of the constitution was overthrown by the Supreme Court in a series of court decisions beginning in 1920 involving the Migratory Bird Act (Missouri vs. Holland) and continuing with the Curtiss-Wright case of 1936 and the Ping case of 1942. The Supreme Court held that where treaties, including even those which might be unconstitutionally [and subsequently executive agreements], and the constitution conflict, the conflict must be resolved in favor of the treaty. The protections of the constitution to our republican form of government and, of course, the Second Amendment, are therefore forfeit.

However, even the amended provisions added by Public Law 88-186 which permit firearms ownership for sporting purposes were subsequently removed in 1987. Executive Order 11044 (8/20/62), as amended by Executive Order 12608 (9/9/87) [<http://www.house.gov/liblaw>], reaffirmed that “arms control” and “disarmament” would be defined as originally provided in Section 2552(a) of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act which does not recognize such an exception.

Public Law 103-236, adopted in 1994, confirms the deed and did away with the charade. After all, if disarmament is to be total and if the objective was the “elimination” of firearms and disarmament of the organized militia, citizens (as the reserve or unorganized militia) can’t be allowed to own them. Therefore, there was no need for any provisions for individual ownership of firearms and the language protecting private ownership of firearms was removed from the 1994 Edition of the U.S. Code.

What this means is that there is an established history of federal legislation that affirms and reaffirms the intention of the government to outlaw the ownership and possession of private firearms as part of an overall program of complete disarmament. The only thing missing is enabling regulations, or treaty, which mandate complete implementation of the law. To keep the fools in the dark the process is proceeding by the process of progressive incrementalism. That is, the gradual, but by law inescapable, restriction of the unalienable right with the ultimate objective to ban firearms ownership.

The evidence of progressive implementation is clearly apparent in recent history. There is no provision in these legislative acts for compromise, negotiation or protection of constitutional rights. It should be evident that our ability to “negotiate” or petition for legislative leniency is limited to the method by which we capitulate our right to bear arms, not whether we capitulate that right.

Surely, some protest, the United Nations in its benevolence would respect the established Constitutional rights of American citizens regarding firearms. No so:

“The world can no longer talk merely about the demilitarization of international relations. What is needed is demilitarization of international society. Militarization today not only involves government spending more than necessary to build up their military arsenals. It has increasingly become a global societal phenomenon, as witnessed by the rampant acquisition and use of increasingly lethal weapons by civilians-whether individuals seeking a means of self-defense, street gangs, criminals, political opposition groups, or terrorist organizations.

... “We strongly endorse community initiatives to protect individual life, to encourage the disarming of civilians, and to foster an atmosphere of security in neighborhoods.” Our Global Neighborhood, UN Commission of Global Governance, 1995.

From an internet article by Philip Alpers, “gun policy researcher”, Auckland, New Zealand, written in February, 1998 entitled “Rapid Progress in UN’s Worldwide Effort to Harmonize Gun Control Laws - Full Report we gain some insight into four recent (1997-98) United Nations meetings of the UN Commission on Crime Prevention & Criminal Justice. Reporting on the “recent convergence between international “arms control” and domestic “gun control” these initiatives represent a change in emphasis to the issue of “microdisarmament”. Following some NRA bashing and discussion regarding collusion between the NRA and administration officials to influence the UN procedures on “microdisarmament” Alpers summarizes the public findings (some consensus points remain confidential) of the final UN workshop in New Delhi in January, 1998 as follows:

“It is not a right to possess a firearm. This was the consensus.”

“There should be no free availability of firearms.”

“It is legal firearms which also result in many, many deaths.”

“The opportunity to commit offenses (gun availability) must be reduced.”

“More forceful and stringent regulation of firearms is required.”

Once again, there is no room in the political process for logic, reason, or constitutional debate. U.S. representatives are currently working with the UN on the development of a UN treaty for microdisarmament. There is nothing that suggests anything but intractable resolve on the part of the political machine to acquire all guns.

By all means write your letters, send E-mail and make phone calls to your representatives. Make every effort to petition for redress in a lawful, polite and literate manner. However, a quick reality check should dispel fanciful notions about negotiating a reversal in political policy in support of the constitution protections to firearms rights. Even your good ‘ol deer rifle is on the blocks and your constitutional protections have been legally, but not lawfully, scraped by those not empowered to do so.

“A constitution is the property of a nation, and not of those who exercise the government. All the constitutions of America are declared to be established on the authority of the people.” Thomas Paine, The Rights of Man, 1791.

The tactical exercises throughout America by the military attest to preparations for combat on American soil. Your federal representatives know it, the NRA knows it, and once you read for

yourself the appropriate sections of Title 22, US Code regarding PL 87-297 perhaps you will also understand as well.

Despite the admonitions of UN “peacekeepers” and socialist do-gooders, this remains a world in which force is still the final arbiter among nations. It is through force that we will guarantee that freedom will survive in a totalitarian world world government under the UN. Our founding fathers knew it. They understood that in the final analysis the only language understood by tyrants is force.

The language of patriots has consistently been one of reason, logic, history, law, and common sense. In the extreme it may be that the only way we can effectively communicate our desire for liberty may be through the universal translator. That, my dear American friends is your rifle. Buy more ammunition.

“... he that hath no sword, let him sell his garment and buy one”. Jesus Christ, Luke 22:36

U.S. Combat Arms Survey, 1994

“46. The U.S. Government declares a ban on the possession, sale, transportation, and transfer of all non-sporting firearms. A thirty (30) day amnesty period is permitted for these firearms to be turned over to the local authorities. At the end of this period, a number of citizen groups refuse to turn over their firearms. Consider the following statement:

I would fire upon U.S. citizens who refuse or resist confiscation of firearms banned by the U.S. government.

Strongly disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree No Opinion”

NATIONAL/STATE TERRORISM TRAINING

Survey courses in Domestic Terrorism Training/Terrorism Preparedness will be offered throughout 1999 by several agencies and groups, among them the Special Operations Response Team out of Winston-Salem. Various courses will be offered to citizen groups, emergency responders, law enforcement and medical personnel and government officials. The focus of the training will be on “weapons of mass destruction”, or more specifically, nuclear, chemical and biologic weapons. It has been reported that the Justice Department is providing \$4.28 billion for this and related training purposes during the coming year.

The purpose of the courses is ostensibly to build awareness of the threat potential and possible ramifications of a terrorist attack on a community or region. The reference materials contain a variety of basic information on the characteristics of various agents and their physical affects. More importantly, the course materials explore, although somewhat simplistically, the potential affects of an actual event on the community.

In detailing general agency response functions and capabilities a great deal of emphasis is placed on the need to coordinate and defer to state and federal agencies in responding to emergencies or viable threats to the community. The focus of the training is on response, but the driving impetus is clearly political.

Is there cause for concern? Perhaps not as much as the government might like us to believe. The United States is a big place and nuclear, biologic and chemical weapons disperse quickly in large open areas. Potential targets and target areas are poorly defined in the presentation; they don't want anyone to feel too secure.

What about the threat vectors? The track record of international terrorist organizations was identified as a potential threat. However, the fact that by far most terrorist events world-wide are state sponsored was conveniently omitted as was any discussion of the major efforts of this administration to promote terrorism at home by cruise missile diplomacy abroad.

So who does that leave as potential domestic terrorists? Presumably responding to political obligations, potential agents identified include militia groups (such as those near Andrews - no examples or history required), radical environmental groups, religious groups, firearms owners, even possibly disenfranchised tobacco farmers. However, to their credit, the principal historical domestic threats were correctly identified as environmental radicals and lone, mentally unbalanced individuals.

Our analysis? Attend a course if possible. It will help round out information you have received in references previously cited in past newsletters. Our assessment is preliminary; we invite your feedback. Key points we surmised from the course are:

- * There is not much a facility, community or individual can do for self-protection in the event of a WMD attack
- * A recognition or awareness of signs of a potential attack, if timely, might permit limited implementation of protective measures but response procedures are ill-defined
- * Early warning of a potential attack is highly unlikely
- * Despite growing preparedness, local, regional and federal groups are poorly prepared to cope with an incident of even moderate size
- * Local areas would likely be isolated in the event of a series of serious events
- * Responders at all levels are not effectively building bridges with companies with skilled personnel and resources
- * Political commitment to emergency preparedness is, perhaps deservedly, lackluster
- * Few will come away with sufficient information to prepare a functional preparedness plan except to call upon local law enforcement and federal agencies

The content of the Domestic Terrorism Conference held on March 31st in Asheville was somewhat similar to the Domestic Terrorism Training survey course except that it was more tailored to the law enforcement community. A broad overview of terrorism was presented by several speakers and, in general, the program was informative though some might consider the fear-mongering a bit much. Very little information was presented regarding personal precautions or information on same which some of the 400 or so attendees may have appreciated.

Agency embarrassment and frustrations over their failure to arrest Eric Rudolph and to identify or arrest those responsible for recent bombings in the southeast was apparent. The program was long on speculation, short on factual information, and politically inspired. Overall, we're looking forward to the next one.

“No normal man likes the thought of using a lethal weapon upon another human. However, just as there are countries who have no regard for the rights of other countries, we have

individuals who operate on the same brutal principle. To protect ourselves from such countries we have our armed forces. Law enforcement officers perform this duty on an individual basis. In either case, superior force is the only thing that criminals fully understand.” Bill Jordan, No Second Place Winner, 1965.

SHANKS MARE TRANSPORT SYSTEMS

The March 16, 1999 edition of the Winston-Salem journal contained an interesting article of potential interest to those who contemplate long walks through the countryside. The article presented as a Journal Staff and Wire Report is quoted in full as follows:

“If you like to do a lot of running or plan to take a long hike, you may want to apply antiperspirant to your feet before heading out.

According to the U.S. Army medical researchers, applying antiperspirant on the feet helps prevent blisters. The researchers tested the effectiveness on 667 cadets who endured a 13-mile hike. The result? Of those who used the antiperspirant at least three times before the hike, only 21 percent developed foot blisters.

“Antiperspirant reduces the sweating that creates friction, and friction leads to blisters,” said Joseph Knapik SCD, of the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventative Medicine in Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.

Knapik suggests applying antiperspirant to clean, dry feet every day for five days before a major walk, run, or hike. Be sure to cover the entire foot - in between toes, tops of toes, backs of heels and bottoms of feet. One missed spot could lead to sweating and blisters. Reapply once or twice a week, indefinitely, to maintain the antiperspirant effect. A spray, stick or roll-on can be used.”
End of article.

BITTER HARVEST

Once again an extremely remarkable coincidence occurred that would appear to defy all laws of statistical probability. We refer to the tragedy in the form of a public shooting at Columbine High School in Littleton, Colorado which occurred at a politically strategic moment for gun control proponents.

Bereaved parents and officials publicly express anguish for failing to understand the reasons behind the Littleton massacre. Yet notably absent is the honest self-examination necessary to discover the failings so carefully cultivated in family and system.

After the first rush of anguish comes the frantic search for inanimate objects on which to place blame, the substitution of symptoms for root causes, and a stampede to implement more controls and abdicate even more authority to public agencies. Soon to follow are the public meetings in which we honor those responsible for the failings of the system which was placed in their trust.

No time is wasted by the public media. By this time the demonization of the firearms used in the crime is in full swing. Every socialist organization and politician with a gun control agenda is

awarded free air time to maximize the proper degree of emotionalism essential to a good propaganda campaign. First out the window are any references to logic, reason, historical precedent, and the law. Arguments for yet more gun control, including total disarmament, however frivolous or absurd, are hailed as inspired political insight.

Totally forgotten amid the public focus on the weapons used in the crime are the less noticeable, but no less destructive, weapons used on the children who committed the crime. Forgotten are the chronic affects of state sponsored drug programs, a school administrative system which usurps the responsibilities of parents, scholastic programs designed to cater to the lowest common denominator, and coursework which promotes the degrading concepts of socialistic society and government.

“Schools will become clinics whose purpose is to provide individualized, psycho-social treatment for the student, and teachers must become psycho-social therapists. This will include biochemical and psychological mediation of learning, as drugs are introduced experimentally to improve in the learners such qualities as personality, concentration and memory. Children are to become the objects of experimentation.” Education in the ‘70’s, an NEA report, reported by Phylis Schafly in the Utah Independent, December 23, 1976.

Forgotten are the weapons of the psychologists and social scientists designed to promote a school system devoid of standards, morals, and ethics. Ignored is the work of these individual who diligently seek to impose a relative value system in which there are no such concepts as right and wrong. Finally, children smart enough to separate reality from fantasy in entertainment, are shown that character does not count in the real world. By example they are taught that real life accountability is a sham; political and community leaders publicly perform illegal and immoral actions which usually continue with impunity and without community censure.

In our universal drive to subject our children to “modern” experimentation in social engineering most parents have lost the good sense to realize the problem is not an abundance of firearms, but a shortage of morals, ethics, and sense of purpose. Bureaucrats, our paid employees, admonish us not to assign accountability thus assuring us that the symptoms will ever be confused with the problem. And the public gushes its approval like good little herd animals.

“Every child in America who enters school at the age of five is mentally ill, because he comes to school with allegiance toward our elected officials, toward our founding fathers, toward our institutions, toward the preservation of this form of government... patriotism, nationality, sovereignty... All of that proves the children are sick, because the truly well individual is one who has rejected all of those things and is what I would call the true international child of the future.” Dr. Pierce of Harvard University, from an address in Denver, Colorado, 1973.

We understand that Burke County is considering deputizing some of its teachers. Are we so fearful of our children that we must arm to protect ourselves? Government is predictably reluctant to publicly recognize that our children are now the most common source of domestic terrorism. As an aside, it is interesting to note that laws intended to enable government to selectively designate individuals and groups as terrorists for political purposes are being conveniently ignored.

Is there a “bottom line” in all this? Yes, and it is most certainly obvious. We have met the enemy, a cartoon character named Pogo once observed, and he is us.

With each tragedy, each new problem, we are persuaded to accept yet more social engineering and abdicate more responsibility. And we are continually surprised when we get what we pay for. The problem is not with our children, it is with us. At this rate, once we have finally ceded all control over our children to government, most people still won't have a clue as to what causes children to do violent things to other children.

“All world government schemes are notoriously conspicuous not only for their ignorance of God as the highest authority but even for the need of a universally acceptable standard of morals and ethics if the world is to be held together in one inseparable unit subject to a single government. There are many broad hints and generalizations about “embracing democratic principles, “peace, security, and abundance, Universal brotherhood”, etc., but no mention of the creative source of these ideals. Herein lies the greatest weakness of the world government notion; herein lies the breach through which seeps the corrosive elements that dissolve the highest ideals, the best intentions, and the most profound achievements.” Honorable Lawrence H. Smith, Congressional Record, Wednesday, May 4, 1955, Appendix pg. A3017.

PC BOMBSHELL

Revelation From PC Computing Magazine (www.pccomputing.com)

In an internet article entitled “Bombshell” author Paul Somerson for PC Computing magazine (April, 1999) is indeed a “bombshell” of information for all internet users.

Somerson describes a Minnesota court case in which it was revealed that almost all computers distributed after March, 1996 contain a microphone capable of picking up human speech within a five foot radius of the average PC. In addition, some companies such as the one cited in the lawsuit, have been using sound-activated software to record private conversations off the internet.

The author explains that inexpensive microphones have been required in PC's ever since included in the 1996 PC/Telephony specifications. Further, some technical departments and internet providers have been running Speech Collection Port (SCP) software that uses these tiny microphones to bug conversations. To recognize whether you are at risk for monitoring, Somerson recommends watching the hard disk “in-use” indicator light. He advises that if the light periodically goes on when not typing or saving files it is “almost certain that SCP software has been surreptitiously collecting and sending your conversations either over your network or via the Internet”.

Internal microphones are often easy to spot, located behind nail-sized recessed grilles or directly on the mother board. Freeware programs have been developed by organizations such as the Computer Freedom Foundation to detect snooping activity and to deactivate the microphone. Somerson reports that copies of two freeware programs are available at www.pccomputing.com/snoopfix.

It has been our experience that PC Computing is one of the best publications of its type. If you are a regular PC/internet user we suggest you consider purchasing a sample copy.

“There is no second place winner in a gun fight!” Bill Jordan, No Second Place Winner, 1965.

BARNYARD FABLES #34
Picking Up The Pieces

The brilliant sunset glowed through the dusty haze rising in the crowded corral. But the rosy light did nothing to dispel the despair in the hoots, grunts, bleats and grunts of the gathered Barnyard folk.

“Hee-Haw... Heeeee-Haaaw”, bellowed Arnold, calling for order from the water trough temporarily serving as the podium for the ceremony.

“My fellow Critters”, brayed Arnold loudly in his own irritating drawl, “We humble Folk may never figger out why them gentle, young Critters took pea shooters to our very own Farm school and made ground round outta so many of our sweet Young-uns.”

“Years ago”, brayed Arnold, “we wuz real smart lettin’ them gummint Foxes take charge of our dear Young-uns and they sure been workin’ hard at fixin’ what was wrong with ‘em.”

“Ain’t that so, Senator Fox?”, concluded Arnold.

“Ahh share your pain in this turrible tragedy”, proclaimed the Fox, leaping to perch on the water trough.

“But,” recognizing the first order of politics, “you Critters are not responsible,” barked Fox loudly with a sincere but toothy smile. “Ya’ll done everythin’ jus’ right”, continued Fox, “An very scientific, too.”

“Clearly, you are not responsible for this completely unpredictable crime!” repeated the Fox seeing the look of relief on the desperate faces of the Animals.

“See here,” said the Fox, puttin’ on politician’s airs. “Ya’ll put armed guards in yer schools so Students don’t need to worry about honor. Every Student must meet the same low standards. That way the smart ones don’t need to be concerned ‘bout achievement or the average ones ‘bout competition. We substituted psychology and sociology for irrelevant stuff like history and civics. There ain’t nothin’ so aggravatin’ as having; to struggle with hard stuff like dates and places an’ national pride, heroes, responsibility and citizenship. ‘Sides, there ain’t no better role models than us modern politicians.

“Yeaaaah!” bleated an anonymous Animal in the now restless crowd, “Ya got my vote, Mr. Fox!”

“We took out prayer too,” pontificated Fox, “There ain’t no room for right ‘n wrong in this here global society. Ya can’t trust nobody ‘cept us politicians to make them important decisions. And best of all,” yipped the Fox excitedly, “It was all free - we did it all with tax money!”

“With the help of Barnyard President Arnold here, who is much more than a common Jackass, I assure you,” continued the Fox, “we can do even more to protect Young-uns and make the barnyard absolutely safe from the laws of God and nature.”

“Together, my fellow Critters,” concluded the Fox, “We must pass even more frivolous laws which demonstrate our true character. We want to give you Animals the barnyard society you deserve. An if all these good intentions aren’t enuff, later on we’ll ask them international experts, the Wolves, to make a safer place for us.”

“Hell!,” came several shouts from the crowd almost in unison, “Throw ‘em to the Wolves now ... Why wait fer more trubble!” cried the agitated Animals obscuring the darkened corral in clouds of dust.

“That’s the place!” yipped the Fox, leaping over of the fence ahead of the stomping hooves.

“Hey!,” Fred crowed up to Bernie up in hayloft lookout, “What they doin’ over there in the corral cheerin’ fer that Fox? Time to call out the Chicken Militia?”

“Awwwwk, not yet,” clucked Bernie spittin’ out hay dust, “they’s jus’ makin’ a pact fer social suicide.”

BOOK REVIEW:

The Permanent Crisis, Walker and Company, 1962 (Library of Congress No. 62-19500)

The Permanent Crisis by Kurt London, is a fascinating reference text for those with an interest in learning more about the evolution of political thought in the 60’s period. It is short enough for casual reading, but thought provoking and very informative to those looking to understand the corruption of modern political thought processes to a socialist mindset. Perhaps more practical for us today, it also provides and excellent overview of the concepts of “politprop”, the evolution of the art of diplomacy to accommodate the science of propaganda.

Chapters in the book are dedicated to an examination of changing face of international relations in the nuclear age. It explores cold war economics, changes in foreign policy and the struggle for political dominance through military posturing and political manipulation of the public. It documents the increasing incorporation of propaganda into both the international and domestic political and public policy making spheres.

The author correctly identifies the immense deception by the Soviets to shape world perceptions and achieve political goals through propaganda.

“Political terminology has lost its absolute values since the entrance of ideological states upon the scene of world politics has created uncertainty as to the meaning of words and concepts. Truth has become relative and; like other ethical terms, is bent to fit and ideological framework or to serve the purposes of psychological warfare. Political science, especially in the study of international relations and foreign policy, can no longer assume universal acceptance of its traditional concepts.”

Yet the importance of this work may lie in his rationalization of the need to make accommodations with communist governments. He suggests one must become like ones’ political enemies in order to effectively deal with them. More specifically, he maintains that in order to reach such accommodation we must reach common ground on their terms. Failure to do so, he suggests, has led to a “gigantic ideological stalemate” where effective communication and resolution of differences has resulted in a “permanent crisis” and nuclear standoff.

If you wonder why diplomats and politicians seemed so screwed up and unable to effectively cope with the communist world of the period this work illustrates the problem. Somewhat simplistically we might attribute the political floundering and confusion largely to the inability of our representatives demand and adhere to firm standards of contract. The author attributes much of the reason for disagreement to inherent cultural influences and bias between international counterparts. A reader might otherwise surmise that fear and lack of firm moral and ethical character on the part of the diplomatic corps was the root cause of the political floundering and confusion of the period.

The dichotomy in the authors thought process is provocative. On one hand he observes that the communists recognize no compromise in achieving their goal of world domination.

“To the Communists, “coexistence”, is merely a continuation of the ideological and economic struggle without open hostilities”.

Yet London struggles to rationalize the need to find a means to implement the very accommodation he admits does not exist. He thereby appears to have become victim to the very politprop (the “amalgamation of policy and propaganda”) he describes as an effective communist weapon of peace.

An important element of this work lies in London’s explanation of the purpose and workings of propaganda from the communist perspective. He explains the communist mindset regarding their long term goals and the means by which they intend to achieve them. It is remarkable in that he reports the same persistent patterns of political aggrandizement through deceit described by Golitsyn, Thompson, and others previously reviewed in these pages, providing yet another proof of long term communist intentions for the western world.

We see in this book the early makings of perestroika through “peaceful coexistence” and the manipulated decent of our government into a socialist ideology of accommodation and the NWO concept of convergence. He foresees the need for “democracies” to achieve accommodation by adopting the “New” and relinquishing the “Old.”

“The liberty of the individual to do as he pleases must be subjected to and limited by communal and national responsibilities without abridging his freedom of expression.”

Will the West prevail in this age of ideological warfare? The author, a closet socialist, doesn’t say and leaves us with no encouragement regarding a positive outcome for the West outside of convergence.

“Dealing with the enemy is always dangerous. History is strewn with the ruins of nations and civilizations that thought they could safely deal with their enemies.” Mutual Assured Survival, The Right Reverend Albion W. Knight, Jr., Brigadier General, USA (Ret.), 1988. Available from Dominion Press, Fort Worth, Texas, ISBN 0-930462-20-3.

IN THE WIRE

Reserve Callup:

As most are aware President Clinton, through Executive Order 13120 of April 27, 1999 (FR 23007, Vol. 64, No. 82) ordered the "Select Reserve and Certain Individual Ready Reserve Members" of the Armed Forces to active duty. Various news accounts placed the callup initially at about 2500 reservists. The "maximum" stated callup we were led to believe would be no more than 33,000. Currently the estimate has been revised upward to 90,000.

It is of particular note that the Executive Order which observes the "necessary" callup to "augment the active armed forces of the United States for the effective conduct of operations in and around the former Yugoslavia related to the conflict in Kosovo" contains no such limitation. The order is open ended. That is, the Order sets no limits to the callup other than the practical limit established by the number of available reservists in these categories.

Imported Military Ammunition:

Our favorite supplier of imported surplus ammunition has detailed their frustrations to us regarding their ability to obtain supplies. They cite increasing restrictions on the importation of ammunition which are resulting in reduced quantities and brands of popular calibers (5.56 and 7.62x52) and, of course, increasing costs. Once again there are unconfirmed rumors of an Executive Order which prohibits further importation of foreign arms and munitions. Comments and verifiable information are solicited from readers.

=====+

READERS RESPOND:

Letters To The Editor

Things to do:

In recent weeks several individuals have inquired about what to do now that they have obtained the basic necessities of "self-sufficiency". We suggest the following:

1. Maintain and improve your proficiencies.
2. Once you have sufficient ammunition, buy more.
3. Stock up on long term storage food.
4. Set-up and implement your unit muster; review basic procedures.
5. Develop options for relocation; evaluate routes and establish meeting places.
(Note recent construction of median cable barriers on major NC routes.)
6. Reevaluate group priorities.

Plan and prepare as if a crisis might occur tomorrow. One day it will, and you won't get a second chance.

=====+

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

To Joe, wherever you are, a message: "We do not owe our children wealth, but we do owe them freedom." John Adams

=====+

COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS gladly accepts your comments, suggestions, articles and letters. Those which best reflect the principles of freedom, independence, and republicanism may be published.

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS can currently be reached via:

NCCM Website: ncmilitia.org

Telephone: 704/648-8709

Mailing address: Carolina Free Press

P.O. Box 994

Canton, N.C. 28716

Copyright 1999, CAROLINA FREE PRESS - Permission to print/copy and distribute is granted as long as content is not altered and proper credit given. Electronic reproduction and distribution is encouraged.

Identifying Socialists:

How do you tell a socialist. If politician, someone who maintains we live in a democracy. If an individual, anyone willing to commit others money/taxes for their chosen cause without approval.

How do you tell if a person is a communist or useful idiot: take every opportunity to disparage patriots and citizen militia groups. The social misfits of the eighteenth and nineteenth century are alive and well in the twentieth. The men and women representing the citizens have long lost the commitment to liberty and no longer have the character, honor, integrity to be considered such.

History is often written in a moment, the moment when a majority of the governed recognize they have been had. Throughout history Americans have believed that moral behavior is based on tradition, honor, respect and duty. Without a strong legal code based on sound moral principles a nation degenerates into chaos and anarchy. How people respond to crisis. Whether rise to occasion or fail. In times of deep distress, the Old Testament said "Every man did that which was right in his own eyes."

Law of land is no longer inviolable standard.

Assure you that we Foxes continue guarding the Henhouse... for the children

Chickens wake up to find Fox guarding henhouse. What ya doin. No rules braking the law. My law is lawlessness. Got different kind of value system, none

cows couldn't come... cow magnet

Chiekens working on propaganda campaign. Signs saying Eat More Beef?

Animals that so greedy will eat the substance of the earth
Some will help them do it
Most will watch and do nothing even when its gone
some will eat the substance out of others

Clinton has gGiven away the nuclear bullets and the guns. Stuffed the bank with cash, cashiered the guards put the congress/sheriff to sleep and tied dumbled them down, and now sits, black ninja suit and hood at the read, ready to drive the getaway car. Do you think they'll take the bait?

It's not the dog in the fight, as the saying gos, it s the fight in the dog that counts.
Selling out legacy of founders and future of children.

But, its not enough to simply wag the dog, as the saying goes, the Administration found that you'v egot to beart it to death before any one will notice... the dog. But, it does apparently work.

In the last few weeks Al Gore invents the internet, a new internet "parents protection page that allows parents to filter or block certain internet access. Can't wait for him to invent applesauce

Letting war take place; our service men and women are literlly fighting against very same principle we fough tthe American revolution ans subsequent War of 1812 against that is the right to establish and

How do you keep population level? Keep areas in state of economic backwardness and slave labor. and raw materials supply only, no base industry.

Area pro-gun gruops reluctant to take; grasping for political realization which is not to be; compromise ephemeral illusion which is not granted but for expediency in compromising values. Essential lack of gumption.

Preverted psycology; disarming others [psycological warfare] Political expression of Ted Bundy suggests power of intellectuals/idelaim, power of power brokers./ irrationalism, over power over principles. Chicken story> struggle. Ozzie bending over perch... Words, provocation, escalation, acts, conflict? Triumph of reason, eventually prevails over idealism. **Intervening period where fruit of irrationalism, generl public both mentally and physicall disarmed, remind you this period called the Dark Ages. People led by statism, blindly, false premise,**

Liberals pretending to represent voice of reason, but advocating statism, not consittutionalism, character assassination destruction by allegation. Intellectual barbarism, destroying lives with clean hands, setting stage for intergroup warfare. Barbarians are indeed in the gate, and they are those who promise more through globalism, but through NAFTA. GATT and others deliver only more economic dislocation, destruction of rights, human misery looking for a globalist Utopia that cannot exist except in the minds of intellectuals. Be careful not carried away with glorious, altruistic claims of socialist/democaratic leaders. Despirate times had better drive us to hard reality, not fanciful promises. You know better. Who CAN you trust? Only yourself, but you had better get the facts.

Pitfalls of growing up in a generation with Captain Marvel, Superman and a host of caped crusaders lead to believe we have right and obligation to extend our concept of super meddlers in every country in the world. Believe in our own imagination.

“Countermeasures, whether in diplomacy or war, are never so good as direct measures. Attack, always attack should be the policy of all men, all nations, when facing an enemy. Attack here, there, somewhere else; always keep the enemy on the defensive and in a state of uncertainty as to where the next blow may fall.” Louis L’Amour, *The Walking Drum*, p. 432.

“... , the Clinton Administration’s perfidy is unique in this sense: Bill Clinton is the first American President to have materially aided a hostile foreign power in exchange for bribes.” *The New American*, Feb., 1999, Vol. 15, No. 4.

“Each generation has a group that wishes to impose a static pattern on events, a static pattern that would hold society forever immobile in a position favorable to the group in question.” Louis L’Amour, *The Walking Drum*
The force that is not prepared and ready to fight a war is only good on the parade ground. It will never deter anybody.” *America: Too Young To Die*, Major Alexander P. DeServersky, 1961.

MUTUAL ASSURED SURVIVAL

brief quotations in critical reviews only

“Since at least 1958 the policy of the United States government has been to make deals with the enemy, both the Soviet Union and Communist China. For almost thirty years our government has been signing one agreement after another with the Soviets. Ever since President Nixon’s unwise visit to Red China in 1971, we have been signing the same kind of agreements with that nation, which also wants us eliminated from the face of the earth.

Our deals with the Soviet Union have been mostly “peacemaking” agreements. These are arms-control (or disarmament) agreements made under the delusion by our politicians and diplomats that pieces of paper signed with a proven liar are better protection than weapons, or “walls” intended for the defense and protection of our own people.

Our government officials also encourage businesses, farmers, bankers, scientists, engineers, oilmen, and traders to aid, sell to, and lend money to the enemy in the hope that we will be building a bridge of mutual interests between the “East and the West,” as they are prone to call the present struggle to the death between freedom and slavery.

Business and financial institutions are quite happy to respond to such governmental encouragement because they think they can gain large short-term profits from what they have long believed to be an unlimited market. Their problem is that they are not thoughtful enough to realize what the enemy does with the goods: It uses them to weaken the West, and America in particular.

Thus, they do not realize that in the end they will be put out of business by the communists, hung by the rope they sold them.... Such deals have backfired on our government, our people, and our businesses. The arms-control agreements are tragic and utter failures. The Soviet Union, as we noted earlier, cheats on every agreement...

The trade deals also are failures. All we did by the financial aid we gave the communists was to form billions of dollars worth of debts that the Soviet Union, Red China, and their satellites have no intention of repaying. Thus, the communists virtually "own" the international banks that have so foolishly lent this money. All we did was to prop up the failing economy of the Soviet Union so that it could continue to spend money in building up its already massive military force...

Dealing with the enemy is always dangerous. History is strewn with the ruins of nations and civilizations that thought they could safely deal with their enemies." Mutual Assured Survival, The Right Reverend Albion W. Knight, Jr., Brigadier General, USA (Ret.), Dominion Press, 1988.

Bailed Out Of Hot Water

What came first he reckoned, the chickens or the foxes? asked Nasty McFowl

"Oh them?", replied Ozzie, "They don't mean nuthin', just stuff to amuse the chicks don't ya know."

yeah , but you don't got gobs of turkeys follerin you ever where either cause w're the bosses. no we expect the turkeys to

crows feet, hens eggs, hen pecked, bird brained, birds of a feather, chicken feed, goose step, fowl, feather brained, chicken soup, spurred to action, fryers, layers, broilers, the Bird, foul language, chicken soup, chicken fingers, coming home to roost,

"But if the watchman sees the sword coming and dos not blow the trumpet to warn the people and the sword comes and takes the life of one of them, that man will be taken away because of his sin, but I will hold the watchman accountable for his blood." Ezekiel 33:6

Today it comes in the form of a dignified appearing executive in expensive business suit with someber reassurance and sometimes a smile indicating that someone else knoww what's best for us, and we better comply... or else

Many of the public , sensing that they received something less than an honest judgement, feel trust once again has been betrayed. .. hasve our fostering/engendering greater hostile public opinion instead of resolution.

Problem is that most do not recognize just how big a travesty has occurred. Consequences will be short in coming and long in impact.

Few of us wre around during the depression to experience the drastic affects of the socialist programs of the banking crisis. This provises opportunity to see perfidity of government in action

for those who have eyes to see. Eventually, the reality will fail to be hidden by the petty rationalizations of our “leaders” and the crumbling foundations will be for all to see. Denial is a wonderful thing

Evidently any lame excuse will serve the public. Proof almost any lame excuse will do from the absurd to the sublime:

And you will learn some interesting things about the paramilitary security guards serving as employees of private corporations known as the FBI and BATF which are not legitimate elements of Constitutional government and have no jurisdiction in the states unless directly given by the governor of that state. and the true nature of . You people disgust me with your ignorance.

Warfare begins with language, when two groups speak different languages with different meanings it must be for the purpose of changing ideology. Patriotism vs socialist global NWO for example. On the latter side truth/language bent to serve ends, where meanings change to fit political convenience. Those who pervert language/truth propose ideological systems which are obsessed with converting world in their image by any means.

Some maintain we cannot get by in new world with respect to old out-moded “traditional” values and meanings. Change is indeed inevitable. But fundamental truths are just that... fundamental... just as valid today as ever. No new reality to discover.

People literally fear to understand what is going on, developing mindset of intentional ignorance borne of fear.

END

“A righteous man who falters before the wicked is like a murky spring and a polluted well.”
Proverbs 25:26 (Look up)

“Wisdom is better than weapons of war: but one sinner destroyeth much good.”
Ecclesiastes 9:18.

“The sad truth is that words have consequences, as any propagandist knows. Far from being mere ornaments of thought, they have the power over time to change general opinions, obscure great truths, and in due course to benumb the mind that a skillful propagandist can truly persuade people that “two plus two sometimes equals five.” The New American, Vol. 14, No. 21, October 12, 1998, pg. 32 “Two Revolutions”

Semper Fi, short for Semper Fidelis, is Latin for “always faithful”. It is the motto of the U.S. Marine Corps. It reflects Marines loyalty to country and the Corps. The tradition of each service Marines carry their traditions as much a part of them as their weapons and just as important.

“When I use a word,” Humpty Dumpty said in rather a scornful tone, “it means just what I choose it to mean - neither more nor less.”

“The question is”, said Alice, “whether you *can* make words mean so many different things.” “The question is,” said Humpty Dumpty, “which is to be master, that’s all.” from Alice In Wonderland, Through The Looking Glass, Chapter 6, by Lewis Carroll.

Chapter 2: The Sekret Mission

It wasn’t so much the way he said it, but the sense of conviction and fatality in his voice that caused feathers in the coop to rise with excitement and apprehension.

“We decided the only way to break into the secret base was a full scale frontal assault!”, clucked Sarge, the plucky Barred Rock.

“So there we was, the whole company of us Chicken Milita, armed to the beak, in full camouflage, just outside the base perimeter and ready to storm the gate at first light,” continued Sarge.

“We all knew it was gonna be a real rotisserie of a battle; at least, that is until Dawn came up”, continued Pvt. Hawker. “She jus’ up an’ said since it was a sekret base we oughta just send in a sekret agent.”

“We decided she was just a few kernals short of an ear”

“So Dawn and me put on these jackets that said Experimental Sekret Bird #1 and 2. Just after dawn, Dawn and me just strolled up to that gate and the Guard let us walk in pretty as you please!”

“Got ‘em! Didja, didja?...” clammered the bounding Turkeys as the tired column of Chicken militia trudged back to the farmyard. Sensing successful confrontation in the jerky stride of the Flock.

“Steady down, Birds”, have a little respect for the Birds of the Field”, said Bart stepping out of line to approach the gathering excited Flock.

“That there Internet is a sure thing ain’t it, obserreved a fat Turkey. Found all them armored cars, big guns and huge army buildin’ up in them woods did ya Boys? just like Internet said.

“Not exactly,” replied Bart, “We found an old Fox in a wheelchair, and Just beat all, locked they’selves in a pen every night and most all day.

Heck, they’s already surrounded by Chickens! Does the Fox thing at night and hides during the night.

Attack, Hell, how could we punish ‘em more than to jsut quit feedin’ em.

Fences ain’t so much as to keep us out, but to keep them in! Stupid and ignorant.

Chapter 3: The Enemy

The enemy is first us, apathy, stuidity, ignornance.

BARNYARD FABLES #32:

Making Bail

“Awww cluck, cluck.... awww cluck, cluck,” Freddie knew he was a gonner.

Tired of the incessant moaning, even the Foxes had left

Floating tightly trussed inside the big, black kettle, Freddie had lots of time to think. Too much in fact; the water was barely warm yet but the air was getting steamy inside the close thicket.

The trial had not gone well. To be sure, Judge Fox had cited all the rules and regulations and made sure he had a Foxy court-appointed lawyer who knew the rules so well he didn't even need a book.

Freddie was proud of himself for demanding a jury trial. And to be certain, Judge Fox said he would jury-rig the trial and he sure did.

Sentenced to dinner

“Pssst, pssst,” Freddie shuddered thinking he heard the first sound of a slow boil.

“Pssst, pssst”, Freddie looking up shocked to see six beady eyes staring at him through the steam rising at the rim of the kettle.

“Shut up, Freddie” croaked one, ““We're here to bail ya out”

“OK, Birds, hand them cups up and get to bailin’

An’ the steam rose as Cups went in and the fire and Freddie were soon out.

The dark Birds quickly stuffed Freddie's beak and doused him with the black ashes

A dark quarter mile from the thicket Freddie was untrussed and

Sobbing Freddie overcome with relief.

Hoarding, Conspiring to keep food from deserving Foxes. Aiding in domestic terrorism for

This'll make em wonder for a while, not
Told him if they kept attacking the Chicken middle class;

Judge Fox howled and said
There'll always be plenty of Birds/ victims until they decide to fight back...

Did tens of thousands of our forefathers fight and die in so many wars defending our God-given rights and freedoms just so the monied internationalists could profit by our sloth?

“I think also that general virtue is more probably to be expected and obtained from the education of youth than from the exhortation of adult persons; bad habits and vices of the mind being like diseases of the body, more easily prevented than cured.” Benjamin Franklin, 1750

Uncanny coincidence of pending liberal gun control laws.
Is there a method to this madness. Escalating violence and propaganda and legislation with equally poor performance >> attack symptom not the problem internationally.

Welfare state, parents can't even discipline children

Whole purpose of government is secure liberty for citizens.!

Bill Clinton school of ethics

Bill argues on public TV that children must learn to settle their differences and resolve conflict by dialogue, as he bombs hell out of a sovereign country.

Ahhh, Washington, where political corruption has become a polished art form. Where the law and oaths are sworn to give us a republic they promise us a democracy, where political promises are whispers in the wind, and words take on new meanings overnight. Expert in telling the public that they can have everything for free, at no cost to anyone, skilled in convincing others that the plain language of the Constitution that they have sworn to uphold to the letter, doesn't actually what it says. Remain “adepts” at interpreting language, forgetting promises and ignoring tomorrow for exigencies of today. Convince others that satisfying the greed of today will be of no consequence to the events of tomorrow.

The reason we are telling you these things and spicing them up with quotes from historical figures who can reflect great wisdom is that we can't do so as well as those who went before us. We understand that we are not talking to the willfully ignorant masses. But we need a way to figuratively shake those with intelligent minds that work and eyes that can see of the inevitable consequences of subversion by government you by the shoulders. We are telling you wake up! To tell you that you are losing your country and your freedoms. We are not smart enough to talk as well as those that went before us.

In the scheme of things someone with keen mental faculties, a sound knowledge of the concepts of natural rights and steeped in the concept of personal and national liberty is far more dangerous than one simply armed without purpose. An individual with ideals and the ability and willingness to share these with others is a formidable opponent to encroaching tyranny on a dumbed down nation.

Just because the militia, the whole of the armed citizenry, exists is it inherently dangerous. Of course not. Is a loud man? Hardly ever. Is an angry man? Perhaps, but generally only to the object of the anger and unfocused by irrationality.

Can any man be considered “dangerous”, yes. Those without principle and those with it. Those poorly principled may be mean and floundering. The first for what they may do to others with no

remorse to personal aggrandizement. The second, the principled, for knowing the first and the difference.

Do you know the difference? Understand the principles?

ort patrol of ragged Birds. Ralph and the Anaconda confronted a ragged Bird bellied up against the fence where it crossed the gully.

Got suspicious when they started lacin' the water with barbecue sauce

The Plan to send Ozzie and Clark across the Great Divide was long in the making. Several minutes of intense concentrated thought. Soliciting the help of Cluckojaweewa, wild Bird from a neighboring tribe as guide. and ample supply of pinto beans

Long range reconaissance. Began with ride on hay wagon to

Clunk? Walked into spitoon.

Line of old chickens, bent with age, carrying tattered packs. Long range patrol, sent in 1956 to take the long way around, did not cross the road, walked around.

Chickens in close order drill;
fox free zone
no fly zone

Lacing water with barbecue sauce is

The Mad Hatter is lost in Wonderland or the magic crumbling Predicency
Orchestrated to a climax, good ol Bill being led by the nose to ort of politially managed care system; a victim of his own system
Baseless immoral president, can be manipulated in any direction by controllers

Bill certainly has his "terrors" to give him cold comfort at night now.

chicago federal reserve: total debt private etc. 36 trillion

cheat, steal, murder, intimidate, whatever. They're still there. And until the governmetn adherest to its plege and obligation for republican government, this country is not out of the woods at all, but buck naked in the briar patch.

Regardless of outcome with Clinton gone any semblance of leaderhip, even gangsterism, is evaporated. Congress was without resolve to do their duty, the credibility of Gore is a joke, Gingrich the , there is no leadership. When a country flounders it declines, its weakness is perceived by the wolves and conflict often grows in the vacuum of power. Congress continues to abnegate is legitimacy with unconstitutional legislation and the public is growing ever more wary. The Bush dynasty, barely off the ground, will never survive the Republic of Texas, a blight. Governors anywhere have been towing the NWO line. So without the Predator in Chief, the biggest convincign liar, since Roosevelt, just who will bullshit their way to a power base. Not the republicans, NWO patsys almost all.

we understand at highest levels in congress, to sanction wet teams to dispense with "terrorists". Heads of state are off limits but the rest of us, like little sovereign sudans and afghanastans are fair game. The definitions of terrorist are so broad so as to include libraries and schools are sources for terrorist training. Actual terrorists include literally anyone against corporate government. The issue being opposiotion, the need to elimiate dissention at any level for the corporate leaderhip so tenuous, it will not stand inspection at any level the perfidity to complete and disgusting.

New book Jerome Ageo. Words That Make America Great

It follows that if this form of government is only good for a moral and righteous people as stated by.... then what is that which is morally bad cannot be politically right (Madame Chaing Kai Check). We conjecture that

Politics is a confidence game. If government does not convey confidence in its own status, it will not convey htis confidene and authority to the public. Can the US remain the only world "superpower" if its government is anything but? Of course not.

Congress dithers on. Leadership languishes, our reputatation and influcene flounder worldwide, as fast as its credibility decreases at home.

The US will default on its debt. The world knows it, most Americans don't. Just part of greater fool game, that goes with fiat money Monopoly. Most Americans also think the change to an Asian type economy will be painless. It won't for us any more than for them. Economic judgement day has arrived in Russia. Its plainly coming in other nations. All the evidence indicates it's also coming to the US.

Maybe we ought to take a closer look at preparedness and leadership and related stuff.

Buck Naked In The Briar Patch

We've lost the agility of Br'er Rabbit and are remarkably long on politicians and opportunists and decidedly short on competent and loyal national leaders and statesmen. The acronym

Jeremy,

Just got the latest CFP. Good work, i enjoy reading it. Thanks for the bit about y2k. I amworking on a y2k piece for the CFP's next issue. When do you need it by? Just a couple of things i want to retouch on. I still need the names of those people in charlotte interested in forming a unit. Are we still on for the gun show? Drop me a line when you get the chance. Take care.

Nicholas Vrettos
cvrettos@littlearch.com
nicholasvrettos@hotmail.com
704.561.4664 (W)

Date: Sat, 1 Aug 1998 23:55:42 EDT

To: davisj@primeline.com, Undisclosed.Recipients@primeline.com

To all:

Here is the latest issue of the Carolina Free Press. I regret it is a little late but I have been on somewhat of a vacation. If you have sent me correspondence I will be getting back to you shortly. >>

Greetings Jeremy,

I wanted to let you know that I recieved this message and that I appreciate you putting me on the mailing list for the CFP. I finally had time to download, print and read the entire message.

It is the sort of message that not only bears interesting news, stories, and pertinent info, it is quite entertaining as well. I particularly appreciate the candor, good advice, and insightful assessment of current events.

The writing style and obvious intelligence of the contributors give this reader confidence, joy, chuckles, and hope. The Carolina Free Press shows me that there is hope that there are enough people who really know what is going on and are able not only to prepare themselves for the future but others as well. Please continue to keep me on your mailing list for any and all news, advice and information.

I also wish to offer any assistance I may be able to provide from my end. I live in a small town about 30 miles SW of Chicago.

Thanks

May your foes be vanquished
and your endeavors successful.

Rick Simonson
Plainfield Illinois.
MOS19Z5H@aol.com

"The only thing standing between us and the total subjugation of the Republic is our resolve to keep and bear arms."

PL 94-132

Its All In Writing

In the land of the blind the one-eyed man is the king: Night vision equipment nothing happens the way you expect it; NWO needs conflict to effect change Preparation does not consist of a quick trip to the convenience store, ask Noah.

Freedom and liberty like salvation come from our creator, not the man made state. The time to prepare is when you still have some choices, and the potential to do so.

MILITIA LEADERSHIP

IN THE WIRE

++++
Where patriotism is a crime, opposition to collectivist proposals anti-government, advancement of statist state extremist, ... Ownership of property, presumed intent of crime defined by ownership of property guns, cash, material supplies, etc. subjective determination of guilt, transferred from juries based on implementation of thought, to "officer" of the state who imply intent and guilt based on property.
++++

BARNYARD FABLES #26: The Clandestine Mission

Remember that by the time you hear we may be under a declared state of martial law the soldiers and gendarmes will have already been moving/underway. You will have at worst hours, at best perhaps a few days if you live in a rural area to get wherever you may wish to go if you so intend.

=====
sheriffs highest elected authority in county. member of executive branch of government and not the judicial. is not an officer of the court

So if the militia and patriot movement is essentially harmless as some defenders fo the omnipotent socialist state contend why then... Represents growing awariness of the masses to stark appearance of socilaism and what it stands for in their midst. Or a vague comprehension of something not quite right in Mudsville? Probably the latter is more true.

It's not as if if you have done nothing wrong you have nothing to fear. If you do nothing you have everything to fear.

We don't liek to believe it either. Seems so unreasl with illusion of perverted normality on TV numerous talking herds of heads seemingly obliviousl to principles ideals, events occurreing in world and us. Question whether we are nuts, but so much evidence to be coincidence and without signiifvace. overwhelming conviction of pending events. recall words of Mein Kampf, UN and Presiden bBush, promising just what they delviered, nad no one taking them seriously.

NOTES:

weapons are tools by which we preserve our culture, heritage and physical bodies

Sebright chicken: bantam gold and silver varieties
Can take our lives but cannot kill truth
disolution of America by fire and storms through competiotion of ethnic groups or degeneracy as South Africa

question is whether test is whether constitution and American ideals can be the glue that binds us together to a sense of cohesive identity sufficient for us to overcome jealousy

guns represent freedom

Morality is their poison, standards to rules of conduct
got to\

speculate but never had president elected using funds from communist chinese

slave mentality alive in well in those who proclaim they will defend their rights
but let it disturb their tv their indignation is profound but otherwise swallowed up by slave
mentality.

just as government can do to one individual it can do to everyone else

leadership

you may owe your taxes and part of your livelihood to your government in recognition of their civil
authority but you do not owe them your liberty

Attack our spirit our strength is our spirit

Fear and intimidation guile and deception are tools of attack

They attack our very foundations = attack our faith, heritage, history, our very foundations of the
things that make us America our ideals and beliefs

Chittum postulated the dissolution of American by fire and sword will come either by fire and storm
by ethnic groups or degeneracy like South Africa. the test is therefore whether the constitution and
American ideals can be the glue that binds Americans of all cultures into a people and overcome
cultural bias: binding by ideal and law; to create sense of cohesion and identity sufficient to
overcome at least temporarily racial competition, jealousy, greed, etc.

Morality is poison to NWO

don't know what coming year to bring; never had president elected to office with Communist
Chinese funds

Public Law 313 admits UN is unconstitutional un charter

treaties used to destroy the law of land

**Art 1, Section 1 all legislative powers granted herein shall be vested in a congress of the
United States which shall consist of a house of representatives and a senate. the house has
absolutely no authority to deal with treaties, so how can a treaty be used to lawfully change
the laws of the land if all legislative powers are vested in a congress consisting of two bodies.
so senate alone who certifies treaties cannot change law of land because house has no authority
to act legislatively and therefore there can be NO LEGISLATIVE CHANGE**

**It can't be done, but it is done and is an example where congress clearly exceeds the
boundaries of its constitution**

13th amendment protects us from involuntary servitude, yet government continues to give our money without our permission to bail out other governments and pay for those who cannot work work

Government deliberately **continues to be deliberately, systematically and prevasively and unconsitutionally mismanaged to detriment of citizens and benefit of industrial/government beneficiaries/complex**

government agents sold out to a system

states hold ultimately responsibility for control of central government

government has no legitimate authority to take from some to give to others

Can't address symptoms but must deal with cause in rectifying government.

We're glad you care guys. But we sure wish you knew what to care about and had a better notion of preparedness besides an extra pack of Red Man.

Little Armies; Big Armies:

As the federal government continues to fund and equip their little private armies throughout the US, turning every little sheriff's department into a little army, citizens increasingly and justifiably suspicious of their motives, and with no allusions regarding their proficiency compared to professional soldiers, are continuing to take notice. Their disapproval of the willing socialist takeover

Clinton in early December made public in early December presidential directive which stated we would do nuclear retaliation if attacked by chemical or biological attack.

Hear reports that many police and sheriff departments are receiving disproportionate amounts of arms and ammunition. Again prepositioning. While we are not privy to comprehensive intelligence, still we are aware that local agencies are equipped almost as good as the citizens militia and we look forward to working together in the future.?

conceding the retreat from MAD reduction in nuclear missiles, playing the game. retreating from the "protracted nuclear war" (six months?) perspective. looking to negotiate START 3 treaty when only US committed to START 2, Russia didn't.

New policy reportedly focuses on deterrence and not on fighting a nuclear war. why time to change from "protracted doctrine"? Russia might not be persuaded to adopt START 2 unless a final disarmament, START 3 were in progress. Continuance of strategic reductions to convince Russians we were "serious" about reducing our ability to respond. Set new levels at 2000-2500 range for numbers of missiles yet we could maintain "strategic deterrence"

new doctrine mission deployment in that we make no pretext there will be attempt at developing survival intent. robust force that can survive first strike across missiles, bombers, and subs (nuclear triad of deterrence) to convince any opponent nuclear strike would be futile?

rhetoric does not change operational changes on ground? we still get to keep each of us thousands of weapons. taking a more "Forceful" stand? predicated on decline of soviet strategic arsenal and capability were already two years ahead of treaty in disarmament

Why would he publish/take such a position unless there was a viable threat he was trying to circumvent. Perhaps he has realized that we have come too far ??
concern to avoid danger of nuclear war

The militia fairly benign made up of concerned non-violent middle americans; hair bristle on back of neck with talk of disarmament. but create the monster by poking, proding, villifying and displaying the character of a tyrant, bully, and you create the pit bull that once gets a grasp of the idea realizes its a fight to the death on any terms you choose, defensive yes, but defense with full intention of winning.

Suggests boty Republicna and Democrats akin to road kill, on the highway to responding or being able to identify or represent middle america, so vested they are in corporate and political interests.

Gives advcie to grassroots movements contemplating enlisting larger core of active and passive supporters to counter liberal agenda

While the strength may not be in proposeing viable solutions, specifics probably best left to Middle American Radicals, the problems are perhaps nowhere better defined in context of political and enomic perspectives.

at heart of system is a vacuum with no legitimate power or cultural base.... a vacuum waiting to be filled by Middle American Radicals? those who share common frustration with mega-state

sheep don't fight back, they wait for others to fight for them, otherwise willing to be sheared

We might speculate from his writings that patriot movement perhaps provides that alternative counter-idealogy necessaryily to counter socialist ideology so prevalent in society

Ongoing commentary on decline in Americanism the principles and social and governmental environmetn which made america great...giving way to an engorged leviathan of government bureaucracy

Gummint gonna be your nanny, your momma, your daddy, your keeper, your guardian, and your death if you stray outta line

Sweeping IRS reforms; everybodys GUILTY, we can come get you when we want

More laws more promises, more

**We're gonna feed your greed
Fill every need**

**Tax you till you bleed
Regulate every seed
Patronize every cause
Lie like a weed
Hype every
Require you to volunteer**

Ducks lured to pond; duck blinds
Govt agency; foxes to get in their "blinder"

Moral: Presumptions can get you killed?

What happens when chickens come home to roost

subtle or even brazen attempts to discredit, malign or curtail the opinions expressed in these pages will be thoroughly scoffed.

NAFTA AND GATT DISASSEMBLING AMERICA AND SHIPPING IT TO OTHER COUNTRIES AND NOBODY NOTICES; factories gone only sales offices left
FINDING THE LIGHT

Inquiring Minds In Search Mode

NightMares, Roosevelt dreams, a governmetn chicken in every pot.
Horses of fowl apocolypse

Dont' go Puckey, know you idealistic fellas feel Foxes basically misunderstood, least ways bring a few of the militia boys along

Dreamed I was arguing with a Fox about making Chicken stew. Delegation sent to reason with Foxes. Negotiations for more regulations; assuming Foxes basically good guys willing to appeals to reason.

Ransom focused his binocular eyes on the distant trail; waiting for negotiating party

All you chickens want to do is talk

Problem is they don't recognize the enemy
Hearing words but don't understand meaning

Don't understand; always willing to negotiatie about what goint to have for dinner; not whether they're going to have it

Can we stop it? I mean kekeping them from eating us out of house and home? Sure theyres fewer of them than us. We gotta start negotiating in the only language they understand.

What are you gonna do about it ? Can't fix broken idiot
Pack some equipment
Them Foxes is comin into town tonight for dinner

"War is not violence and killing, pur and simple; war is controlled violence, for a purpose. The purpose is never to kill the enemy just to be killing him... but to make him do what you want him to do. Not killing... but controlled and purposeful violence." Robert A. Heinlein

There are moments in time when we realize that nothing will remain the same from that moment on, nothing will be like it was.

Some writers believe there is no chance of the US of A of avoiding tyranny as we continue to polish our goose step in fascist cadence into history. Attributed to fractionalization so severe no unified conceptual, moral or fundamental basis for consensus on problem and solution. Maybe we all ought to go home, except for that human spirit to look for opportunity; needs our participation to succeed which should be denied.

Quotations so abundant from notable people in history abound which illustrate the evils of social machinations and the abuses of excessive government, sovereignty of individuals. Illustrate lessons of history abundantly clear the direction and outcome of . We marvel that history is not fixed, but has inescapable trends, actions have consequences.

We'll see together whether the NWO can hold on to that tail. Which is another way of saying that we appear to be rapidly approaching a "critical mass" where targeted classes of citizens with key political beliefs in the sanctity of the republic are getting more than somewhat irritated.

So history doesn't hold much optimism for resurgence of republican ideals, supports supposition of dictatorship, not that the NWO as inevitable. Lest we succumb to melancholy futile not part of our spirit. indeed NWO motto should be that of the Borg: "resistance is futile" not order out of chaos. There is no order from chaos unless brought by morality, only more disorder/discord and friction between the governed and would-be governors who can't govern but must continue to rule through discord.

Doesn't mean need to bunker down, retreat from society, but to remain apart from foibles of society while in it. The partisan remains part but apart from the mass.

Will Joe Sixpack's realization of betrayal result in meaningless panic, and go flailing down the drain of history blindly goose-stepping to the drum beat of executive decree to oblivion. Or will Joe remember how to swim.

But, what really bothers us The world is full of idiots and loafers and probably always will be. But why do so many of them have to be politicians?

If you ask your congressperson if the Constitution still applies they will assure you it has not been abolished. they won't tell you it has been effectively overridden by executive decree. Ask why Congress never overrides unconstitutional Executive Orders or proclamations superceded annulled. Of course, they'll also tell you the Constitution provides for a democracy.

. who empower this government retain the ability and the will to stand up and defend it recognizing the power .

Without God there can be no unalienable rights. Once removed, citizens once again become subject to the arbitrary standards of Now you understand

With belief in “higher authority” there can be little room for negotiation with those concepts of government like the United Nations which sets man as God, secular humanism.

Events did not foster reconciliation. The political conflict matured into military conflict as the arrogant and intransigent monarchy, no doubt recognizing it could not co-exist with subjects who were not with a philosophy which recognized fundamental individual freedom in which the people were not subject in all things to the king.

ce inherent in a monarchy, where “rights” were subject to the whim of to the whims the subjective monarchy (“divine right of kings”) recognized that it could not co-exist with a people who demanded obedience to a “higher authority” as Biblical law demanded and recognition of .

Ministers as well as founders BELIEVED what they preached and had the courage and conviction to live those beliefs. Stands in contrast to many today who would rather die, or at least compromise, than live their

But as the Founding Fathers may have realized Revelations 13:10 establishes lawful response to tyrants: “He that leadeth into captivity shall go into captivity: he that killeth with the sword must be killed with the sword.“ . Duty of all Christians to resist evil

and that Revelations 21:8 reserves a special place for fearful who we can likely presume include those that ignore God's law and responsibilities..... those who head the list of those who will fail to make it into the kingdom of Heaven leading the list are Cowards and fearful

Resist evil does not advocate taking up arms and anarchy. Resistance must be lawful and in response to unlawfulness. Charged with bringing lawful order under God's laws and those civil laws made thereunto.

Just like 1776 and 1996.

Believed civil government was ordained and established by God, but that the civil government so established had only limited authority. That is, limited to enforcement of law insofar Constitution envisioned federal government with only powers delegated to it by the people, through the constitution (powers derived from consent of the governed). Further that even the limited authority granted to that government was given only conditionally. The people themselves, the grantors, reserved the right to terminate their contract if those to whom the authority was delegated violated the laws of God and nature by suppressing the basic liberties of the people.

To police we might observe that as representatives of the magistrates must enforce laws lawfully above all nothing contradicts God's laws or will face judgement for it.

1 Timothy 1:8-10 “But we know that the law is good, if a man use it lawfully; Knowing this, that the law is not made for a righteous man, but for the lawless and disobedient, for the ungodly and for sinners, for unholy and profane, for murderers of fathers and murderers of mothers, for manslayers, for whoremongers, for them that define themselves with mankind, for manslayers, for whoeremongers, for them that define themselves with mankind, for mensealers, forliars, for the perjured persons, and if thee be any other thing that is contrary to sound doctrine...”

True authority lies with those who use the law lawfully to punish evil doers in accordance . Law not made for righteous person.

Further, the Declaration observes the right, even the duty, to resist unlawful authority. This then is the antithesis of Romans 13, which mandates obedience to lawful government and conversely by defining the responsibility of lawful government mandates that Christians must resist unlawful government when it runs contrary to God’s law.

God charged use of sword for proper lawful enforcement of laws, proper tool of government. Live by sword shall die by sword meant to apply to those who lived by plunder and violence literally unlawful measures. (Like mercenaries, Hessians?) Person defending self is not living by sword but use of sword in lawful defense.

We have stopped short of defining when the lawful call to arms occurs, If just and lawful recourse to arms is justified we will know, we will know. Law will Those who honor the “higher powers” must also obey those civil authorities duty to obey in all righteous things. for all are subject to “higher powers”.

Premise of many Christians is that since all authority is from God and must be obeyed must first understand how Bible defines authority. Means that authority is borne of or derived from God

Founders believed there was no escape from the tyranny before them. They petitioned time after time for redress of grievances to the king only to be ignored or spurned.

Gods authority protects the life of the righteous and those who do good works.

Fearful men, seeking to avoid responsibility tendency to cling to interpretations that will require nothing of them .

Luke 11:46..52 “Woe to you also, ye lawyers for ye lade men with burdens grievous to be borne, and ye yourselves touch not the burdens with one of your fingers.” “Woe unto you, lawyers! for ye have taken away the key of knowledge: ye entered not in yourselves, and them that were entering in ye hindered.”

Those who devise evil by law or to condemn righteous people and activities are an abomination to God.

Commandment violated thou shalt not steal.

Puritans believed that power had a corrupting influence and could be used to oppress others. Therefore

Thou shalt not steal, conversely conveyed biblical right to private property

Thou shalt not murder, with other scripture, dictated that all men have a right to life

Constitution contains no specific reference to God, Founders fearful of wording which would mandate a state church and the need to avoid religious references which could have caused division and strife between varying protestant churches

According to Eidsmoe (1987) the Declaration is “a statement of the basic American values or principles: equality, God-given rights. The Constitution is the means by which these rights are to be secured...”

Declaration of Independence refers to “Laws of Nature and Nature’s God.”, and observes that “All men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among those are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.” This recognition reflects in the universal belief of that time that man was subject to a higher [God’s] law and that civil government and the laws implemented by that government should conform to that higher law.

“Now the Lord is that Spirit: and where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is liberty”. 2 Cor. 3:17

Eidsmoe reflects that God’s commandment “Thou shalt not murder” (Exodus 20:13) confers a right to life; the admonition not to kidnap or enslave others confers a right to liberty (Deuteronomy 24:7) and the commandment “Thou shalt not steal (Exodus 20:15) establishes a right to property.

Our constitutional government was established in part to secure these rights, and to “Secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity.”

IN adopting the Constitution the Founders recognized that these and other enumerated and non-enumerated rights are not granted by Government, nor can Government deprive their citizens of them. More specifically it is the primary duty and responsibility of government to secure these “unalienable” rights. God’s established government was intended to be one of integrity, honesty, honest weights and measures, is this what we have today?

Having established the lawful authority and responsibility of government consistent with biblical laws, the Founders clearly defined the limits to lawful government to which all citizens must be obedient. It is a government of laws not of men, a higher authority.

“Resistance to tyranny is obedience to God”

Emphasis on pacifism those have poor knowledge of Bible and responsibilities imposed on Christians. Provides, like “rapture” philosophy

Again, an address made in London by John Fletcher

All authority given to me in heaven and on earth. Jesus

We are to go forth in God’s authority, backed by holy spirit, to destroy works of evil. Allows them to avoid responsibility and allows them to ignore demands of faith, afraid to put their IRA’s at risk. Put temporal priorities over God’s. They will not concede to Jesus’ authority on earth.

here “rights” become no more than subjective privileges.

all “rights” are subjective and , administered at the whim of and base nature of man.

These “unalienable” standards stand in stark contrast to secular governments where freedom is apportioned based on political favoritism.

“Resistance to tyranny is obedience to God.”

and replace our form of government with a purely secular totalitarian socialist form of governance under the United Nations. And why it is so essential there is so much emphasis to substitute civil “rights” for unalienable rights and to remove all references to God from our daily lives.

easy to trade freedom for promise of security in a man-made welfare state than

To undermine the Constitution and the fabric of America, the globalists objective to remove God from our daily lives and substitute civil “rights” for unalienable, God-given rights is essential.

DEFINING “RIGHTS”

Taking Things For Granted

They included specific natural or "Biblical" rights retained by the people over which the federal government would have absolutely no authority to regulate. Liberties, including the rights of property, assembly, security, assembly and arms, were considered by the Founders of the Republic the gift of God. and recognized by the Constitution. It is the PRIMAERY DUTY of government to ensure that these freedoms are never compromised.

The general public does not simply lack of understanding of the Constitution in general, but most importantly its central principle, i.e., limited government. Most consider government to limitations of government to consist of trimming bureaucratic staffs, miniscule reductions in the number of regulations, limiting porkbarrel projects, or whatever promises and constraints government may pledge to place limits on legalized thievery.

For anyone with the ability to read, the case is clear: undefined powers and authorities belong to the States or the people themselves. The presumptive power grab is a clear usurpation of states rights and a direct usurpation of the 10th Amendment.

The unconstitutional grab for total federal control continues with . Now who might you think would define federalism, the cat or the canaries?

Fears of anti-federalists

“One great object of the Executive is to control the Legislature. The Legislature will continually seek to aggrandize the perpetuate themselves; and will seize those critical moments produced by war, invasion or convulsion for that purpose.... Wealth tends to corrupt the mind and to nourish its love of power, and to stimulate it to oppression. History proves this to be the spirit of the opulent.” The National Executive, July 17, 19, The Federal Convention of 1787, Governor Morris.

“But we are told that we need not fear, because those in power being our Representatives, will not abuse the powers we put in their hands: I am not well versed in history, but I will submit to our recollection, whether liberty has been destroyed most

you will find the balance on the side of tyranny.” Patrick Henry, June 5, 1788, on the Ratification Of The Constitution.