

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS

Spring, 2001 Volume VII Issue 2

Electronic Version

<=====➔

MILITIA PERSPECTIVES

Raping Conservatism
Letting Down The Gates
Corporate Christian At Work
In The Big League
Meanwhile, In The Minor League
We Didn't Start The Fire
Million Mom March?
Don't Look Now, But...
Stringing Up McVeigh:
Giving The Military A *Bush* Boost

SHAPES OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER

Glimpses of Future Utopia
The Growing Police State
Visions of The New World Order
Curbing Dissent In The European Union

MARTIAL LAW & THE DEATH OF JUSTICE

Pulling Liberty Teeth

COMMUNITARIANISM & THE THIRD WAY

Socialism In Two Flavors

CHURCH SURRENDER IN INDIANA

Indiana Baptist Temple

BARNYARD FABLES #44

Signing Up

BOOK REVIEW

Masters of War

GEORGE WASHINGTON

To American Troops

IN THE WIRE

Invasion of Privacy
Militia Radio
High School Shootings
A Law Unto Itself
Desperation of Statutory Politics

READERS COMMENTS

ANNOUNCEMENTS

“From the institution of government, I may argue thus, that power and government which is not of God, may be resisted. The tyrant’s power and government in breaking charters, overturning laws, subverting religion, oppressing subjects, is not of God, therefore it may be resisted.” From an essay, *Defensive Arms Vindicated*, by Stephen Case, 1783.

MILITIA PERSPECTIVES

Raping Conservatism:

The rain was beating down hard on the tin roof of the CFP headquarters so our last pre-publication staff meeting consisted of little more than double-teaming our library of radical, right-wing magazines. There we were, trying to sort through our back issues of *The Independent Grit Gazette*, *Amazing Police Stories*, *Cheaper Than Dirt*, *National Rifleman*, *Foreign Affairs*, *Right Wing Digest* and the *George Washington Fan Club Newsletter* among other radical publications trying to collect our thoughts and reach some consensus on Bush’s first 100 days.

One hundred days ago more or less Bush rode the emotional crest of a conservative wave to office. He was going to save America from the extreme liberalism of the Clinton administration. He was expected to reverse many of Clinton’s NWO policies, review and rescind unconstitutional executive orders, and generally give renewed life to bedrock American conservative values.

As we feared and expected Bush is doing nothing more than continuing the rape of America from the White House. Only in this administration they are a little more subtle and polished, focusing less on the rape of individuals and more on America and Americans in general. What we have is nothing more than the same NWO league, different team.

As realists it really isn’t necessary to look far to find evidence that Bush Jr., like his father before him, remains firmly entrenched as head of the US corporate New World Order camp. With little exception, the country under his administration will apparently remain steady at the helm toward global governance. To help with this effort we have a new crisis and a new policy agenda... energy. Unfortunately, our new national energy policy is not so much based on gas and oil, but Washington methane. Methane is a deadly gas which displaces oxygen, impairs mental capacity, and numbs the senses to it’s hazards before it kills. Let’s sample the gas.

Letting Down The Gates:

Columnist Glenn Spencer in writing for *American Patrol* on February 4th quotes Secretary of State Colin Powell [speaking of Mexico]: *“Our common border is no longer a line that divides us, but a region that unites our nations, reflecting our common aspirations, values and culture.”* Taken at face value the statement is a terrifying but true reflection of national policy. Uncontrolled in-migration of illegal aliens is one of the surest means of destabilizing a nation, and in the finest tradition of Sun Tsu, a means to subdue an enemy from within.

Colin’s words herald the common goals of all socialist NWO governments. They aspire to create universal serfdom, their values are based on confiscation of wealth and power, and their culture is based on corruption, lies and deceit.

Corporate Christian At Work:

So seeped in Christian values is Justice Department head Attorney General John Ashcroft that he supposedly had difficulty becoming appointed. But Ashcroft apparently had no trouble overcoming his Christian scruples and his sense of justice to order the confiscation of the Indiana Baptist Temple. Conservatives have a debilitating tendency to overlook the disturbing acts of other professing conservatives. In this case Ashcroft's tendency to sing the praises of his predecessor, Janet Reno, a.k.a., the Butcher of Waco, and his commitment to expand her efforts to continue federalizing local police have not raised an eyebrow in the pseudo-conservative community.

Wonder Boy II is currently riding a wave of popularity, particularly among those in the 2nd Amendment camp, due to some recent correspondence in which he apparently reverses the Reno Justice Department position that the right to keep and bear arms is an individual right, not one solely reserved to the state militia. Easily forgotten in the excitement is that Ashcroft previously stated that he would support requirements for trigger locks, a ban on assault rifles and other "legal" [whatever the government decides] requirements.

We tend to forget that the issue is not whether the people have the individual and collective right to bear arms. Arguments to the contrary are blatantly specious and everyone knows it. The issue has always been to what degree government can infringe that right without sparking outright rebellion. We would like to believe otherwise but experience has shown that Ashcroft is a team player. For being a constitutionalist and pro-second amendment supporter he sure has a funny way of projecting his understanding of "shall not be infringed".

If more examples are necessary consider that Ashcroft quickly got up to speed as a team player by busily working out plea bargain for billionaire James Riady who funneled thousands of dollars of Chinese funds to finance Bill Clinton's campaigns. The plea bargain effectively put an end to any investigation into massive Chinese contributions to the Clinton's or the Democratic National Committee.

World Affairs Brief of May 25, 2001 reports that "the FBI – also under Ashcroft's control – spent \$8 million dollars this fiscal year buying dossiers on all citizens from Choicepoint (a former division of the giant credit report firm Equifax). The FBI has no legal mandate to track normal citizens who are not guilty of criminal activities. But that doesn't seem to matter anymore, even in the Ashcroft Justice Department." [Joel Skousen's World Affairs Brief, www.joelskousen.com].

Somehow Christian values just don't seem what they used to be.

In The Big League:

The UN plans an international conference beginning on June 9th in New York dedicated to establishing global standards for gun control. The agenda is to encourage, or compel if possible, international "control" on the manufacture, sale and distribution (registration and confiscation) of firearms. Since the only remaining relatively free nation in the world is the United States we might well presume the location of the meeting has something to do with the "problem" of American firearm ownership.

That said, is it not predictable to assume that even our reluctant pro-gun conservative leadership will be encouraged to respond to the predictable global mandate by supporting an international treaty on firearms? Who doubts most will be compelled to compromise on the gun control issue in the interest of global cooperation... especially since it is already mandated by US law? Stay tuned, and fasten your seat belts.

Meanwhile, In The Minor Leagues:

Mr. Bush, the staunch constitutionalist, is out stomping for "sweeping reform" for his federal version of education reform. Unfortunately he offers nothing more than a federal version of "outcome based education". His plan calls for both increased cost and increased federal control over education. It includes a politically correct curriculum and standardized program of national testing. To observe that there are strings attached is an understatement; and it's more than just rope, it's a noose for our children's minds.

If quality education has been the goal, government has clearly failed as an administrator of education in America. If bad government is the goal, then a system intended to deliver poor education is essential. As long as education remains under the monopoly of *government* controlled schools, an authority not granted to the federal government under the Constitution, and not locally financed and administered, they will remain and continue to deteriorate in quality.

Our Republican pro-gun Wonder Boy wants to ban high capacity clips under his "Project Safe" program. He also supports Senator Reed who wants desperately to close the "gun show loophole". And just what is this "loophole" the "pro-gun" president supports? Senate Bill 890, the McCain-Lieberman Bill, otherwise known as the Gun Show Loophole Closing and Gun Law Enforcement Act of 2001, would essentially shut down every gun show in the country.

Among other requirements, under the proposed "bipartisan" bill, gun show promoters must be licensed, promoters must provide notification of pending events to federal agencies, register and pre-qualify each vendor, register each attendee as a condition of attendance, and prohibit all private gun transactions.

With all this "pro-gun" support it should be even more apparent that if we are to remain the Land of the Free we had better remain the Home of the Brave as well.

Meanwhile, back at the statehouse our representatives continue the game of plausible deniability, pretending that federal subversion isn't happening. As long as no one takes the sand out of their playpen they're happy to go along to get along.

We Didn't Start The Fire!

The national media, prompted by the ADL, has been reassuring the public that participation in the militia is declining as indicated by a perceived drop in the number of active militia groups throughout the nation. Propaganda we realize is the means to cause others to believe a lie using partial truth as the means. So, when we hear reports that militia membership is down 80% based on estimates of unit numbers we understand they are trying to convince the public that the motivation and justification for the resurgence of the militia is waning.

There is another implied message to the claim. By intimating the viability of the militia is weakening they send a message that resistance is futile, that there is no support for resistance

to tyranny, and that obedience to unlawful demands is necessary to avoid more serious “problems” and coercion.

Firemen as a general rule are not readily visible to the public. They can be found if one looks for them. But their value to society is that when really needed they seem to appear from almost nowhere. When they arrive, citizens are relieved to find them trained, equipped and prepared to do their job. When the job is complete, they go home and disappear from public view. That also describes the function, duty and responsibility of armed citizens as the militia.

The “noise” of an organizing militia may indeed be diminishing. Because they are not standing around on the street corner does not mean they aren’t there. The gears are just meshing a little more efficiently, the machine is becoming better oiled, there’s a little less thrashing around in the briar patch by people looking for the militia. From our perspective, from the inside out, we get quite a different perspective. The militia perhaps is coalescing, but definitely not shrinking.

The bonfire of liberty in our nation is not the anticipation of another Fourth of July, but our freedoms going up in flames. The militia didn’t start the fire. But, we might think of them as citizen-fireman responding to the flames of war being waged against the American people.

Million Mom March?:

Now if we really want to find committed liberals with a serious problem with mathematics (the product of government schools) we might look to the sponsors and participants of the Million Mom March. Townhall columnist [<http://townhall.com/columnists/michellemalkin>] Michelle Malkin observed in her column of May 16th that this years Million Mom March “fell about 999,200 bodies short this year.” Gun control extremism, observes Malkin, may be a “dying movement”.

We might observe that as long as the financial backers of the gun control movement are willing to throw bucks at any and all groupies short on intellect but long on cause there will be more liberal “extremists” than available funds. Lest we get too preoccupied with non-existent successes, the intent of the globalist cabal in and out of the administration remains absolutely committed to civilian disarmament. Neither pandering to politicians or appealing to reason will mitigate the planned political agenda. One batch of anti-liberty extremists may be waning but the “mother lode” remains untouched and committed to pursuing incrementalism as long as it suits their purpose and timing.

Don’t Look Now But...:

A recent article from Industrial Maintenance & Plant Operation magazine (Vol. 3, No. 2, 2/26/01) informs us that North Carolina now leads the United States in the loss of manufacturing jobs. Quoting Bureau of Labor Statistics, IMPO magazine notes that the state lost 27,800 jobs last year alone. For comparison, the number of jobs lost in-state was over 50% greater than the states of New York and Michigan, both which have a larger manufacturing base. Most jobs were lost to foreign competition in the textile industry closely followed by losses in electronics.

Our Chambers of Commerce continue to bellow the praises of NAFTA and GATT oblivious that a primary objective of the NWO is the elimination of the middle class and the realignment of society to a feudal model. That’s the problem with incrementalism, isn’t it? The goose being served for dinner will be eaten long before anyone realizes whose goose is being cooked.

Stringing Up McVeigh:

Since the government is trying to milk every drop of propaganda value from it we might as well throw in our two cents. It is still unclear just how involved McVeigh was with the bombing of the Murrah Building in Oklahoma City in April, 1995. McVeigh, we might presume, measures up pretty well as a government patsy or agent.

Most certainly the damage to the Murrah building was not the result of an ANFO explosion but a carefully planted but poorly executed multiple bombing. We also observe that the government made an unprecedented effort to demolish the building and securely bury the rubble in an undisguised effort to conceal evidence. McVeigh too has been effectively buried ever since the incident, but not as permanently as the building. Alive he remains a liability. We see his scheduled execution as simply formalizing the temporary internment.

But now comes the FBI, once again conciliatory and apologetic, having “found” thousands of pages of documents associated with the case but “lost” in the archives. Not a chance. It is much more probable that McVeigh suddenly remembered some special files or recordings he made during a moment of insecurity with his contacts/handlers. Or perhaps there are a few remaining agents left with conscience, or maybe people with knowledge are beginning to talk.

Such cat & mouse actions are entertaining but there is no doubt a purpose. Regardless of the outcome McVeigh was never a part of the constitutional militia and his alleged acts could only further the purposes of third column globalists rather than the militia. Whether McVeigh wins the game is of no consequence unless it furthers disclosure of the government cover-up and exposes the truth. No doubt there is something more going on behind the scenes than meets the eye. Ashcroft sure seems anxious to see “justice” done and the cover-up complete.

By the way, have you noticed that for a man who never speaks for himself before a camera he sure seems to have a lot to say?

Giving The Military A *Bush* Boost:

The US is in process of sending millions to Russia to “help” them destroy chemical weapons they pledged but somehow “forgot” to dismantle after the alleged end of the cold war. However, despite vociferous complaints and concern from the military there has been no promised increase in the Defense Department budget.

The Pentagon recently requested \$7 billion in emergency aid to shore up military readiness. However, despite campaign promises President Bush disappointed his conservative following and has elected to revisit military needs in view of a new strategic global paradigm. While some aid is promised for better housing and other benefits, Colin Powell sees no danger in the Chinese control of the Panama Canal or occupation of Caribbean or west coast ports, Russian war preparations, uncontrolled illegal immigration, etc. While Nero fiddles, Powell has requested that we remove the US cap of 25% for our UN military budget contribution.

It is no coincidence that Bush conservatism smells a lot like Clinton liberalism.

“...it was obvious that the ideological origins of the American Revolution had been rooted not merely in a general fear of power but specifically in the belief that liberty could not survive where corruptible men wielded the apparatus of a powerful national state.”
Richard Bailyn, *The Ideological Origins of The American Revolution*, 1992, p. 330.

SHAPES OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER

Glimpses of Future Utopia

The promise of a brave new world, a social utopia, has been the dream of tyrants throughout recorded history. Alexander the Great, Napoleon, Hitler, Stalin, and the United Nations, among others, have shared the dream of a perfect society, a society in a state of total subservience. Such a New World Order, as intended by our leadership, is one in which the infallibility of the social planners, the wisdom of the socialist intelligencia, and the dictatorship of the “privileged elite” combine in a government pledged to provide a future free of want or responsibility.

Our leaders have pledged us an orderly, structured world government created “out of chaos” by “consent or conquest”. If successful, it will be an order brought about by subversion, lies and deceit. Even before the Club of Rome first projected the decline and demise of modern civilization back in the 60’s, a never ending cycle of crises such as environmental crises, mostly fabricated and symptomatic of bad science and corrupt politics have been used to create stress, distract, misinform and misdirect citizen attention. Capitalizing on the politics of fear, terror, intimidation and force the sheep are being herded, gently and otherwise, into the socialist utopia.

Some road signs on the road to serfdom are informative to those who can read.

The Growing Police State:

The police state is not just coming, it’s here, and has been for quite some time. We chronicle the ongoing encroachment in so many ways and it’s so patently obvious it’s almost embarrassingly redundant to keep giving examples to demonstrate the point.

Now comes the recent Supreme Court decision *Atwater v. Lago Vista*, 99-1408, i.e. the case of Gail Atwater vs. the city of Lago Vista.

“The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.” Fourth Article in Amendment.

In short, the court recently ruled on a 1997 case in which a Texas woman, Gail Atwater, was arrested, handcuffed and temporarily jailed because neither she nor her two children were traveling without wearing seat belts. In losing her challenge the court ruled that warrantless arrests for misdemeanor violations do not violate the 4th Amendment protections against unreasonable search and seizure. The decision guarantees that in the future arrests for all types of misdemeanor crimes will become commonplace. Police power for arrest and abuse thereby becomes virtually unlimited. The door for citizen abuse has been opened wide to all forms of real or alleged victimless crimes. Simple allegations of jaywalking, littering, minor driving discrepancies, and any number of creative “violations” now become sufficient cause for arrest and incarceration. And along with this unlimited police power comes a virtually unlimited potential for political harassment and abuse. Wouldn’t it be just terrible if this were used as a means of restricting firearms ownership?

On a lesser scale but no less intrusive is the potential Fourth Amendment mischief associated with the recently enacted North Carolina legislation, HB 965, Control Foot & Mouth/Animal Disease Outbreaks. This bill empowers the state veterinarian, with the consent of the Department of Agriculture and Governor, to respond to an “imminent threat within the state of a contagious animal disease that has the potential for very serious and rapid spread...”. It calls on all state agencies and political subdivisions to cooperate in implementing the emergency measures developed to respond to such incidents.

Given the very viable threat of transmissible spongiform encephalopathy (TSE), another form of which is known as Mad Cow Disease (which is apparently transmissible to humans), hoof and mouth disease and other possible agents of biologic warfare, it would appear that such provisions were prudent and justifiable. That is, if the former disease did not have such a long period of latency and was not already documented in wildlife across large portions of the nation.

The troublesome part is Section 106-399.5 which empowers the state veterinarian *or an authorized representative* to “stop and inspect without a warrant any individual or any motor vehicle on a public or private road that is moving:

- (1) Into the state from any other country, to determine whether the individual or motor vehicle is carrying any animal or any article that is capable of introducing or spreading the animal disease.
- (2) In interstate commerce, upon probable cause to believe that the individual or motor vehicle is carrying any animal or any article that is capable of introducing or spreading the animal disease.
- (3) In intrastate commerce or from any premises or area quarantined under G.S. 106-401, upon probable cause to believe that the individual or motor vehicle is carrying any animal or any article that is capable of introducing or spreading the animal disease.”

The potential for mischief not only lies in the lack of definition of “article” which can range from allegedly “contaminated” shoes worn in farming areas, feed or pet stores, to ham sandwiches. Nor does it lie solely in the designation of “an authorized representative” who will likely be untrained and technically incapable of determining potentially “contaminated” materials and which might be anyone from the meter maid to the postmaster. The application of the law is clearly not confined to the inspection of animals or animal products but is so broad it may be extended to virtually all biologic material. The potential for political mischief therefore lies in the authorization for blatantly unconstitutional blanket *warrantless* searches, and presumably seizures, of property for any cause whatsoever since the “probable” in probable cause is virtually anything biological, even people.

This Supreme Court decision, along with the potential for political and police excess inherent in nebulous North Carolina legislation, just about terminates Fourth Amendment protections against search and seizure and essentially gives martial law authority to a politically controlled police organization making them, [who would ever think it could happen here], virtually indistinguishable from the organized military, i.e., the bane of freedom, a standing army. More importantly, it removes the special class distinction of ‘citizen’ and makes us virtually indistinguishable from feudal serfs. Like seat belt laws, victimless crimes, which were never, supposedly, intended to become felony offenses have become law of the land. The proof of our fears will be in the implementation.

Visions of The New World Order:

In the glorious future of yesteryear the socialist agenda promised a car in every garage and a chicken in every pot. In our imaginary socialist future prosperity will be redefined. It will be guaranteed by a minimum wage service economy with a Schwinn in every garage and tortillas in every pot.

Our expectations of a remarkably free and mobile future will be shaped through the socialist leveling process, no, not by highways, but bike paths. As we push the hidden key on our keyboard and set our computer screen to wayback viewing we can get a small glimpse of this modern mobile society in the Federal Register of January 13, 1995 (Volume 60, Number 9).

Here we find the text of a joint FHWA and Federal Transit Administration document entitled "Interim Technical guidance for Bicycle and Pedestrian Planning At The State and Metropolitan Planning Organization Levels under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA). Just so you know we're serious it's Public Law 102-240.

Conjure up a TV scene of almost any city in a third world country. Recall the sight of public transportation consisting of decrepit buses interspersed by thousands of urbanites traveling in royal style... on bicycles. ISTEA promises a new Federal policy to "promote the increased use and safety of bicycling and walking as transportation modes" in order to provide a "nation of travelers with new opportunities to walk or ride a bicycle as part of their everyday life". No, its not about recreation, it's about a new way of life.

The plans and programs of ISTEA envision rich socialist urban citizens will have access to "transportation facilities ... which will function as an intermodal transportation system for the State, the metropolitan areas, and the nation." And you thought you were going to drive your Lexus into a rosy socialist future...?

Curbing Dissent In The European Union:

Summarizing from a series of articles recently published in The New American (Vol.17, No. 8), the London Daily Telegraph (Issue 2112, March 7, 2001) we learn that in 1995 a British economist and employee of the European Commission, Bernard Connolly, published a critique of the European plan for a common currency entitled "The Rotten Heart of Europe". In it Connolly attempted to expose the corruption of the European Economic and Monetary Union describing it as a threat to democracy, liberty and peace.

In a recent ruling the European Commission went much further than simply upholding the firing of Connolly but ruled that the European Union can "lawfully suppress political criticism of its institutions and of leading figures...". Further, the court held that the European Commission was justified in attempting to punish those who would damage the Commissions "image and reputation".

The courts ruling simply reflected the elitist philosophy reflected in the UN Declaration of Human Rights by recognizing that such rights do not represent unalienable rights, but government granted privileges. As such this ruling defines a chilling precedent to curtail free speech and civil liberties which has historically been the foundation for suppression and, in this case provides another glimpse into the utopia of global socialism.

"When we got organized as a country and we wrote a fairly radical Constitution with a radical Bill of Rights, giving a radical amount of individual freedom to Americans, it was assumed that the Americans who had that freedom would use it responsibly... that they

would work for the common good, as well as for the individual welfare... However, now there's a lot of irresponsibility. And so a lot of people say there's too much freedom. When personal freedom's being abused, you have to move to limit it." Bill Clinton, April 19, 1995

MARTIAL LAW & THE DEATH OF JUSTICE

Pulling Liberty Teeth

Most of you don't want to hear any part of it, but your perception of justice and the way government works in America are going to change, and swiftly.

Robert Wilson (Bob) Stewart of Mesa, Arizona is presently defending himself before the District Court of Arizona against criminal charges (high crimes) by the BATF. Among the charges Stewart was arrested for marketing the Maadi-Griffin [www.maadi-griffin.com] .50 cal. rifle kit. Presumably, in an effort to restrict public (read *militia*) access to this relatively inexpensive but quality product, the issue is whether the kit can be "readily converted" to a functional firearm.

Stewart, who was arrested in June, 2000, is challenging the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (BATF) which operates, he maintains, outside their lawful territorial venue, and the legitimacy of the case against him. To Bob Stewart the case is so cut and dry that the court will not be able to maintain even the appearance of "legality and constitutionality" if he is convicted. His statements reflect his character and determination:

"... but they do everything without due process because we are ignorant and because we are intimidated, we are threatened by these thugs. It's time to put a stop to this once and for all. We're trying this in court. This is the last time it's going to be tried in court. That is a warning to everybody in this country from one side to the other from the top to the bottom. This is the trial, this is the litmus test. This is the time and this is the... , it's time to count the beans right up to this case." May 18, 2001, The Intelligence Report.

In contemplating his pending case during an April 18th talk show (The Intelligence Report) he maintained, among other points, that his kits met full legal requirements, the BATF and IRS lacked jurisdiction to file charges against him, his product is not subject to infringement under the Second Amendment, and that he was arrested and is being prosecuted without a duly sworn warrant. The documents which chronicle the early paperwork battle and an excellent synopsis of the case by Angel Shamaya, Executive Director of KeepAndBearArms, can be found at www.keepandbeararms.com and should be considered required reading.

Despite his early exuberance, the outcome of his hearing on May 25th telegraphed the governments intention to slam dunk Stewart and his case during the trial scheduled for June 5th. The court rejected every challenge and argument, his motion to dismiss, and the issue of jurisdiction. In taking a somewhat dim view of the future during a May 29th talk show (The Intelligence Report) interview Stewart warned listeners to clean up their lives and get right with the Creator.

Already planning an appeal, he predicts there is no way he can get a fair trial because the courts are so corrupt and controlled. In reviewing the case documents readers may find particularly revealing and disturbing disclosures in the governments response to his Bill of Particulars, i.e., Case No. CR-000698-PHX-ROS, THE UNITED STATES, INC., JOSE DE

JESUS RIVERA, ESQ. Vs. Robert Wilson Stewart, pro per. A small sampling will demonstrate Stewart's points:

"1) What name does the alleged plaintiff claim, pursuant to the above captioned purported instant action, is the true Christian appellation or full name of the Alleged Accused? [Please state the complete praenomen, nomen and cognomen in proper capital and lower case letters.]

Ans. ROBERT WILSON STEWART

[NOTE: The court recognizes Stewart as an artificial or corporate entity not a sovereign citizen.]

19) If either the corporate United States or the federal District of Arizona is alleged to be the damaged or injured party, pursuant to the above captioned purported instant action, give the name and address of one or more citizens, residents, officers, employees, political subdivisions or agencies of said federal areas who were injured, damaged or otherwise harmed by the Alleged Accused.

Ans. The United States, c/o Secretary of the Treasury, 3330 Main Treasury Building, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Washington, D.C. 20220 and Lawrence A. Bettendorf, address unknown, and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Washington, D.C. 20226.

20) If a political subdivision of the corporate state of Arizona is alleged to be the damaged or injured party, pursuant to the above captioned purported instant action, give the names and addresses of one or more residents, officers, agents, employees, or agencies of such political subdivision who were injured, damaged or otherwise harmed by the Alleged Accused.

Ans. No political subdivision of the corporate state of Arizona is alleged to be the damaged or injured party pursuant to the above captioned case. [Note: That is, the BATF is not a lawful agency of the United States of America but a corporate subdivision of the Internal Revenue Service of Puerto Rico, a private corporation.]

26) Pursuant to the above captioned purported instant action, does the alleged plaintiff claim to be currently bankrupt or insolvent?

Ans. Plaintiff [Note: corporate United States] is currently insolvent and has ceased to pay its debts with lawful specie money.

34) Did the alleged offenses occur in a military, martial law, or admiralty venue pursuant to the above captioned purported instant action?

Ans. Plaintiff claims and will prove at trial that the alleged offense occurred in a military/martial law venue.

35) What are the facts claimed and relied upon by the alleged plaintiff, that would place the Alleged Accused in any venue other than one of common law, pursuant to the above captioned purported instant action?

Ans. The alleged offense took place in a federal district and the Plaintiff will prove at trial that the Alleged Accused knowingly gave the appearance of placing himself in a federal venue by in voluntary use of the zip code.

42) Pursuant to the above captioned purported instant action, does the alleged plaintiff claim that the time the alleged offenses occurred that the Alleged Accused was within the federal District of Arizona?

Ans. Yes. Plaintiff claims and will prove at trial that the Alleged accused was inside federal territory within the District of Arizona and that such geographic location has been duly ceded to the United States by the Arizona state legislature.

54) Does the court of legislative tribunal wherein the above captioned purported instant action is allegedly filed or pending have the power or authority to impose or levy any fines, forfeitures, taxes, mulcts, court costs or punitive damages; and if so, are such said amounts imposed in lawful Coin as required Article I Section 10 Clause 1 of the Constitution for the united States of America (1789)?

Ans. Yes, the court has the power to levy fines, forfeitures, taxes, mulcts, court costs and punitive damages; however, such amounts are not imposed in lawful coin as required by Article I Section 10 Clause 1 of the constitution for the united States of America, due to the fact that the court is not operating in a state visne but in a federal military venue wherein such amounts are imposed in legal tender, military script, debased coin, colorable notes and other such evidence of debt, or non-redeemable currency.”

There’s more juice in the lemon for those who take the trouble to read the entire set of court documents. We warn that in doing so prospective readers will leave with a bitter taste for corporate government. From the “horses mouth” these statements confirm the debauchery of the republic to a corporate “democracy” and the removal of all constitutional protections and due process from our courts. Just as important, they provide confirmation of government intent to supplant the laws of the republic (common law) and deprive the people of their freedoms and liberty. Honor and integrity are not to be found in these corporate courts. But when it comes to honesty the thugs are apparently totally unconcerned about letting us know the terrible truth about what our courts and government have become. Then again, why should they fear a nation of sheep?

In contemplating the future Stewart gets to the heart of the matter in addressing a statement to law enforcement officers [paraphrased from his May 18th radio interview]:

“... is this the type of “law” that you will defend for you and your children to live under... to foster acts of treason for the sake of your job? Now is the time for honest and honorable men and women in government to decide. To decide how they want their posterity to live, martial law, that is to say no law but dictates by un-elected bureaucracy, by [a] legislature that turns its head the other way in carefully crafted plausible deniability. Or ... for when retribution begins.”

“When the makers or judges of laws, are themselves notorious breakers of them, or of the laws of heaven, government will necessarily fall into contempt.” From Sermon preached by John Smalley, Hartford, Connecticut, 1800.

COMMUNITARIANISM & THE THIRD WAY

Socialism In Two Flavors

Politicians tell us all the time what they *really* believe and what they are *really* going to do to us once they get elected. It's there for everyone to hear; there's not much need to hide their intentions. Most people never have a clue because they don't understand the language and once more, as long as the message sounds good and promises unearned benefits, they don't care.

To those of us who do care, we need to know something about the language of power. And the manner in which power is applied and used to manipulate people and events is cached in the philosophy of government. Idealistic American voters, enamored with the innovative language of the political shyster flock to any politician with a new "utopian" political philosophy. When we take a serious look at some of our learned leaders we find that behind their artful phraseology their philosophies have a remarkable resemblance to socialism and the propensity for even more consolidation of government power.

Perhaps the two most relevant examples are The Third Way, espoused by Bill and Hillary Clinton, British Prime Minister Tony Blair and many other of the social democrat persuasion, and Communitarianism which is evidently the fundamental belief held by George Bush, Jr. and his merry band of Republican socialists.

The Third Way:

As explained by writer Richard Roberts (WorldNetDaily article "Seizing Power", 3/10/01) "The Third Way between socialism and capitalism was the original brainchild of Adolph Hitler." The Third Reich, The Third Way and the New World Order are linked concepts that cling together through history.

In an effort to distance the concept from history, modern political groups such as The Democratic Leadership Council [www.ndol.org – New Democrats Online] do their best to put a happy face on their totalitarian Third Way philosophy of governance. Their philosophy, they maintain, "*rests on three cornerstones: the idea that government should promote equal opportunity for all while granting special privilege for none; an ethic of mutual responsibility that equally rejects the politics of entitlement and the politics of social abandonment; and a new approach to governing that empowers citizens to act for themselves.*"

This "new" approach, this middle way between Capitalism and Communism, is nothing more than the stumbling stone of the French Revolution, i.e. *fraternity*. Not only should mankind, under their enlightened guidance, have *liberty*, and social and economic equality, but a *fraternal* government which dictates or allocates the rights, obligations and responsibilities of the people.

Under Adolph Hitler, this Third Way form of National Socialism was called fascism. Under this system all property was considered "common property". While government did not directly claim title to property or the means of production, it reserved the right to regulate it's use (or abuse) to serve the purposes of government. In this type of socialist utopia citizens of course, must be compelled to submit to state control over all activities for the "*greater good of the whole*".

Richard Roberts, in his WorldNetDaily article of March 10th provides an excellent example of the “benefit” of Third Way governance citing the “privilege” many are enjoying of paying taxes on wetlands or other privately “owned” land declared to be protected property which the “owners” are not allowed to use.

Communitarianism:

Referencing George Washington University professor Amitai Etzioni, Harry Browne, in a February 5th WorldNetDaily article “*Do you have too much freedom?*” notes that not only did the professor observe that George Bush, Jr. inundated his inaugural address with communitarian terms like “civility”, “responsibility”, and “community”, he has surrounded himself with advisors who adhere to the communitarian philosophy.

Communitarianism is a philosophy of governance that maintains that there must be a balance between rights and responsibilities and between the individual and the community. Individual rights, it postulates, must be balanced by social needs and individual responsibility to the state. Similarly, individual values must be subverted to those of the community and state and thereby the values around which laws and discipline are administered are those of the state, not of the individual.

This perspective, as should be obvious, is opposite to that of the Constitution which emphasizes the rights and liberties of individuals who remain responsible to themselves. In contrast, the communitarian philosophy turns the Constitution upside down and requires that the “community” would be responsible for the individual. The community (read “state”) therefore reserves to itself the responsibility to control society under the premise that life and society as a whole will be improved “*if communitarian, collective, and public values guide and construct our lives*”.

While in a sense communitarianism is considered conservative because some adherents advocate the preservation of traditional values of community, it should be obvious that there is no room for belief in God, adherence to biblical values, or morality under communitarianism aside from the humanist values imposed by the “community”. While it lacks the “brotherly” concept of fraternity, the collectivism of Marxism and socialist dependence on the benevolent dictatorship of the “community” would, if fully implemented, ensure the death of individual liberty.

Communitarianism: a communal system of organization based on small cooperative communities practicing some communist principles. Webster’s Third New International Dictionary – Unabridged.

The next time George Bush, Jr. talks about his “faith based initiatives” program for the benefit of the “community” bear in mind he is manipulating religious organizations (whatever happened to the supposed separation of church and state?) to achieve political goals, not to ensure the liberty or integrity of the sovereign citizen. Coupled with his condoning the persecution of non-501(c)(3) churches, his efforts speak of his intention to eliminate the independence of church from state and to bring them further under control of the state either by force or the root of all evil, bribery and greed.

Summary:

These ideas are not new, but by different names or variations are as old as history itself. Author Doug McIntosh, in his article of April 23rd appearing in the Gold Digest [www.gold-eagle.com/gold_digest_01/mcintosh042301.html] points out that regardless of the political

terminology, all historical “New World Order ” political schemes can be lumped into one simple concept, that is the creation of a feudal type system in which “a few people get together and figure out a way to screw the rest of the people on the planet.”

“Peace is a great blessing, and we can have it in either one of two ways – both of them expensive. We can keep ready to defend ourselves, and the cost of this is about one hundred billion a year. Or we can simply submit to the Communists – and the price of this is slavery.” Rear Admiral Daniel V. Gallery, Ret., The Pueblo Incident, 1970, p. 127.

CHURCH SURRENDER IN INDIANA

Indiana Baptist Temple:

On February 13th of this year the congregation of the Indiana Baptist Temple, charged with the IRS for alleged non-payment of taxes, surrendered the church property to armed federal agents. As most are aware, at issue was the church’s alleged failure to collect social security taxes from its employees. In a final demonstration of token resistance church pastor Greg Dixon was carried from the property by armed federal officials. With the help of the media, our mental image of the capitulation will be with us for many years.

As the Christian community in the US continues to commiserate with the members of the seized Baptist Temple perhaps we should wonder why some speak so charitably of their failure?

There is no interest or benefit to be realized from belittling the church, their members or their efforts. But there is another perspective than the IBT as helpless victim, i.e., that of the Christian soldier. If we are to understand why it happened we need to make sure we examine more than just the superficial elements. The story is not simply one of helplessness in the face of a hostile court system, it is a story of lost values, conviction and faith.

Pastor Greg Dixon reportedly stated “We had a promise from the Bush administration. We had every reason to believe there was a moratorium.” “They were going to dismiss the case. We had a deal, and they welshed on the deal.”

In fact, the church leadership never had viable reason to expect they had a deal. From the very first there was absolutely no historical or rational basis to expect any measure of honesty, trust, or promise on the part of a government committed to its own interest. Their legal challenge was doomed before it began... and if they honestly appraised the situation they could not have failed to recognize the futility of their efforts. Still... morality dictated the effort be made.

The IRS corporation serves as a collection agency for corporate government. Negotiation and compromise are only step stones on the path to mandatory capitulation. The collection of tribute is their sole reason for existence. To them, the court system is simply a minor inconvenience since unlimited public funds are available to subvert private institutions.

“Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech, or the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.” First Article In Amendment

If they were realists IBT officials should have been aware of the consequences when the potential for court driven capitulation becomes inconvenient to government. The church

massacre at Waco, and a host of lesser assaults on churches across the nation, stand as historical evidence of government methods and intentions.

However, they did not stand alone or without the substantive offer of assistance from fellow citizens of the militia. However, they rejected the assistance of the militia as allies and chose to rely on agents of the court to rescue them from the court. Please stop reading at this point if we need to explain the problem inherent with this approach.

In this manner the IBT spent a considerable sum, approximately \$300,000 in their legal defense. Some comparison may be helpful to place this commitment in historical perspective. To secure their religious freedoms our forefathers gave up their homes and homelands, and placed not only their "fortunes" (their *entire* financial foundation not just a paycheck), but their lives and honor on the line in a new, primitive land, and in time against the most formidable army in the world. The moral dilemma then becomes of paramount importance. The issue is whether money is the true measure of Christian commitment, or pacifism (in the face of intimidation and physical violence) a proper Christian response to the outright theft of our God-given First Amendment rights.

You weren't there, and neither were we, but we need to think about these things and you need to decide just how much you value your freedoms. You will more than likely get your turn to choose before long. Something more than a building was lost that day. Do you know what it was?

Faith in the word of God may indeed allow one to move mountains. But, over hundreds of years and many generations our forefathers found that while words of peace and conciliation were powerful tools in dealing with the moral, their powers of persuasion were far more effective when dealing with the immoral of the world with a Bible in one hand... and a rifle in the other.

To avoid such extremities, their covenant with government was that the rules of society [under the Constitution] were to be interpreted and applied explicitly and broadly, not as privileges subject to government control but as absolute standards. In their foresight, looking beyond the potential failure to petition the government for redress of grievances, they recognized the need for a militia of the people to ensure these covenants were kept.

Our forefathers, through their churches, understood that what they could not secure through a common respect of Biblical law, they might ensure through imperfect civil law. In the final extreme, if they were to retain their natural, God-given rights and ensure their very survival in a hostile world, they must reserve to themselves the means to the natural law of self-defense. Yet, even that was not enough. They also understood that they must find the courage and conviction to implement the latter within the former.

Our Bibles teach us that we live in the physical world, but our struggle is "*not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in places*" (Ephesians 6;12). Yet is in the physical world in which we are commanded to abide. It is the challenge of good men and women to seek spiritual strength but also the spiritual will to survive in a world where political power emanates from the barrel of a gun. Our Bibles entreat us to rest our faith in God. Where does it say in Him we should also rest our personal responsibility?

There is a legacy for us all in the surrender of the Indiana Baptist Temple.

Two hundred years ago men routinely carried their weapons to church. Not as a hollow political statement but of need and determination. They did so for protection from their enemies, whether wearing red coats, homespun or leather, whatever passed as the uniform of the petty tyrants of the day. They were determined to preserve not only the safety of their church but the symbol of their faith and the temporal home of their God. Time demonstrated that they possessed the courage of their convictions.

IBC has shown that for Christians of today neither property or freedom from religious infringement are worth fighting for. Government then is encouraged to continue to seize property and liberty... which will go largely unopposed. With respect to IBC, if the value of this and future seizures will not satisfy the fictional debt, financial persecution and recovery will be sought from church members as individuals who will be left without the moral strength, spiritual conviction, or numbers to resist. They will face the terror alone.

We also need to remind ourselves that despite the reality of the situation there were other alternatives to litigation and capitulation. Some involve physical confrontation to be certain. And there are yet others. The stigma of a public wrong can be a tremendous deterrent to future sale and use. Having a possession and being able to use it are not one in the same, and as a last resort, the church could have been returned to the earth from which it came.

As individuals we are free to choose, between right and wrong, good and evil, compromise or conviction. The day of decision has passed for the IBC and the decision was not ours to make. Having been made we might surmise that the humanist creed of obedience, submission and non-resistance to tyranny appears to be alive and well-seeped into the tradition of America's churches. The question begs to be asked... if we do not have the resolve to defend our churches, religion or the temporal house of our God, wherever would we get the mettle to defend our homes and families?

Pastor Dixon has condemned the government for desecrating a house of God. But in the end the church leadership must have concluded that it was, after all, just another building.

"If indeed all men were Christians, and every nominal Christian was led by the spirit of Christ, there would be absolutely no need of the sword; for there would be nothing but justice, truth, and love in the world. But reason dictates, that, so long as the wicked shall use the sword in support of vice, the righteous, who are in power, must use it in defense of virtue." From a sermon, *The Bible and The Sword* by John Fletcher, London, 1776.

BARNYARD FABLES #44

Signing Up

"Cough, cough!", " choked the Bird as the cloud of dust blowing through the unpainted clapboard siding preceded the massive sound of movement outside the crib door.

Pausing in his task of spreading clean sheets of the Independent Grit Gazette on the coop floor Private Eyes of the Chicken militia looked up to confront his visitors.

"We're here to sign up yer Generalship", stated the Rhode Island Red.

"Yep," echoed the Barred Rock behind him adding "We hear yer color blind in this here outfit".

“Put us ta work,” chirped the black Anaconda squeezing through the door.

“Hold on there fellow Critters”, crowed the Private over the cackles and crowing. “If yer genuine red-blooded Americans yer already Birds of a feather, we’ll sign ya up pronto!”.

“We brought along a few of our friends, how ‘bout signin” them up too,” continued the excited Red. “I cain’t believe it... them official Critters down the road said you was crazy Birds and didn’t want us ordinary family types!”

“Well, maybe we’s a little eggcentric,” admitted the Private shouldering his way to the door, “But we got bedrock American values and the smarts to know ya can’t believe everything ya ,,,”

“Awwwwk!”, he gasped staring out over acres of cheering and expectant Fowl, “That there’s some Flock of friends you guys got,”he observed with a whistle.

“Sign us up! Hoo Ray fer America!, Stuff The UN! Fryers Fer Freedom!”, came the slogans over the noise of the Flock. “You can count on us! We’re ready ta work! We’ll be ready when ya need us!” .. continued the unrelenting pledges.

“Hold yer Horses,” shouted the overwhelmed and grateful Bird turning to reach for a list of assignments.

“Me an the Kernel want to welcome you to the 1st Volunteer Chicken Militia, we....”, paused the Private looking up to a suddenly empty barnyard, “Where’d they all go ...?”, he asked into empty space.

“They’s pretty quick Birds fer sure,” replied the Rhode Island Red, “They did say they’d be here when ya needed them though.”, he consoled.

“Ahhhh, yu Birds wanna help spread this here clean newspaper on the floor?” asked the Private cautiously.

“If preservation of life is the main objective of our Armed Forces, we can achieve it very easily at the price of surrender. We will also wind up as a satellite nation of some country whose soldiers are not afraid to risk dying for it.” Rear Admiral Daniel V. Gallery, Ret., The Pueblo Incident, 1970, p. 40.

BOOK REVIEW

“Masters of War, Classical Strategic Thought”

The second edition of “Masters of War, *Classical Strategic Thought*” was published by Frank Cass & Co., Ltd. in 1996 (ISBN 0-7146-4205-3 p/b). It was written by Michael I. Handel among whose credits include his tenure as Professor of Strategy, US Naval War College.

“Masters of War” is an extremely well written comparison of the writings and philosophies of perhaps the two greatest analysts of war in history, Sun Tsu (*The Art of War*) and Carl von Clausewitz (*On War*). However, it’s value does not lie in the typical dry recitation of quotes with accompanying dissection of the writers intent such as found in numerous related texts. Handel’s insightful comparison looks beyond the cultural and historical differences of the two

classical writers. Although separated in time by some two thousand years, Handel finds that the philosophies and principles of the two are far more alike than apart.

Certainly even the casual reader has observed that Sun Tsu and Clausewitz differ on the value of intelligence, strategy, political perspectives, etc. We understand the reliance of Clausewitz on maneuver warfare, the importance of concentration of force, and the concept of “total war” between nations of peoples as opposed to armies. In contrast we compare Sun Tsu’s focus on the “ideal war” concept including political intrigue and deception. These superficial aspects of their respective writings suggest vast differences in their perspectives. Handel, however, points out that the major commonalities between the two are obscured by language, definition, and cultural and social points of reference among other factors.

With Handel’s help we find that the basic philosophies of the two regarding principles and practices of war are very similar. Supporting quotes and perspectives from *The Art of War* by 19th century strategist Baron Antoine-Henri de Jomini, Niccolo Machiavelli (*The Art of War; The Prince*) and Mao Tse-tung (*Selected Military Writings*) among others lend color, reinforcement, and a measure of historical interest to the arguments. Together they serve to reinforce the timelessness of the principles of warfare and demonstrate those aspects of war which make its successful pursuit as much of an art as a science.

The text goes further than simply describing points of agreement and disagreement between Sun Tsu and Clausewitz. It explores the principles of warfare which may be subscribed to its pursuit as a science as well as an art. Diverse aspects of war are compared including offense, defense, the seeking of and use of intelligence, and the characteristics of successful generals including courage, decisiveness, caution and confidence. Interestingly enough, among the vagaries of the art of war, we learn that chance and luck are also factors to be considered.

Extensively discussed in the text is the importance of politics in war from conception through engagement. War, the strategists agree, is a very serious business which if undertaken at all, should be conducted as a rational activity. It should have clear political goals which are pursued in the best interest of the nation and people. In the successful pursuit of war there must be balance, coordination and consensus between the military, the government and the public. Further, as we could surmise, this balance and agreement becomes more important as the duration of war increases.

In this regard, of particular interest is Handel’s summation of the role of the people in war. We understand from the text the emphasis of Clausewitz on the involvement of the people in the modern form of “total war”. Drawing heavily on Machiavelli, the author summarizes these authors in observing that:

“Good government is correlated with freedom, participation, and patriotism, which in turn inspire the citizen-soldiers’ readiness to fight and die for their state”. p. 233.

A highlight of the discussion of the role of politics in war is the discussion of some self-defeating practices of political leaders who meddle in the conduct of war. One can’t but help reflect on the US failures in Korea and Vietnam associated with the intervention of political leaders to supersede the role of military leaders in the actual conduct of war.

The comparison of philosophies offered by Clausewitz, Sun Tsu and others is helpful in understanding the development and shape of modern warfare, particularly the drive toward the development of superior weapons. We observe that history has preserved a philosophy which

maintains that a superiority in armament significantly improves the potential for success in war. Further, that such armaments will often lead to shorter and more decisive, albeit more violent, wars. We surmise from the writings of Clausewitz and Sun Tzu other key or potentially decisive factors in the conduct of war, which, in addition to superiority in armament, include leadership, geography and terrain, and motivation.

Masters of War prompts our independent speculation of many aspects of strategy, tactics and the overall philosophy of war. Among our speculations we surmise that, in a world politically driven to immoral ends, the focus of a compromised body politic continues to be on superiority in arms. Such a policy of course, allows us to accept inferior (immoral) leadership, low standards for field troops, and among other compromises, a military organization lacking in patriotism, motivation, direction and identity. Based on the summary provided by Handel, history would appear to warn us that superiority in arms alone, without quick victory does not ensure victory over a committed populace.

As a means of comparing the historical tenets of warfare to modern times, Appendix B of the text contains the "Weinberger Doctrine" proposed by Secretary of Defense Casper Weinberger in 1984. This doctrine postulated six "tests" to help define criteria for using US combat troops abroad. Handel examines these criteria against the philosophies of outlined by Clausewitz and Sun Tzu. It appears that American policy makers have had some difficulty in passing the test of rationality as might have been defined by Sun Tzu and Clausewitz. Again, prompted to speculation, readers might consider that the more or less consistent flight from rationality and established policy in the conduct of modern wars prompting us to assume that the actual goals have been other than those stated.

In summary, the book, *Masters of War*, is an outstanding synopsis of the principles and art of war from the masters of strategic thought. The authors insightful and carefully outlined comparisons are logical and well-developed. The author removes the ambiguity inherent in older texts and thereby provides an invaluable tool to the student of both ancient and modern warfare and draws from them principles every much relevant today as in the distant past.

If you have the works of Clausewitz and Sun Tzu this book will make an excellent companion. If you have neither, *Masters of War*, provides in one volume an outstanding work on the science and art of war and the reader may require no other to understand both.

"... , the principles of war are understandable in theory, but there is no blueprint to guide in their application."

Masters of War by Handel provides the tools for understanding the science of war. The art, as maintained by historical writers, must be acquired by experience, and most importantly it must be directed by intuition and natural genius.

"...I shall now come to the thing in hand, and endeavor to prove this truth, that it is a necessary duty for a people to endeavour, in the defense of their religion, lives, laws, and liberties; to resist and repress the tyranny of a king, using and abusing his power for subverting religion, invading the liberties, and overturning the fundamental laws of the country." From an essay, Defensive Arms Vindicated, by Stephen Case, 1783.

GEORGE WASHINGTON

To American Troops Before The Battle of Long Island, August 1776

“The time is now near at hand which must probably determine whether Americans are to be freedmen or slaves; whether they are to have any property they can call their own; whether their houses and farms are to be pillaged and destroyed, and themselves consigned to a state of wretchedness from which no human effort will deliver them. The fate of unborn millions will now depend, under God, on the courage and conduct of this army. Our cruel and unrelenting enemy leaves us only the choice of a brave resistance, or the most abject submission. We have, therefore, to resolve to conquer or to die.

Our own, our country’s honor, calls upon us for a vigorous and manly exertion; and if we now shamefully fail, we shall become infamous to the whole world. Let us, then, rely on the goodness of our cause, and the aid of the Supreme Being, in whose hands victory is, to animate and encourage us to great and noble actions. The eyes of all our countrymen are now upon us; and we shall have their blessings and praises; if happily we are the instruments of saving them from the tyranny meditated against them. Let us, therefore, animate and encourage each other, and show the whole world that a freedman contending for liberty on his own ground is superior to any slavish mercenary on earth.

Liberty, property, life and honor, are all at stake. Upon your courage and conduct rest the hopes of our bleeding and insulted country. Our wives, children and parents expect safety from us only; and they have every reason to believe that Heaven will crown with success so just a cause. The enemy will endeavor to intimidate by show and appearance; but remember they have been repulsed on various occasions by a few brave Americans. Their cause is bad – their men are conscious of it; and , if opposed with firmness and coolness on their onset, with our advantage of works, and knowledge of the ground, the victory is most assuredly ours. Every good soldier will be silent and attentive, wait for orders, and reserve his fire until he is sure of doing execution.” From Speeches of George Washington, 1888.

“Unless this country has got something that is worth dying for, and unless we are willing to die for it, it won’t remain great much longer. And it won’t deserve to!” Rear Admiral Daniel V. Gallery, Ret., The Pueblo Incident, 1970, p. 104.

IN THE WIRE

Invasion of Privacy:

A U.S. Newswire story dated March 9th carries a story by the Illinois State Rifle Association (ISRA). The story summarizes a March 8th US District Court ruling by Judge George Lindberg. In the ruling the BATF was ordered to release all federal gun purchase records to Chicago lawyers. The release is not limited to local purchasers but includes gun purchase records from all 50 states and will include, names, addresses, telephone numbers and other personal data. It is presumed that once released, the information would ultimately become accessible to the public. Of course, all of us law-abiding gun owners understand the good lawful folks at the unconstitutional BATF would never keep records which they are not entitled to retain. Anyway, you’re soon to be on yet another list. Some say there is safety in numbers, we might suggest the real safety is in knowing how and when to shoot back.

Militia Radio:

United Patriot Radio (UPR), formerly KSMR (Kentucky State Militia Radio) is a “pirate” shortwave radio station reportedly sponsored by a number of state militia groups. The station operator/engineer, [Major] Steve Anderson of the Kentucky State Militia, is also host of “The Militia Hour”, a militia information radio program. Promoted as “Freedoms voice on the air, your first amendment station protected by the second amendment” the station would appear to present an untenable threat to the FCC’s monopoly of the airwaves and the influence of the controlled press. The subject matter is undoubtedly causing severe headaches for storm trooper wannabes.

The mere existence of the station is symbolic. Like the hundreds of FM micro-stations re-broadcasting conservative programming across the nation, this station is nothing less than the modern version of the Liberty Tree. It can be heard at 10:00 pm EST on 3.260 MHz LSB (Lower Side Band) and daily on 6.900 MHz USB. As summer approaches all programming will be on 6.900 MHz.

Programming and commentary, to be sure, is a little less than polished and in some areas somewhat overdone. However, on listening you may readily see its potential and value for the patriots of America. In listening you’ll quickly learn they already have plenty of brass, but you might want to send a few bucks to help keep them in beans, watts, and BTU’s. If you are looking for a cause which presents a real thorn to the NWO consider sending a few FRN’s by postal money order to Mr. Steve Anderson, 245 Elrod-Martin Road, Somerset, KY 42503.

“A corrupt and disorderly multitude can be spoken to by some worthy person and can easily be brought around to the right way, but a bad prince can not be spoken to by anyone, and the only remedy for his case is cold steel.” Niccolo Machiavelli

High School Shootings:

Once again a spate of school shootings have occurred, all of which bear the mark of Columbine. Readers might take notice the remarkable set of “coincidences” associated with virtually all the shootings. All involve disaffected Caucasians, all shooters have allegedly been on psychotropic (mind altering) drugs, and all had been under psychiatric care or counseling. Most, if not all, followed the shootings with attempts at suicide. All have involved reports of suspicious, obscured facts and circumstances and often include shadowy allegations of participation and assistance by others. Of course, all this is nothing more than circumstantial coincidence.

A Law Unto Itself:

A report by James P. Tucker in the March 18th edition of The Spotlight reported on a decision by a three-member panel of the International Center of NAFTA that the NAFTA International Center for Settlement of Investment Disputes has the authority to overrule national courts, including the Supreme Court on NAFTA issues. In addition, US courts will be compelled under the agreement to enforce the NAFTA panel decisions for which there are no appeal mechanisms. Justice, is to be decided on economic, statutory, treaty, and political factors rather than equity, truth or Constitutional requirements. Welcome to the New World Order.

Desperation of Statutory Politics:

A story out of the Aspen Daily News [<http://ToogoodReports.com>] documents how prospective juror and former Special Forces member Jerry Begly was dismissed from a jury pool by Judge Fernandez-Ely for handing out copies of the Citizens Rulebook. Reporter Rick Carroll candidly explained the trashing saying that Begly had been canned for distributing “constitutional propaganda”.

“A juror is required to follow the law as instructed by the Court” Carroll quotes Judge Fernandez-Ely. What is it about the Constitution that Judge Fernandez-Ely and others of his ilk are so afraid of that they must desperately work to keep it out of their courts?

+=====+

READERS COMMENTS:

Noting the inactivity on the NCCM website one reader recently remarked it appeared that “no one is at the helm”. The observation requires a two-part answer. To make a long story short, some time ago our webmaster stumbled over a small stone on the road of life, and as a consequence fell on hard times and broke his sense of responsibility. Having lost his moral bearings he wandered into the *Swamp of Despair*. We haven’t seen him since.

On the positive side a new friend found us and the NCCM website is getting a facelift and some very positive improvements. Among them we hope to post Carolina Free Press updates in a more timely fashion and eventually incorporate a section devoted to general communications for county coordinators. More is yet to come, but you can see the improvement at www.ncmilitia.org. Wait... it gets better. We’re also trying to create a new website dedicated to the Piedmont Division of the NCCM. Suggestions on the content and structure of either would be welcome.

As to determining who is “at the helm” one need look no further than the nearest mirror. After all, this *is* America. Most of you don’t want a leader(s), however some of you do want leadership. In this we can only do a small part. Consider it your big chance, take some initiative and responsibility. Dare to make a difference. In the interim, everything we do is pretty much out of pocket (well-to-do patriots are few and far between), make the most of it while you can.

“For if the trumpet makes an uncertain sound, who will prepare himself to the battle?” 1
Corinthians 14:8 KJV

+=====+

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

The new kid on the block can be found at www.libertyrestoration.org. The goal of the new site is to become one of the best sources of tax information on the web. For those interested in challenging the unconstitutional IRS income tax system this is a good place to start. Don’t forget to check out the links.

It was good to see the good folks of Grass Roots North Carolina at the Dixie Gun & Knife Classic gun and knife show in Raleigh during May. Unfortunately, the promoters, like Bill Clinton, apparently believe that Americans should have freedom, but not too much. As you might be aware the NCCM has been rebuffed on several occasions from participating in the show.

We understand from our correspondents that it's a good place to get to know some of our agents of corporate oppression. Better yet, in exchange for your name and address they'll give you a buck off the price of admission. Of course, if you believe the "list" of attendees will remain in uncompromised hands and your privacy is worth a whole buck, maybe it's a pretty good deal.

Actually, the Raleigh show is quite unremarkable. The next Dixie Classic is scheduled for August 18-19. If your freedom is worth more than a buck, you might be better off spending your time and hard won money acquiring your liberty teeth at another show. We suggest you consider switching to Charlotte... or maybe Fayetteville, Greensboro, or wherever.

"Cultivate, my friends, a martial spirit, strive to excel in the art of war, that you may be qualified to act the part of soldiers well; and, under providence, be helpful in vanquishing and subduing the enemies of God and this people; and be numbered among those who shall be worthy to wear the laurels of victory and triumph." From a Sermon preached by Jacob Cushing at Lexington, MA, April 20, 1778, entitled *Divine Judgments Upon Tyrants*.

+=====+

COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS gladly accepts your comments, suggestions, articles and letters. Those which best reflect the principles of freedom, independence, and republicanism may be published.

The CAROLINA FREE PRESS can be reached via:
NCCM Website: ncmilitia.org
Public Affairs Officer: 828/456-8122
Mailing address: Carolina Free Press
P.O. Box 994
Canton, N.C. 28716

Copyright 2001, CAROLINA FREE PRESS - Permission to print/copy and distribute is granted as long as content is not altered and proper credit given. Electronic reproduction and distribution is encouraged.

END
